# COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO PUERTO RICO ENERGY BUREAU

IN RE: REVIEW OF THE PUERTO RICO ELECTRIC POWER AUTHORITY INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN NO. CEPR-AP-2018-0001

**SUBJECT:** Direct Testimony of Matthew Lee

Direct Testimony of

#### **MATTHEW LEE**

Office of the Chief Financial Advisor Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority

June 14, 2019

M

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY	1
	A. Witness Identification	1
	B. Summary of Direct Testimony	2
	C. Qualifications and Professional Background	
	PREPA'S PREFERRED RESOURCE PLAN	
III.	CONCLUSION	. 11

m

#### 1 I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

#### 2 A. Witness Identification

- 3 Q. Please state your name, title, employer, and business address.
- 4 A. My name is Matthew Lee. I am a Managing Consultant with Filsinger Energy Partners,
- an energy- sector advisory firm ("FEP"). Since December 2017, Todd Filsinger, the head
- of FEP, has served as Chief Financial Advisor to the Puerto Rico Electric Power
- Authority ("PREPA"). In my capacity as a Consultant with FEP, I have worked in the
- 8 Office of the Chief Financial Advisor as an advisor to PREPA. My business address is
- 9 90 Madison St, Suite 600, Denver, CO 80206.

# O Q. On whose behalf are you testifying?

- 11 A. I am testifying on behalf of PREPA, in support of testimony being provided by
- 12 Mr. Filsinger in this proceeding (see PREPA Exhibit ("Ex.") 4.0) concerning the analyses
- and considerations that have driven development and refinement of the Integrated
- Resource Plan ("IRP") which PREPA filed in this proceeding on June 7, 2019 (PREPA
- Ex. 1.0) (plus attachments). As represented in this testimony, I have assisted PREPA
- management in an advisory role supporting the development of the Action Plan contained
- in the IRP.

# 18 Q. Have you previously provided testimony before the Energy Bureau?

- 19 A. Yes. I provided testimony regarding the alternative fuel Request for Proposals initiated
- by PREPA in 2018. In addition, I submitted prepared direct testimony in support of the
- previous version of the IRP which PREPA filed in this docket on February 13, 2019.

22		B. Summary of Direct Testimony
23	Q.	What does your Direct Testimony address?
24	A.	My testimony is intended to provide certain details supporting the high-level overview of
25		PREPA's IRP (PREPA Ex. 1.0) (plus attachments), that Mr. Filsinger offers in his Direct
26		Testimony. I also provide additional detail concerning PREPA's Action Plan which was
27		developed at the direction of PREPA management and in close collaboration with
28		PREPA's Planning Directorate (the Action Plan may be found in Part 10 of the IRP).
29		Through Dr. Nelson Bacalao, PREPA's IRP consultant, Siemens Power Technologies
30		International ("Siemens") is presenting detailed Direct Testimony in support of the IRP,
31		including the Action Plan. This testimony appears as PREPA Ex. 6.0. The IRP and the
32		associated Action Plan are endorsed by PREPA's senior management, as shown in the
33		Direct Testimony of PREPA's Executive Director and Chief Executive Officer, José F.
34		Ortiz Vázquez (PREPA Ex. 3.0).
35	Q.	Are there any exhibits to your testimony?
36	A.	Yes. My testimony includes Ex. 5.01 – my <i>curriculum vitae</i> .
20	• •	
37		C. Qualifications and Professional Background
38	Q.	What are your duties and responsibilities as a Managing Consultant with Filsinger
39		Energy Partners relating to PREPA?
40	A.	FEP is an independent energy advising firm consisting of senior executives and experts
41		who have decades of experience in the power sector and other sectors of the energy
42		industry. Our firm advises clients on issues relating to utility and energy company

43

restructuring, the development, purchase and disposition of utility-scale power generation

assets, pursuit of facility and enterprise-wide operational improvements, utility and project finance, utility budgeting and billing and collection, and other areas. The services we provide include the performance of independent engineering reviews of utility and power generation operations, advising on utility and power generator financing, assisting in construction monitoring, and advising on facility start-up, testing, and operation and maintenance. We also complete financial evaluations to support power supply investment and business decisions.

Since 2017, FEP has staffed the Office of the Chief Financial Advisor, which was established in accordance with PREPA's revised Fiscal Plan to support PREPA's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"). Other FEP personnel and I provide the CEO with general financial and managerial support on such matters as budgeting, financial management, cash management, and expense approval. We also advise and support the CEO in the implementation of the fiscal and operations restructuring reforms and initiatives outlined in the certified Fiscal Plan and the implementation of the certified Budget. We assist and support the CEO on any other matters on which he requests our help.

As a Managing Consultant working in the office of the Chief Financial Advisor, I have provided advice to PREPA on an as-needed basis during the IRP process, in particular concerning the optimization of the Energy System Modernization ("ESM") Plan and the Action Plan.

#### What is your educational background?

A. I received a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from the Colorado School of Mines in 1992.

# Q. What is your professional background?

Q.

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

I have 28 years of experience leading and advising companies in the energy and petrochemicals sectors, including a number of participants in the electric power sector. I have managed the development, design, permitting, and financing of power, petrochemical and infrastructure projects, and have provided consulting services in connection with private debt and equity placements, project financings and public offerings. I have advised a number of purchasers and sellers of energy assets, as well as electric utilities and municipalities. My experience includes traditional and renewable forms of generation, gas and electric power distribution, plant performance testing, economic modeling, operational reviews, technical due diligence, and valuations. I have been responsible for contract negotiations involving the development of a number of electric generating facilities. I have advised power project developers, electric utilities, municipalities, lenders and other energy and petrochemical sector companies on resource evaluation, project permitting and compliance with environmental laws and regulations. My current CV is attached as PREPA Ex. 5.01.

#### 80 II. PREPA'S PREFERRED RESOURCE PLAN

#### 81 Q. What is PREPA's Action Plan?

A.

A.

PREPA's Action Plan is set forth in Part 10 of the IRP main Report (PREPA Ex. 1.0). It incorporates PREPA's preferred approach to immediately address vulnerabilities to system resiliency by replacing aging, peak generation resources, and to the long-term improvement of the Commonwealth's electric utility system by, among other things, creating MiniGrids that will incorporate sufficient localized generation to serve critical loads connected to each MiniGrids under conditions of system stress. For purposes of the

IRP, critical loads represent loads necessary for safety and health and those required to support recovery services. The Action Plan addresses PREPA's resource requirements for the next five years, and envisions the implementation of elements of the ESM Plan and Scenario 4, Strategy 2 ("S4S2"), which was developed through the IRP process. As described more completely in the IRP, the ESM and S4S2 are similar. They provide for system resiliency and are designed to comply with public policy and renewable energy portfolio standards. PREPA's proposed Action Plan favors the ESM Plan, as it will better position PREPA to respond to deviations in modeling assumptions related to load forecast and future costs of generation resources and energy storage systems. The Action Plan recommends that development activities associated with the ESM's fuel infrastructure and generation resources begin immediately, and be reevaluated prior to committing capital for equipment or construction.

#### Q. How was the Action Plan developed?

A.

The Action Plan was developed by a collaborative working group led by PREPA and the Puerto Rico Central Office for Recovery, Reconstruction and Resiliency ("COR3"); supported by advisors to PREPA and COR3, including FEP. The Action Plan reflects significant input from other utility industry experts and governmental agencies, such as the U.S. Department of Energy.<sup>1</sup> It envisions implementation of the various initiatives identified by PREPA, COR3, their advisors and other stakeholders in the ESM Plan and in the IRP's S4S2 scenario. I supported data gathering efforts, coordinated activities with other FEP subject matter experts, assisted with the definition of permit requirements at

The U.S. Department of Energy has expressed particular interest in the repowering of the Palo Seco Power Plant as it represents a key resource located in PREPA's highest load region.

both the federal and Commonwealth levels, and assisted PREPA with optimizing generation locations identified in the ESM Plan and incorporated in the Action Plan.

#### Q. Would you describe the Action Plan more specifically?

The Action Plan is PREPA's Preferred Resource Plan, as that term is used in the regulations establishing the criteria an IRP must meet. It is designed to be flexible to reflect risks associated with load forecasts and the timing of new project development. As noted in the Action Plan, PREPA must maintain its current fleet of generation resources to preserve reliability until requisite new resources become available. The Action Plan sets forth PREPA's preferred implementation strategy considering all other plans that were evaluated during the IRP process. It draws primarily on the ESM Plan and the S4S2 scenario, and it offers a low cost, practical option for achieving PREPA's stated objectives of improving system resiliency through the integration of MiniGrids and distributed generation. The Action Plan envisions the deployment of distributed generation technologies that conform to RPS requirements, as they have been modified by the recently-enacted Puerto Rico Electric Public Policy Act, Act No. 17-2019. The Action Plan also takes into account specific Energy Bureau directives, including the requirement that all IRP scenarios assume the conversion of PREPA's San Juan Units 5 & 6 to be fueled primarily by natural gas. It includes flexibility that will enable PREPA to alter course and either increase or decrease the amount of natural gas-fired generating capacity, and modify the locations of gas-fired capacity additions, to respond to permitting or renewable resource procurement and installation delays or changes in electric demand projections. It contemplates the commencement of permitting processes

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

A.

as soon as possible, so that it will be possible for PREPA or developers of generating resources to address uncertainty and bring resources online as they are needed.

A.

As more fully described in the IRP and by Siemens' witness Dr. Bacalao (PREPA Ex. 6.0), the Action Plan is PREPA's preferred approach. It was derived from many different generating resource scenarios modeled with three load projections, and three generation resource strategies. The Action Plan emerged from the IRP process based on the modeled results, as performed by Siemens, and is PREPA's Preferred Resource Plan because it is designed to mitigate future potential disasters by emphasizing a MiniGrid solution with sufficient distributed generation to serve critical loads. It also incorporates enough large-scale, centralized generation to provide the cost effective, efficient, base-load capacity that will be required to supplement growing amounts of renewable generation that will be available only intermittently, even with large amounts of battery energy storage.

Q. How does the Action Plan relate to the ESM Plan and S4S2 Plans and scenarios considered in the IRP process?

The Action Plan presents PREPA with a cost effective opportunity to redesign its utility system to increase reliability and resiliency of the Commonwealth's electric grid in light of Puerto Rico's desire to decrease its dependence on oil and favor renewable energy. The Action Plan draws on options identified in the ESM relating primarily to new fuel infrastructure and generation resources. The aspects of the ESM Plan that have been incorporated into the Action Plan reflect PREPA management's views as to the best way to plan for and provide contingencies to serve load that may be different than the IRP's forecasts. The Action Plan recommends that development activities related to the ESM's

new fuel infrastructure and generation resources, activities that require multiple years, begin immediately. Near the completion of development activities, PREPA will evaluate load and resource conditions before committing capital for equipment or construction. In other words, if load declines, energy efficiency gains materialize, and renewables are successfully deployed in accordance with forecast amounts, new generation resources will be canceled or delayed – effectively reverting the Action Plan to the S4S2 plan. Pending new resource availability, PREPA will continue to expend operations and maintenance capital on current generating resources to maintain system reliability.

As an example, an aspect of the ESM component of the Action Plan is to locate new base-load generation in the east, near Yabucoa. The advantage of the Yabucoa location, from PREPA's perspective, is that it provides additional resiliency to an area of the island that was hardest hit by Hurricane Maria. Therefore, the ESM Plan proposes the initiation of development activities for new gas-fired generation at Yabucoa, and the Action Plan includes this element. The major benefit of this approach is that the Action Plan addresses the multi-year process required to develop new generation resources without committing PREPA to expend capital on equipment or construction unless conditions warrant. Having a permitted project location will allow PREPA to react, in a timely manner, should load, energy efficiency gains, or the deployment of distributed generation resources differ materially from the IRP's forecast.

# What would you characterize as the essential elements of the Action Plan?

The Action Plan envisions the reconstruction and renewal of Puerto Rico's electric supply, transmission and distribution infrastructure in a manner that will balance the objectives of enhancing resiliency, reducing costs, and achieving sustainability. The

Q.

A.

Action Plan is intended to provide a roadmap for meeting future electricity demand at reasonable cost, while significantly improving electric system reliability in ways that are economically and environmentally sustainable and responsible.

Q.

A.

The resource options presented in the IRP are based on estimated costs and assumptions regarding siting and overall project feasibility which eventually must be tested in the real world. We do not know today whether the cost estimates reflected in the IRP analyses are accurate or whether particular evaluated project development alternatives are feasible. The Action Plan preserves flexibility and optionality in the face of this uncertainty. It provides alternatives that may be pursued in the event that certain resource options cannot be developed or procured as expected as a result of site-specific evaluations or responses of prospective resource developers on the basis of then-current market conditions.

# How does the Action Plan measure up against the criteria the Energy Bureau must apply in evaluating it?

The Action Plan satisfies the requirements which a Preferred Resource Plan must meet under the Energy Bureau's Regulation No. 9021. A Preferred Resource Plan must adequately address (i) minimization of the present value of revenue requirements; (ii) system reliability; (iii) short- and long-term risk; (iv) environmental impacts; (v) transmission needs and implications; (vi) distribution needs and implications; (vii) financial impacts on PREPA; and (viii) the public interest. (Regulation No. 9021, § 2.03(H)(2)(d)). The Preferred Resource Plan need not be the lowest-cost portfolio evaluated, so long as the reasons for choosing a portfolio that is not lowest-cost are described in detail. (Regulation No. 9021, § 2.03(H)(2)(d)(iii)).

The Action Plan envisions the execution of the ESM Plan and the S4S2 Plan, which under many (though not all) combinations of assumed conditions would be the least cost, yet practical, means of satisfying the requirements identified in the IRP. The Action Plan effectively recommends pursuing elements of the S4S2 Resource Plan, and initiating development activities associated with new fuel infrastructure and generation resources identified in the ESM Plan. Thus the Action Plan is intended to give PREPA options through which anticipated electric power demands may be met over the IRP planning horizon in a reliable, sustainable way, consistent with Puerto Rico's renewable energy portfolio objectives, even if electric demand and the timing and locations of resource additions do not precisely match those assumed in the IRP.

A central feature of the Action Plan is the establishment of a number of "MiniGrids" which, when integrated with identified distributed generation resources, will be significantly more reliable and resilient than Puerto Rico's grid is today. Implementation of the Action Plan should reduce both short- and long-term risks of catastrophic system failures and unacceptably long recovery times. In other words, the Action Plan incorporates lessons learned from the recent disasters, with a mind to better serving the areas that were hardest hit. It assumes a decisive move away from petroleum-based fuels in favor of renewable resources and natural gas, which will yield very substantial reductions in air emissions, aid in the achievement of National Ambient Air Quality Standards, and reduce the potential for environmentally damaging fuel oil spills. The establishment of MiniGrids and the distribution of generation and storage resources around the Island will address vulnerabilities and inadequacies in Puerto Rico's transmission and distribution systems in ways that will minimize the need for costly

additional transmission infrastructure development. This, along with fuel cost savings
that will result from the displacement of petroleum-based fuels with renewable resources
and natural gas, will reduce the financial burden which high and increasing fuel and
maintenance costs have imposed on PREPA. All of these outcomes would be consistent
with the public interest.

### 228 III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

- 229 Q. Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

u

#### **ATTESTATION**

Affiant, Matthew Lee, being first duly sworn, states the following: The prepared Pre-Filed Direct Testimony and the information, documents and workpapers attached thereto and the portions of the IRP filing I am sponsoring constitute the direct testimony of Affiant in the above-styled case. Affiant states that he would give the answers set forth in the Pre-Filed Direct Testimony if asked the questions propounded therein at the time of the filing. Affiant further states that, to the best of his knowledge, his statements made are true and correct.

Matthew Lee

Affidavit No. 1543

Watisol Pomales de por la carita de la carita del carita de la carita del carita de la carita dela carita de la carita de la carita de la carita de la carita del

Public Notary