GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO PUERTO RICO PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATORY BOARD PUERTO RICO BUREAU

NEPR

Received:

Oct 28, 2022

9:34 PM

IN RE: PERFORMANCE TARGETS FOR LUMA ENERGY SERVCO, LLC

CASE NO.: NEPR-AP-2020-0025

SUBJECT: Revised Annex IX to the Puerto Rico Transmission and Distribution System Operation and Maintenance Agreement ("T&D OMA").

SUBMISSION OF REVISED ANNEX IX TO THE T&D OMA

TO THE HONORABLE PUERTO RICO ENERGY BUREAU:

COME NOW LUMA Energy, LLC ("ManagementCo"), and LUMA Energy ServCo,

LLC ("ServCo"), (jointly referred to as the "Operator" or "LUMA"), and respectfully submit the following:

I. Background on Adoption of the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA

The Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority ("PREPA") and the Puerto Rico Public Private Partnership Authority ("P3A") entered into the T&D OMA with LUMA to (i) provide management, operation, maintenance, repair, restoration and replacement, and other related services for the transmission and distribution system ("T&D System"), in each case that are customary and appropriate for a utility transmission and distribution system service provider, and (ii) establish policies, programs and procedures with respect thereto ((i) and (ii), collectively, the "O&M Services"). See T&D OMA Section 5.1.1 The O&M Services are to be provided in

¹ The T&D OMA further provides that, except for those rights and responsibilities reserved for PREPA and the P3 Authority or otherwise expressly provided in the T&D OMA, LUMA "shall (A) be entitled to exercise all of the rights and perform the responsibilities of [PREPA] in providing the O&M Services, and (B) have the autonomy and responsibility to operate and maintain the T&D System and establish the related plans, policies, procedures and programs with respect thereto as provided in [the T&D OMA]." Id. Moreover, the T&D OMA provides that LUMA shall function as agent of [PREPA] and PREPA

accordance with the "Contract Standards,"² requiring compliance with Applicable Law³, Prudent Utility Practice⁴, and other standards, terms, conditions, and requirements specified in the T&D OMA (for purposes of this Petition, "Contract and Policy Standards"). Contract and Policy Standards necessarily require acting consistently with policy mandates and directives in Act 57-2014, as amended, known as the "Puerto Rico Energy Transformation and Relief Act" ("Act 57-2014"), Act 120-2018, as amended, known as the Electric Power System Transformation Act ("Act 120-2018") and Act 17-2019, known as the "Puerto Rico Energy Public Policy Act" ("Act 17-2019"), among others.

The Puerto Rico Transmission and Distribution System Supplemental Terms Agreement ("Supplemental Terms Agreement") is an integral part of the T&D OMA (together with the T&D OMA, "the Transaction Documents"). *See* Supplemental Terms Agreement, Section 2.1. Pursuant to the Transaction Documents, O&M Services were to commence on a date referred to as the "Service Commencement Date," or the "Interim Period Service Commencement Date" if PREPA

[&]quot;irrevocably authorizes [LUMA] to (i) represent [PREPA] before PREB with respect to any matter related to the performance of any O&M Services provided by [LUMA] under [the T&D OMA]" and "(ii) prepare all related filings and other submissions before PREB" among other functions. T&D OMA, Section 5.6. ² The T&D OMA specifically defines "Contract Standards" as "the terms, conditions, methods, techniques, practices and standards imposed or required by: (i) Applicable Law; (ii) Prudent Utility Practice; (iii) applicable equipment manufacturer's specifications and reasonable recommendations; (iv) applicable insurance requirements under any insurance procured pursuant to this Agreement; (v) the Procurement Manuals, as applicable, and (vi) any other standard, term, condition or requirement specifically contracted in this Agreement to be observed by [LUMA]." *Id.* Section 1.1 on page 9.

³ This term includes "any foreign, national, federal, state, Commonwealth, municipal or local law, constitution, treaty, convention, statute, ordinance, code, rule, regulation, common law, case law or other similar requirement enacted, adopted, promulgated or applied by any [governmental body][...]" in each case applicable to the parties to the T&D OMA. *Id.*, Section 1.1 on page 3.

⁴ "Prudent Utility Practice" is defined, in pertinent part, as "...at any particular time, the practices, methods, techniques, conduct and acts that, at the time they are employed, are generally recognized and accepted by companies operating in the United States electric transmission and distribution business as such practices, methods, techniques, conduct and acts appropriate to the operation, maintenance, repair and replacement of assets, facilities and properties of the type covered by the [T&D OMA]" *Id.* at page 26.

remained in Title III bankruptcy proceeding, and certain conditions precedent specified in the T&D OMA were satisfied or waived by the Parties. *See* OMA Sections 4.5 ("Conditions Precedent to Service Commencement") and 4.7(b) ("Establishment of Service Commencement Date"); *see also* Supplemental Terms Agreement, Sections 2.2 ("Supplemental Agreement Effective Date; Agreement Regarding Service Commencement Date") and 2.3 ("Interim Period Service Commencement Date").

Beginning on the Effective Date of June 22, 2020, and until June 1, 2021 (this period, the "Front-End Transition Period"), LUMA provided "Front-End Transition Services,"⁵ which were "intended to ensure an orderly transition of the responsibility for the management, operation, maintenance, repairs, restoration and replacement of the T&D System to [LUMA] by the . . . [Commencement Date], without disruption of customer service and business continuity [...]" *Id.*, Sections 1.1 at page 15 and 4.1(a).⁶

Among other actions, during the Front-End Transition Period, LUMA was required to establish a planning team with PREPA and the P3 Authority to prepare, with the input of said planning team, "a revised Annex IX (Performance Metrics), including (i) proposed baseline, target and minimum performance levels for certain Performance Metrics, (ii) Key Performance Metrics⁷

⁵ The Front-End Transition Services are defined in the T&D OMA as services to "complete the transition and handover to [LUMA] of the operation, management and other rights and responsibilities with respect to the T&D System pursuant to [the T&D OMA], including the services contemplated by the Front-End Transition Plan; provided that the Front-End Transition Services shall not be O&M Services." ⁵ T&D OMA Section 1.1.

⁶ Although both ManagementCo and ServCo constitute the Operator under the T&D OMA, after the Commencement Date, ServCo will provide the vast majority of the O&M Services while ManagementCo's role will be mainly providing oversight and management of ServCo.

⁷ "Key Performance Metrics" means the "Key Performance Metrics" to be agreed upon during the Front-End Transition Period and set forth in Annex IX (Performance Metrics). *Id.* at page 19.

and (iii) Major Outage Event Performance Metrics,⁸ together with an explanation of the basis for each of the foregoing." (together, for purposes of this Petition, "Performance Metrics⁹"). Under T&D OMA Section 4.2(f) LUMA also had to submit the proposed revised Performance Metrics for the P3 Authority's review and comments. *Id.* After such review or comment process, LUMA was to submit the Performance Metrics to PREB. *Id.* Upon review of the Performance Metrics, the Puerto Rico Energy Bureau ("Energy Bureau") may "approve, deny or propose modifications to such [Performance Metrics] in accordance with Applicable Law." *Id.* The approval of the Performance Metrics was a condition precedent to Commencement Date, T&D OMA Section 4.5(h) unless waived by the parties to the T&D OMA.

The mechanism of Performance Metrics, targets, and incentives, and its conceptualization in the T&D OMA, was part of the competitive procurement process. The evaluation of proposals included the comments received by proponents on customer service, technical, operational, and financial performance metrics to improve the T&D System. LUMA's approach was considered by the Partnership Committee as more favorable and aligned with Puerto Rico's goals. As indicated in the Partnership Committee Report, "LUMA essentially accepted the Government's approach to the Performance Metrics included in the RFP…for the benefit of its customers and the people of Puerto Rico."¹⁰

⁸ "Major Outage Event Performance Metrics" means the "Major Outage Event Performance Metrics" to be agreed upon during the Front-End Transition Period and set forth in Annex IX (Performance Metrics). *Id.* at page 20.

⁹ For avoidance of doubt, the term "Performance Metrics" employed in this Petition, Per the T&D OMA, LUMA's refers to metrics by which performance may be measured and to incentives are granted if targets are achieved.

¹⁰ Partnership Committee Report, Puerto Rico Public-Private Partnership for the Electric Power Transmission and Distribution System, at page 7.

As required under the T&D OMA, and after having concluded an iterative review process with the P3 Authority's advisors during December 2020 and January 2021, LUMA submitted the Performance Metrics Targets to the P3 Authority on February 5, 2021, for the P3 Authority's final review and comments. The comments and suggestions of the P3 Authority's advisors and the P3 Authority were discussed and addressed. The outcome of that iterative process, which concluded on February 20, 2021, resulted in the Performance Metrics Targets filing submitted to the Energy Bureau on February 25, 2021, that included a revised Annex IX as Section 2.0 ("February 25th Performance Metrics Targets"). Exhibit 2 to the February 25th Performance Metrics Targets Petition illustrated the revisions made to Annex IX upon conclusion of the iterative process with the P3 Authority.

On February 25, 2021, LUMA filed a Petition requesting that the Energy Bureau approve the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA that includes LUMA's proposed Performance Metrics Targets.

On June 1, 2021, the Parties executed a limited waiver in connection with the Transaction Documents ("Limited Waiver").¹¹ The Parties stipulated that they had "worked diligently since the Effective Date of the [T&D OMA] to carry out the Front-End Transition and, in accordance with its obligations under the [T&D OMA], [LUMA] . . .executed the Front-End Transition Plan and completed the Handover Checklist, to ensure an orderly transition of the responsibility for the management, operation, maintenance, repair, restoration and replacement of the T&D System to

¹¹ LUMA filed the Limited Waiver in this proceeding on June 4, 2021.

[LUMA] prior to the Target Service Commencement Date¹² of May 8, 2021, or as soon as practicable thereafter." *See* Limited Waiver, Preliminary Matters, item B.

As the Limited Waiver shows, the P3 Authority and PREPA determined that "it is in the interest of the People of Puerto Rico to enable [LUMA] to timely commence the vital work of recovering and transforming the T&D System, . . . for the Parties to agree to waive certain documentary conditions precedent set forth in the Transaction Documents to Operator's commencement of O&M Services . . . [,]" and that had not yet been satisfied despite the efforts of the Parties. *Id.*, items C and D. LUMA agreed that it is prepared to provide O&M Services pursuant to the Supplemental Terms Agreement. *Id.* The Parties further stipulated in the Limited Waiver that the documentary conditions precedents that had not been satisfied "do not impede [LUMA] from providing O&M Services pursuant to the Supplementary conditions precedents that had not been satisfied "do not impede [LUMA] from providing O&M Services pursuant to the Supplementary conditions precedents that had not been satisfied "do not impede [LUMA] from providing O&M Services pursuant to the Supplementary conditions precedents that had not been satisfied "do not impede [LUMA] from providing O&M Services pursuant to the Supplementary conditions precedents that had not been satisfied "do not impede [LUMA] from providing O&M Services pursuant to the Supplementary conditions precedents that had not been satisfied "do not impede [LUMA] from providing O&M Services pursuant to the Supplementary conditions precedents that had not been satisfied "do not impede [LUMA] from providing O&M Services pursuant to the Supplementary conditions precedents that had not been satisfied "do not impede [LUMA] from providing O&M Services pursuant to the Supplementary conditions precedents that had not been satisfied "do not impede [LUMA] from providing O&M Services pursuant to the Supplementary conditions precedents that the documentary conditions precedents that had not been satisfied "do not impede [LUMA] from providing O&M Services pursuant to the Supplementary conditions precedents that the

Given that on or before June 1, 2021, PREPA did not exit Title III and that most of the conditions precedent set in the T&D OMA were met¹³, and select conditions precedent were waived, the Interim Service Commencement Date occurred on June 1, 2021. *Id.* item E, and Sections 4(a) and 5. Thus, LUMA began providing O&M Services on June 1, 2021, starting the "Interim Period¹⁴ Service Commencement Date. *See* T&D OMA Sections 4.5 ("Conditions

¹²The T&D OMA defines "Target Service Commencement Date" as "the date that is 320 days after the Effective Date in the event the Effective Date is after February 16, 2020," which is May 8, 2021.

¹³ Subsequent to the execution of the Limited Waiver, conditions in Section 4 (c) of the Limited Waiver were satisfied, and LUMA confirmed that the Service Accounts were funded as required.

¹⁴ Pursuant to the Supplemental Terms Agreement, the Interim Period is the term in which the "th[e] Supplemental Agreement shall be in effect[,] from the Supplemental Agreement Effective Date [June 1, 2021] through the earlier of (a) the Service Commencement Date and (b) the Interim Period Termination Date (such period of time, the "Interim Period"), unless earlier terminated in accordance with the terms [of the Supplemental Terms Agreement]." *See* Supplemental Terms Agreement, Section 2.4.

Precedent to Service Commencement") and 4.7(b) ("Establishment of Service Commencement Date"); *see also* Supplemental Terms Agreement, Sections 2.2 ("Supplemental Agreement Effective Date; Agreement Regarding Service Commencement Date") and 2.3 ("Interim Period Service Commencement Date").

For the limited purpose of entering the Interim Period, select conditions precedent were waived including the condition precedent "set forth in Section 4.5(h) of the [T&D OMA] related to the approval by [the Energy Bureau] of the Performance Metrics," that LUMA filed on February 25, 2021, in this proceeding. *See* Limited Waiver, Section 1(b). Said condition precedent "must be satisfied prior to and as a condition to the Service Commencement Date." *Id*.

The performance metrics targets submitted by LUMA on February 25th, September 24th and today, will be used to, among other things, measure LUMA's performance in accordance with Regulation 9137, Regulation for Performance Incentive Mechanisms ("Regulation 9137"), and the T&D OMA and will be the basis for determination of the Incentive Fee for each Contract Year¹⁵ as defined in the T&D OMA. *See* T&D OMA Section 7.1 as set forth in Annex VIII (Service Fee) of the T&D OMA and calculated as set forth in Annex X (*Calculation of Incentive Fee*) of the T&D OMA. *See* T&D OMA, Section 7.1, Annexes VIII and X and Annex IX, Section I. The proposed targets are consistent with and based on the execution of LUMA's remedial and improvement programs. *See* Initial Budgets approved by the Energy Bureau in Case No. NEPR-

¹⁵ The OMA defines "Contract Year" as "the period from July 1 through June 30 for each year during that portion of the Term commencing on the Service Commencement Date; provided, however, that (i) the initial Contract Year shall commence on the Service Commencement Date and (ii) the final Contract Year shall end on the fifteenth (15th) anniversary of the Service Commencement Date. Any computation made on the basis of a Contract Year shall be adjusted on a Pro Rata basis to take into account any Contract Year of less than 365/366 days."

MI-2021-0004, and System Remediation Plan approved by the Energy Bureau in Case No. NEPR-MI-2020-0019.

II. Background on this Proceeding to Evaluate LUMA's Performance Metrics Targets and Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA

This proceeding was initiated to establish Performance Incentive Mechanisms ("PIMs") applicable to LUMA. *See* Resolution and Order of December 23, 2020 ("Performance Metrics Targets Order"). In the Performance Targets Order, this Energy Bureau discussed the legal framework for the establishment of performance-based incentives for electric service companies in Puerto Rico, particularly, and among other things, (1) the provisions of Act 17-2019, known as the Energy Public Policy Act ("Act 17-2019") establishing: (a) the criteria for the development of PIMs, (b) the mechanisms to implement these, and (c) PREB's authority to establish regulations on the subject; and (2) Regulation Number 9137. *See* Performance Targets Order at 1-3.

In the Performance Targets Order, this Energy Bureau also explained that the Baseline Proceeding was initiated "to establish the baseline (i.e., PREPA's current performance) and the targets or minimum compliance benchmarks with which [...] Puerto Rico's electric system should comply." *Id.* at 3. Furthermore, the Energy Bureau stated the performance baseline and compliance benchmarks to be determined in the Baseline Proceeding would be "subsequently used . . . to establish the *corresponding targets* to be applicable to certified electric service companies –such as LUMA" and that it would "open a separate proceeding to establish [PIMs] for other specific certified electric service companies. *Id.* (emphasis added).

Finally, the Energy Bureau's Performance Targets Order included the principles that should guide LUMA in its preparation for a request to establish PIMs under Section 4.2(f) of the T&D OMA. Specifically, the Bureau indicated that LUMA's filing under Section 4.2(f) of the

T&D OMA "must be aligned with principles beneficial to the public interest," including but not

limited to:

- (1) **Go above and beyond:** targets or levels for which an incentive may be proposed shall be subject to and dependent on performance above and beyond the minimum required compliance level;
- (2) Further the earlier compliance with public policy: targets or levels for which an incentive may be proposed shall encompass the accelerated implementation of public policy such as the renewable energy portfolio, demand response, energy efficiency and other similar mandated;
- (3) **Further efficiencies and savings:** targets or levels for which an incentive may be proposed shall pursue the highest level of efficiencies and savings;
- (4) **Impact areas with significant performance issues:** targets or levels for which an incentive may be proposed shall positively impact or address areas of unsatisfactory performance with a direct impact to the electric service user;
- (5) **Benefits for the Public Interest:** targets or levels for which an incentive may be proposed shall result in a clear benefit for the public interest and rate payers; and
- (6) **Incentives Reward Difficult Tasks:** targets or levels for which an incentive may be proposed shall be tied to difficult tasks, and not too easy to fix areas.

Id. at 5-6. These principles are listed in Part IV of the Performance Targets Order.

Based on the above, the Energy Bureau ordered LUMA to ensure that it is filing pursuant

to Section 4.2(f) of the T&D OMA (i) "takes into consideration the outcomes of the proceeding

under Case NEPR-MI-2019-0007" (i.e., the Baseline Proceeding); and (ii) "at a minimum,

align[s]" with the Part IV Principles, listed above. Id.

Finally, in the Performance Targets Order, this Energy Bureau ordered LUMA and PREPA

to attend a pre-filing technical conference held remotely on January 14, 2021, at 10:00 a.m., during

which PREPA and LUMA would be able to clarify questions regarding the filing. Such pre-filing

technical conference was held via videoconference on the date and time specified in the Performance Targets Order, and LUMA and PREPA attended as required.¹⁶

On February 25, 2021, LUMA filed its Request for Approval of a Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA. After several procedural events, on August 18, 2021, LUMA filed a revised version of the Request for Approval of the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA ("Revised Request for Approval of the Revised Annex IX to the OMA"). Therein, LUMA petitioned this Energy Bureau to accept and approve the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA and the Revised Performance Metrics Targets, set the Performance Metrics and targets to apply for an initial period of three years of operations, and allow periodic review of the performance baselines, metrics, and targets.

On that same day, August 18, 2021, LUMA filed a Motion Submitting Pre-Filed Testimonies.

On August 23, 2021, LUMA filed a *Motion Submitting Amended Exhibit to the Revised Request for Approval of the Revised Annex IX to the OMA*. On August 25, 2021, this Energy Bureau issued a Resolution and Order, which determined that LUMA complied with the minimum requirements to evaluate a Request for Approval of the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA. On September 24, 2022, LUMA submitted revised Pre-Filed Testimony of Ms. Jeppesen in substitution of the one filed on August 18, 2021, and an amended Revised Annex IX of the T&D OMA submitted on August 23, 2021. Thereafter, the parties engaged in discovery on LUMA's

¹⁶ During the Pre-Filing Technical Conference, LUMA presented an overview of the Front-End Transition work on Performance Metrics and its approach to revising Annex IX to the OMA. During said conference, Commissioners provided additional guidance on the expected components of LUMA's filing under Section 4.2(f) of the OMA, and answered questions posed by LUMA's representatives. LUMA filed a copy of its presentation with PREB on January 14, 2021, as per the verbal request from PREB during the Pre-Filing Technical Conference. *See* LUMA's "Motion in Compliance with Order Submitting LUMA's Presentation Given on January 14, 2021, at the Pre-Filing Technical Conference," filed on January 14, 2021, in this case.

submissions. Intervenors submitted pre-filed testimonies on November 17, 2021. Finally, LUMA conducted discovery on the pre-filed testimonies submitted by intervenors.

On December 22nd, 2021, the Puerto Rico Energy Bureau ("Energy Bureau") entered a Resolution and Order whereby it concluded that additional performance-based incentive metrics must be evaluated as part of this procedure ("December 22nd Resolution and Order"). To that end, the Energy Bureau identified three additional categories of performance metrics: (i) Interconnection of Distributed Energy Resources; (ii) Energy Efficiency and Demand Response; and (iii) Vegetation Management.

In the December 22nd Resolution and Order, the Energy Bureau ordered LUMA to file a revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA, including targets and supporting metrics for (i) Interconnection; (ii) Energy Efficiency/Demand Response; and (iii) Vegetation Management. The Energy Bureau also ordered LUMA to provide supplemental or revised direct pre-filed testimonies for the new metrics and targets.

On January 14, 2022, the Energy Bureau issued a Resolution and Order amending the procedural calendar in this instant proceeding ("January 14th Resolution and Order"). The Energy Bureau ordered LUMA to submit its witnesses' rebuttal testimonies on or before February 1, 2022.

On January 28, 2022, LUMA filed *LUMA's Request for an Extension of Time to File Rebuttal Testimonies*. Therein, LUMA informed the Energy Bureau that it expected to file some of its witnesses' rebuttal testimonies by the February 1st deadline. However, LUMA disclosed that it understood that the remaining witnesses' rebuttal testimonies could not be finalized until LUMA received outstanding supplemental responses to the discovery requests issued by LECO and the ICPO. Those supplemental responses were due no earlier than February 4, 2022. Thus, LUMA

requested that the Energy Bureau extend the timeframe to submit the rebuttal testimonies to February 17, 2022. On January 31, 2022, the Energy Bureau issued a Resolution and Order granting LUMA until February 17, 2022, to file rebuttal testimonies on the intervenors' pre-filed direct testimonies.

On February 1, 2022, LUMA filed the *Motion Submitting Rebuttal Testimonies*. LUMA submitted the rebuttal testimonies of five of its witnesses and reiterated that the remaining testimonies would be filed by the set date of February 17, 2022. LUMA filed additional rebuttal testimonies on February 17th and March 3rd, 2022.

On February 17, 2022, LUMA filed its *Response in Opposition and Objection to December* 22, 2021, *Resolution and Order and Request to Vacate or Grant LUMA Relief from the December* 22, 2021, *Resolution and Order* ("LUMA's Objection") objecting to and requesting relief from the Energy Bureau's December 22nd Resolution and Order whereby the Energy Bureau ordered LUMA to include three additional performance metrics categories as part of the revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA. In essence, LUMA contended that the December 22nd Resolution and Order that required LUMA to amend the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA and include additional metrics, was arbitrary and in violation of LUMA's due process rights and requested that this Energy Bureau vacate said order.

On March 14, 2022, LECO filed its *Reply to LUMA's Response in Opposition to the December 22, 2021, Resolution and Order on Additional Metrics* ("Reply"), setting forth its arguments in opposition to LUMA's Objection. LUMA filed a response on March 24, 2022.

On March 22, 2022, LECO submitted additional expert testimony by Mr. Agustín Irizarry on the additional Metrics. On April 27, 2022, and May 11, 2022, LUMA filed rebuttal testimonies in response to Irizarry's testimony.

On April 22, 2022, this Energy Bureau issued a Resolution *suas ponte* postponed proceedings while it addressed several pending motions and requests for relief.

On August ¹, 2022, this Energy Bureau entered a Resolution and Order, whereby it denied LUMA's Objection ("August 1st Order"). In turn, it ordered LUMA to file within twenty (20) days: (i) a revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA, including targets and supporting metrics for Interconnection, Energy Efficiency/Demand Response, and Vegetation Management; and (ii) a supplemental or revised direct pre-filed testimony for targets and supporting metrics for the performance metric targets described in the December 22nd Resolution and Order.

On August 18, 2022, LUMA submitted a Motion styled *Motion to Request Extension of Time to Submit a Revised Annex IX and Pre-Filed Written Direct Testimonies in Compliance with the Resolution and Order of August 1st, 2022* ("August 18th Request for Extension"), whereby LUMA requested an extension until September 21, 2022, to file its submissions in compliance with the August 1st Order. In the August 18th Request for Extension, LUMA suggested a filing date of September 21, 2022. Said proposed date was congruent with the then-current regulatory workload and considered the then-current workload of at least three witnesses who will offer the pre-filed written direct testimonies on the additional metrics.

On September 9, 2022, LUMA filed an *Amended Request for Extension of Time to Submit Revised Annex IX and Pre-Filed Written Direct Testimonies in Compliance with Order of August 1st*, 2022, requesting the Energy Bureau to extend the deadline further to file the revised Annex IX until October 3, 2022, and the deadline to file the pre-filed written testimonies on the additional metrics on October 6, 2022.

On September 16, 2022, this Energy Bureau entered a Resolution and Order granting LUMA's Amended Request for Extension of Time as well as LECO's and the ICPO's request for discovery limited to the additional metrics ("September 16th Order"). Through the September 16th Order, the Energy Bureau also issued an amended procedural calendar for the instant proceeding contemplating the celebration of the virtual evidentiary hearings from January 24 through 27, 2022.

On Thursday, September 15, 2022, at 0800, the United States National Weather Service announced the imminent passage of then Tropical Storm Fiona through Puerto Rico, LUMA activated its Emergency Operations Center (LEOC) in compliance with LUMA's Emergency Response Plan. Preparing for and later responding to Hurricane Fiona required the engagement of many key personnel and components of the organization. Consequently, LUMA personnel working on the revised Annex IX and including the witnesses whose testimonies as to the three additional metrics will be presented, were activated in the LEOC in the response and restoration efforts in the aftermath of Hurricane Fiona. Restoration, repairs, and concomitant administrative support will continue in the coming weeks. For these reasons, on September 30th, 2022, LUMA filed a *Motion to Amend Procedural Calendar, Requesting Additional Time to Submit Revised Annex IX and Pre-Filed Written Direct Testimonies due to Change in Circumstances, and Proposing Amended Procedural Calendar* ("September 30th Request to Amend Procedural Calendar"). Thus, LUMA requested the Energy Bureau extend the timeframe to file the revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA and the pre-filed written direct testimonies on the additional metrics to October 28, 2022. LUMA also proposed an amended procedural calendar.

On October 4, 2022, ICPO filed a motion titled *Moción en Oposición a Moción Radicada por LUMA en Solicitud de Prórroga y Recalendarización de los Procesos Radicada por LUMA*. ICPO opposed the remedies sought by LUMA without stating any reasons for its position. On October, 2022, the Energy Bureau entered a Resolution and Order allowing all intervenors in this proceeding until October 8, 2022, to respond to LUMA's and ICPO's motions.

On October 10, 2022, LECO filed *LECO's Response to LUMA's Motion to Amend Procedural Calendar, Requesting Additional Time to Submit Revised Annex IX and Pre-Filed Written Direct Testimonies due to Change in Circumstances, and Proposing Amended Procedural Calendar* ("LECO's October 10th Opposition"). LECO joined ICPO's motion in opposing the extension requested. It also asked the Energy Bureau to impose penalties on LUMA for the alleged delay in filing the revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA and the pre-filed written direct testimonies on the additional metrics. On October 26, 2022, LUMA submitted a reply to LECO's October 10th Opposition.

On October 14, 2022, the Energy Bureau entered a Resolution and Order amending the procedural calendar of this instant proceeding. This Energy Bureau also granted LUMA's request to file supplemental testimony and a revised Annex IX on or before October 28, 2022. Finally, this Energy Bureau also granted LECO's and OIPC's requests for time for additional discovery on LUMA's supplemental written testimony and the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA.

III. Submission of Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA in Attention to the Orders of this Energy Bureau.

LUMA respectfully submits that its prior filings of the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA and LUMA's Performance Metrics Targets, submitted on February 25th, 2021, August 18th, and September 24th, 2021, included a comprehensive set of performance metrics targets in alignment with public policy requirements and that resulted from the competitive procurement process that led to the execution of the T&D OMA as well as from consultation with and approval by the P3A. LUMA appreciates that in the August 1st Order, this Energy Bureau determined that the requirement to include additional categories of performance metrics and corresponding targets, issued in the December 22nd Resolution and Order, is not a partial or final decision in this case and, thus, that the final determination whether to include additional metrics in the approved version of the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA, will be made after LUMA has the opportunity to present evidence and argumentation on the matter. *See* August 1st Order on page 3.

LUMA respectfully restates its position that the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA filed on September 24, 2021, complied with the requirements of the T&D OMA, and would enable LUMA to meet important energy public policy goals for the first three Contract Years. However, in attention to the directives by this Energy Bureau in the December 22nd Resolution and Order and the August 1st Order, LUMA hereby submits a Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA that includes performance metrics on interconnections (Net Energy Metering (NEM) Project Activation Duration) and vegetation management (Vegetation Maintenance Miles Completed by 230kV, 115kV, 39kV, and Distribution (primary line only)). *See* Exhibit 1, Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA. Furthermore, as stated in the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA filed today, *see* Exhibit **1**, and in the testimony of Mr. Lee Wood which is being separately filed today, LUMA proposes that the Energy Efficiency and Demand Response performance metrics on Demand-Side Management: Energy Savings as Percent of Total Energy Sales and Demand-Side Management: Peak Demand Savings as a Percent of Total Peak Demand, that was included in the prior versions of the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA as deferred metrics, be approved but deferred to Contract Year 2, given that the Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority does not currently have EE or DR programs to set baselines or track the LUMA's performance. *See* Exhibit 1 and Testimony of Mr. Lee Wood filed today (explaining that "the utility has never delivered DSM programs; therefore, the baseline is currently 0%" and that "LUMA's ability to achieve these performance targets requires a stable, predictable, and dedicated source of funding through a rate rider or surcharge. LUMA has designed its Transition Period Plan for EE/DR to achieve the level of energy savings specified in the proposed targets (0.1-0.25%)."

The Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA includes revisions to two metrics within the Major Outage Event Metrics, in the category of Operational Response, to wit, metric 7 on Municipality Coordination and metric 8, on Coordination with local and federal EOCs. The descriptions of both metrics were tailored to reflect recent experiences with operational responses, including the response to Hurricane Fiona, and pursuant to Act No. 20 of April 10, 2017, known as the "Enabling Law of the Department of Public Security," whereby communications and coordination with municipalities take place through or at Emergency Operations Centers ("EOCs") of the Puerto Rico Emergency Management Bureau ("PREMB"), rather than in Municipal EOCs, as the prior iterations of these metrics stated. It is important to note that MOE

metric number 7 on Municipality Coordination has not changed and measures the same performance category: "Coordination with municipalities regarding road clearing, down wires, critical customers, etc.". Similarly, MOE metric number 8, previously named "Municipal EOC Coordination Puerto Rico Commonwealth/Federal EOC Coordination," and now named "EOC Coordination PREMB/Federal EOC Coordination," still measures coordination with local and federal EOCs, but the title, description and explanation of the metric were revised to reflect the operational reality that coordination in emergencies with municipalities and other public actors, is done with and through PREMB's EOCs and Federal EOCs; rather than through municipal EOCs. The metric otherwise remains unaltered.

To facilitate consideration by this Energy Bureau and intervenors of the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA, and in compliance with the orders of this Energy Bureau of September 16th and October 14th, 2022, LUMA submits a redline version of said document, as **Exhibit 2** to this Motion. The redline version provides a comparison between the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA submitted on September 24, 2021, and the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA filed today. The redline illustrates minor drafting and wording edits in discrete portions of Annex IX to the T&D OMA.

WHEREFORE, LUMA respectfully requests that the Energy Bureau accept the Revised Annex IX to the T&D OMA and deem that LUMA complied with the December 22nd Resolution and Order and the August 1st Order.

I hereby certify that I filed this motion using the electronic filing system of this Energy Bureau and that I will send an electronic copy of this motion to the attorneys for PREPA, Joannely Marrero-Cruz, jmarrero@diazvaz.law; and Katiuska Bolaños-Lugo, <u>kbolanos@diazvaz.law</u>, the Independent Consumer Protection Office, Lcda. Hannia Rivera Diaz, <u>hrivera@jrsp.pr.gov</u>, and counsel for the Puerto Rico Institute for Competitiveness and Sustainable Economy ("ICSE"), Fernando Agrait, <u>agraitfe@agraitlawpr.com</u>, and counsels for Comité Diálogo Ambiental, Inc.,

El Puente de Williamsburg, Inc., Enlace Latino de Acción Climatica, Alianza Comunitaria Ambientalista del Sureste, Inc., Coalicion de Organizaciones Anti-Incineración, Inc., Amigos del Río Guaynabo, Inc., CAMBIO, Sierra Club and its Puerto Rico Chapter, and Unión de Trabajadores de la Industria Eléctrica y Riego (jointly, Puerto Rico Local and Environmental Organizations), rstgo2@gmail.com, notificaciones@bufete-emmanuelli.com, pedrosaade5@gmail.com., jessica@bufete-emmanuelli.com; rolando@bufete-emmanuelli.com, lvelez@earthjustice.org, rmurthy@earthjustice.org.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 28th day of October 2022.



DLA Piper (Puerto Rico) LLC 500 Calle de la Tanca, Suite 401 San Juan, PR 00901-1969 Tel. 787-945-9107 Fax 939-697-6147

/s/ Margarita Mercado Echegaray Margarita Mercado Echegaray RUA NÚM. 16,266 margarita.mercado@us.dlapiper.com

<u>Exhibit 1</u> Revised Performance Metrics Targets in Compliance with the PREB Resolution and Order of August 1, 2022



Revised Performance Metrics Targets: In Compliance with the PREB Resolution and Order of August 1, 2022

October 28, 2022

Contents

1.0	Introduction & Overview	3
1.1	Executive Summary	3
1.2	Introduction	4
1.3	Performance Metrics Overview	5
2.0	Revised Annex IX — Performance Metrics	9
2.1	General	9
2.2	Performance Categories	9
2.3	In Compliance with Energy Bureau Regulation 9137, Docket NEPR-MI-2019-0014	10
2.4	Summary of Performance Metrics	11
2.5	Performance Metrics	16
2.6	LUMA Event of Default	38
2.7	Operating Budget Overrun Default	38
2.8	Major Outage Events (MOE) Performance Metrics	
2.9	Monitoring	45
3.0	High-Level Plan to Achieve Performance Metrics Targets	46
3.1	Customer Service	46
3.2	Technical, Safety & Regulatory	47
3.3	Financial Performance	52
Appe	endix A: NEPR-MI-2019-0007 LUMA's Comments on Performance Base	elines &
Metri	cs filed February 5, 2021	54
Appe	endix B: Written Testimony	55

Tables & Figures

Table 1-1. Performance Metrics Summary	6
Table 2-1. Summary of Performance Categories	9
Table 2-2. Summary of Performance Metrics	11
Table 2-3. Summary of Performance Metrics Baselines and Annual Targets	16
Table 2-4. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Residential Customers)	21
Table 2-5. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Business Customers)	22
Table 2-6. Average Speed of Answer (minutes)	22
Table 2-7. Customer Complaint Rate	
Table 2-8. Abandonment Rate	24
Table 2-9. OSHA Recordable Incident Rate	25
Table 2-10. OSHA Fatalities	25
Table 2-11. OSHA Severity Rate	26
Table 2-12. OSHA DART Rate	26
Table 2-13. System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI)	27



1

Table 2-14. System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI)	27
Table 2-15. Vegetation Maintenance Miles Completed (230kV, 115kV, 38kV, primary Distribution)	
Table 2-16. Distribution Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections ¹	29
Table 2-17. Transmission Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections ¹	29
Table 2-18. T&D Substation Inspections & Targeted Corrections ¹	
Table 2-19. NEM Project Activation Duration	30
Table 2-20. Energy Savings as Percent of Total Energy Sales	31
Table 2-21. Peak Demand Savings as a Percent of Total Peak Demand	
Table 2-20. Operating Budget ¹	32
Table 2-21. Capital Budget: Federally Funded ¹	
Table 2-22. Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded ¹	35
Table 2-23 Days Sales Outstanding: General Customers	36
Table 2-24. Days Sales Outstanding: Government Customers	37
Table 2-25. Overtime	38
Table 2-26. Summary of Major Outage Event Performance Metrics	40
Table 2-27. Major Outage Event Performance Metrics Schedule	44
Table 2-28. Major Outage Event Performance Metrics Scorecard	44
Table 3-1. Selected Reliability Improvement Projects for Distribution	49
Table 3-2. Selected Projects for Improvement in Each Asset Class	49
Table 3-3. Sample Overtime Savings	



1.0 Introduction & Overview

1.1 Executive Summary

Today, October 28, 2022, LUMA respectfully presents for consideration by this Energy Bureau modifications to the revised Annex IX included in this filing; specifically, the proposed baseline, target, and minimum performance metrics for the three additional metrics detailed in a Resolution and Order issued by the Energy Bureau on August 1, 2022.

On June 1, 2021, LUMA assumed management of the T&D System and commenced operations. After eight months of the Front-End Transition period, on February 25, 2021, LUMA submitted an initial filing proposing Performance Targets for LUMA Energy Servco, LLC.¹ The Energy Bureau determined in a Resolution and Order issued on December 23, 2020, in Case No.NEPR-MI-2019-0007, that it would there consider performance baselines and benchmarks for the Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority ("PREPA") that would subsequently be used to develop the corresponding targets to be applied to certified electric service companies such as LUMA. The Energy Bureau opened a separate proceeding to consider LUMA's Performance Targets and directed that it would consider targets for LUMA after setting baselines and benchmarks for PREPA in Case NEPR-MI-2019-0007. *See* Resolution and Order of December 23, 2020, Case No. NEPR-AP-2020-0025.

Post-commencement, LUMA had the opportunity to analyze data, systems, and processes first-hand, and consequently, LUMA revised the Performance Metrics filing for the Energy Bureau's consideration. On September 24, 2021 LUMA also considered the Resolutions and Orders issued by the Energy Bureau on April 8, 2021, May 21, 2021, and July 2, 2021, in Case No. NEPR-MI-2019-0007 on the performance of PREPA. Below, you will find details of our data analysis and where LUMA has concerns regarding the validity or accuracy of the data previously provided by PREPA.

As per the Resolution and Order issued by the Energy Bureau on August 1, 2022, LUMA has updated its Revised Annex IX to include targets and metrics as applicable, and supporting witness testimony for three additional metrics: Interconnection, Energy Efficiency/Demand Response, and Vegetation Management. While LUMA has added these metrics to the revised Annex IX here, LUMA's proposal is for the Energy Bureau to approve Annex IX as presented in a revised filing dated September 24, 2021.

LUMA believes that the performance metrics detailed in its September 24, 2021, filing are strong indicators of performance for a utility and the collection and reporting methodologies LUMA is utilizing are in line with industry standards. In determining these targets, LUMA has considered its continuing efforts to remediate the utility's performance, as well as the prioritization of specific programs and the expected pace of progress in making improvements. Notwithstanding, LUMA is presenting additional metrics in compliance with the order issued by this Energy Bureau on August 1, 2022, to include additional performance metrics.

LUMA respectfully asks for special consideration in these cases, primarily for Safety and Customer Service. The Fiscal Year 2020 proved to be unprecedented in terms of data collection and reporting by

¹ See LUMA's Submittal and Request for Approval of Revised Annex IX to the OMA in Docket NEPR-AP-2020-0025



PREPA. LUMA considers that these factors, as later detailed in this exhibit and the testimony of the relevant subject matter experts, should be taken into account by the Energy Bureau.

1.2 Introduction

On June 22, 2020, LUMA Energy, LLC as ManagementCo, LUMA Energy ServCo, LLC as ServCo (collectively, LUMA), the Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority (PREPA), and the Puerto Rico Public-Private Partnerships Authority (P3A) entered into an Operation and Maintenance Agreement (OMA) under which LUMA will operate and manage PREPA's transmission and distribution system (T&D System).

Before assuming management of the T&D System, LUMA undertook transition and planning activities as part of the Front-End Transition Services. As part of these Front-End Transition Services, and in compliance with LUMA's obligations under Section 4.2(f) of the OMA, LUMA reviewed PREPA's processes, data, and baseline performance with respect to certain Performance Metrics. LUMA filed this analysis and recommended additional Performance Metrics for consideration as part of NEPR-MI-2019-0007 on January 29, 2021 (LUMA's Comments on Performance Metrics Baselines, resubmitted February 5, 2021) to establish metrics and performance baselines. As stated in that filing:

The current performance of PREPA is well below industry standards. Establishing a robust set of Performance Metrics will begin to enable transparency, reverse negative performance trends, and will further align LUMA with public policy – critical upon LUMA's commencement of T&D Services. This will advance LUMA's key goals: Prioritize Safety; Improve Customer Satisfaction; System Rebuild and Resiliency; Operational Excellence; and Sustainable Energy Transformation. The Puerto Rico Energy Bureau ("PREB") has also promulgated regulation concerning Performance Metrics, including NEPR-MI-2019-0014 and NEPR-MI-2019-0007. In the latter docket, PREB, through its order issued December 23, 2020, ordered that LUMA take part in the proceedings.

The Energy Bureau determined that it would consider LUMA's performance metrics subsequent to setting performance baselines and benchmarks for PREPA in Case No. NEPR-MI-2019-0007. This submission presents LUMA's Revised Performance Metrics' baselines, minimum performance levels, and targets and complies with LUMA's obligations under Section 4.2(f) of the OMA. A revised Annex IX of the OMA (hereafter referred to as Annex IX) is also presented. This work was primarily performed as part of the Front-End Transition Services delivered by LUMA under the OMA. It has now been supplemented with additional work since LUMA began operation of the T&D System on June 1, 2021, and to comply with the order issued by this Energy Bureau on August 1, 2022, to include additional performance metrics.

In accordance with the Front-End Transition Plan (Annex II of the OMA), LUMA's major work in developing Performance Metrics took place before December 2020. It included dedicated teams focused on this specific effort and the active participation of experts from each functional department in the organization. The process also included discussions with key stakeholders, who provided feedback on the process, regulations, and other contexts that informed this proposal. Please refer to Case No. NEPR-MI-2019-0007, LUMA's Comments on Performance Baselines and Metrics, dated February 5, 2021, and in particular Exhibit 2, LUMA's Comments on Performance Metrics Baselines, for additional details. LUMA's February 5, 2021, filing in NEPR-MI-2019-0007 is provided for reference as Appendix A. In compliance with the Resolution and Ordered issued by the Energy Bureau on August 1, 2022, LUMA's Performance Metrics team, in conjunction with experts from relevant functional departments performed analysis, and prepared proposals on the additional metrics requested by the Energy Bureau. These proposed metrics and relevant written testimony have been included in LUMA's Revised Annex IX.



As discussed in Exhibit 2 of LUMA's February 5, 2021, filing in NEPR-MI-2019-0007, LUMA found significant gaps in both PREPA's processes and data. This makes determining baseline performance to enable the setting of realistic performance targets for the proposed Performance Metrics a challenge. Consequently, LUMA proposes that reporting of certain metrics and their use in Annex IX be deferred until such time as LUMA is able to provide reliable data for those metrics. In order to provide a full set of metrics, LUMA also proposes the addition of some Performance Metrics in Annex IX that were not present in the OMA at the time of execution.

The proposed Performance Metrics are presented in this submission with details related to each, including objectives, descriptions, calculations, performance baselines, and targets. A timeframe is also presented for each Performance Metric.

LUMA respectfully requests that the Puerto Rico Energy Bureau approve the revised Annex IX as presented in Sections 2 and 3 of this document, and consider for evaluation the additional metrics on Interconnection, Energy Efficiency/Demand Response, and Vegetation Management.

Lastly, plans for achieving the proposed targeted performance are presented within specified time frames. It must be noted that the design of LUMA's plans is affected in several cases by the lack of quality data. Implementation plans were developed based on the expertise of various subject matter experts, professional judgment, and knowledge of industry standards. LUMA expects to revise and update these plans to reflect additional information and improvements in data collection and the calculation of relevant metrics in the future. LUMA's plans for improvement in the proposed Performance Metrics are reflected in our prioritization of programs and, ultimately, in our Initial Budgets. Unforeseen events outside of LUMA's control may affect LUMA's ability to meet the proposed Performance Metrics.

1.3 Performance Metrics Overview

1.3.1 Purpose & Requirements of the OMA

Pursuant to Section 4.2(f) of the OMA, LUMA proposes a set of metrics, defined in this document, for measuring and reporting LUMA's performance as the Operator of the T&D System and for determining the incentive fee that LUMA is eligible to receive each applicable Contract Year as specified in Section 7.1(c) of the OMA. LUMA will be entitled to earn the incentive fee (set forth in Annex VIII of the OMA and calculated as set forth in Annex X of the OMA) for any given Contract Year in accordance with results for these Performance Metrics.

According to Section 4.2(f) of the OMA, the Performance Metrics must include (i) the proposed baseline, target, and minimum performance levels for certain Performance Metrics; (ii) Key Performance Metrics; (iii) Major Outage Event Performance Metrics; and (iv) an explanation of the basis for each of the foregoing, all as defined in Annex IX.

As described in Section 3 of LUMA's Reply to Comments on PREPA's performance baselines, performance metrics and compliance benchmarks in Case No. NEPR-MI-2019-0007, dated February 19, 2021, "the process for the establishment of Performance Metrics allows for an annual review of the Performance Metrics and revisions to the metrics if required." Due to the significant gaps identified in data collection, data quality, record-keeping, and processes as currently applied, LUMA proposes that this set of Performance Metrics apply for an initial period of three years of operation. On an annual basis, LUMA and the PREB will evaluate the effectiveness and appropriateness of each metric for measuring the desired performance (including the remote possibility of outperforming a benchmark). They will propose



resetting targets, minimum performance levels, and metric timelines to be applied to subsequent Contract Years. LUMA may also propose replacing one or more metrics.

1.3.2 Summary of Performance Metrics

As stated in Section 2.1 of LUMA's Reply to Comments on PREPA's performance baselines, performance metrics, as well as compliance benchmarks in Case No. NEPR-MI-2019-0007, dated February 19, 2021:

As part of our planning work and based on Puerto Rico energy public policy, LUMA established a mission and goals to help guide improvement programs and prioritize activities. LUMA used the mission and goals as part of its strategic planning framework to ensure alignment with Puerto Rico's broader public policy objectives and customer needs. As part of this alignment, LUMA recognizes that Performance Metrics associated with the mission and goals will further earlier compliance with public policy and drive benefits for the people of Puerto Rico.

The proposed performance metrics are listed in Table 1-1. These are grouped into three major performance categories in accordance with Annex IX: Customer Service; Technical, Safety & Regulatory; and Financial Performance. The second column, "OMA Description," has the text used in Annex IX of the OMA at its Effective Date. The third column indicates, in summary form, LUMA's description, including any clarification, addition, or deferral to Annex IX.

Performance Metric	OMA Description	LUMA Description				
Customer Service						
J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Residential Customers)	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction				
J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Business Customers)	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction				
Average Speed of Answer (minutes) ¹	Time it takes on the phone to reach an agent	The average wait time from the moment the customer enters the Automated Call Distribution (ACD) queue to the time the call is answered by an agent				
Customer Complaint Rate	Total monthly complaints registered with PREB	Total annual complaints registered with PREB divided by the total number of customers and then multiplied by 100,000				
First Call Resolution (FCR) ¹ (deferred)	% of calls with issues that are escalated	The percentage of calls where the customer was able to resolve their issue/need on the first attempt PREPA's systems do not have the ability to track and report FCR. LUMA proposes deferring the calculation and reporting of this metric until a new cloud-based Contact Center platform is implemented and FCR performance tracking can be established. This is currently targeted for Year 2.				
Abandonment Rate ¹	# of abandoned calls per calls received	The percentage of callers who hang up (abandon) while the call is still in the Automated Call Distribution (ACD) queue.				
Technical, Safety & Regulatory						
Occupational Safety and Health (OSHA) Recordable Incident Rate	# of work-related OSHA recordable injury cases	Total number of OSHA recordable incidents as a result of work-related injury				

Table 1-1. Performance Metrics Summary



Performance Metric	OMA Description	LUMA Description
OSHA Fatalities ¹	# of work-related fatalities	All work-related fatalities
OSHA Severity Rate ¹	OSHA Severe Injuries # of total work-related injury cases with severity days	Total number of restricted and lost-time days incurred as a result of a work-related injury
OSHA Days Away Restricted or Transferred (DART) Rate	# of work-related injury	Total number of OSHA recordable cases with lost-time days (away, restricted, or transferred)
System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) ¹	Measures avg. outage frequency	Indicates how often the average customer experiences a sustained interruption over a predefined period of time ²
System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) ¹	Measures avg. restoration time	Indicates the total duration of interruption for the average customer during a predefined period of time ²
Vegetation Maintenance Miles Completed by 230kV, 115kV, 38kV, Distribution (primary line only)	N/A	Indicates the number of overhead line miles fully maintained in a given year by Transmission (230kV, 115kV, 38kV) and Distribution (less than 38kV).
Customer Average Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI) ¹ (eliminated)	Measures avg. outage duration	Represents the average time required to restore service ²
		Based on growing industry concerns that CAIDI is very limited as a performance metric, LUMA proposes eliminating CAIDI. Since CAIDI is the ratio between SAIDI and SAIFI, CAIDI can be misleading because it can remain the same even when the SAIDI and SAIFI values decrease.
Customers Experiencing Multiple Interruptions (CEMI _N) (deferred)	Measures multiple outages in a given period	Indicates the ratio of individual customers experiencing N or more sustained interruptions to the total number of customers served. ²
		Due to data quality issues, including lack of accurate customer information and lack of customer connectivity in the Outage Management System, LUMA proposes deferring CEMI _N until after the information can be corrected and a baseline determined, currently expected to be Year 4.
Momentary Average Interruption Frequency Index (MAIFI) (deferred)	Measures avg. # of momentary interruptions	Indicates the average frequency of momentary interruptions.
		Due to data availability and quality issues, LUMA recommends deferring the MAIFI metric until it can be accurately measured. This requires replacing the Energy Manage System currently targeted for years 4 or 5.
Distribution Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections ¹	N/A	The number of distribution line inspections completed, with data recorded in a database for analysis. Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan to be addressed within 60 days of identification.
Transmission Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections	N/A	The number of transmission line inspections completed, with data recorded in a database for analysis. Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan to be addressed within 60 days of identification.
T&D Substation Inspections & Targeted Corrections	N/A	The number of distribution and transmission substation inspections completed with data recorded in a database for analysis. Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan to be addressed within 60 days of identification.



Performance Metric	OMA Description	LUMA Description
NEM Project Activation Duration	N/A	Measures the average duration (days) for activating NEM projects.
Energy Savings as % of Sales (deferred)	N/A	Measures total energy savings achieved (MWh) as a percentage of total energy sales (MWh) during the period. This is currently targeted for Year 2.
Peak Demand Savings as % of Peak Demand (deferred)	N/A	Measures peak demand savings achieved (MW) as a percentage of total peak demand (MW) during the period. This is currently targeted for Year 2.
Financial Performance		
Operating Budget ¹	Measures ability to stay within budget	Measures ability to stay within budget
Capital Budget: Federally Funded ¹	Measures ability to stay within budget	Measures ability to stay within budget
Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded ¹	Measures ability to stay within budget	Measures ability to stay within budget
Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) (bifurcated – see below)	Measures ability to collect bills	Measures ability to collect customer bills
Reduction in Network Line Losses (deferred)	Measures ability to reduce electric losses	Measures ability to reduce electric losses PREPA does not currently allocate losses to the components of the system. Such allocation requires the development of an appropriate model, as well as additional metering and other measures. This is currently targeted for Year 2.
Overtime	Measures ability to manage salary expense	Measures ability to manage overtime costs under normal operations (excluding emergency events)
Days Sales Outstanding – General Customers	N/A	Measures ability to collect bills from general customers
Days Sales Outstanding – Government Customers	N/A	Measures ability to collect bills from government customers

¹ These Performance Metrics are also Key Performance Metrics as defined in Annex IX of the OMA.

² These descriptions are from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices IEEE Std. 1366[™]-2012.

1.3.3 Summary of Major Outage Event Performance Metrics

The OMA outlines technical metrics to establish targets for acceptable performance in providing reliable electric service during normal conditions. These metrics expressly characterize Major Outage Events (MOE) as abnormal and exclude utility performance during these major outage events. As such, they are not intended to, cannot, and do not provide any quantitative measurement of utility performance during a major outage event. Finally, technical metrics measure the utility's overall reliability on an annual basis. In contrast, the Major Outage Event Scorecard (MOE Scorecard) will be used as a tool to specifically measure utility performance (including preparation and communication activities) during each MOE.

1.3.4 Application of Performance Metrics

The Performance Metrics outlined in Sections 2.4 and 2.5 of this submission apply during normal operations of the T&D System (i.e., when Major Outage Event Performance Metrics do not apply). For the



purposes of this proposal, including Section 2, Revised Annex IX — Performance Metrics, Major Outage Event Performance Metrics apply during Major Outage Events defined as:

an event as a result of which (i) at least two hundred and five thousand (205,000) T&D Customers are interrupted for more than 15 minutes or (ii) at any point in time during the event, there are one thousand five hundred or more (\geq 1,500) active outage events for the T&D System, which are tracked in the Outage Management System (OMS). The major outage event is deemed ongoing so long as the interruptions/outages continue to remain above the stated cumulative amounts, in each case for a period of twenty-four hours or longer (\geq 24) and are caused by an act of God. If such an act of God is a storm, the storm must be designated as a named storm by the U.S. National Weather Service or a State of Emergency declared by the Government of Puerto Rico. The major outage event shall be deemed to have ended when the cumulative number of T&D customers remaining interrupted falls below ten thousand (10,000) for a continuous period of eight (8) hours.

This definition was altered from that in the OMA to further define expectations and measurable targets. The MOE Scorecard is a tool to specifically track utility performance (including preparation and communication activities) after each Major Outage Event. The use of the MOE Scorecard is consistent with the OMA's intent to provide transparency on the utility's performance during emergencies and to assist in learning from emergency events and improving emergency response.

2.0 Revised Annex IX — Performance Metrics

This section provides a revised Annex IX of the OMA for PREB's consideration and approval.

2.1 General

For each Contract Year, LUMA shall be eligible to receive financial incentive compensation (Incentive Fee) based on the LUMA's performance during the Contract Year. LUMA's performance will be measured against the performance goals set forth by the Performance Metrics as described in this revised Annex IX (Performance Metrics). Section 3 of this document provides an updated view of the illustrative table provided in the OMA.

2.2 Performance Categories

The proposed Performance Metrics are listed in Table 2-1. These are grouped into three major Performance Categories in accordance with Annex IX of the OMA: Customer Service; Technical, Safety & Regulatory; and Financial Performance. Likewise, the Incentive Compensation Pool will be allocated across the Performance Categories to align LUMA's incentive compensation with the performance goals.

Performance Category		Allocation of Incentive Compensation Period
1. Customer Satisfaction	Achieve a high-level of customer satisfaction across all customer classes.	25%

Table 2-1. Summary of Performance Categories



Performance Category	Performance Goal	Allocation of Incentive Compensation Period
2. Technical, Safety & Regulatory	Operate a safe and reliable electric grid while remaining compliant with applicable safety regulations.	50%
3. Financial Performance	Meet the approved Operating Budget, Capital Budget: Federally Funded, and Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded.	25%

2.3 In Compliance with Energy Bureau Regulation 9137, Docket NEPR-MI-2019-0014²

- A. For each Contract Year, the level of performance in each Performance Category shall be measured based on actual results achieved for the Contract Year. Levels of performance and achievement of results will be adjusted proportionately during the initial Contract Year, beginning on the Service Commencement Date and ending on the following June 30. For this purpose, one or more Performance Metrics shall be associated with each Performance Category.
- B. For all Performance Categories, LUMA's performance shall be determined by the level of achievement of the Performance Objective for each Performance Metric under a Performance Category as described in Section 2.5 of this document. Such level of achievement will determine the portion of the allocated Incentive Compensation Pool earned by LUMA as described in Annex X (Calculation of Incentive Fee).
- C. Each Performance Metric has an assigned point weighting (Base Points). For all Performance Metrics except for the Binary Metrics as described in Section D below, a baseline performance level has been established prior to the beginning of the first Contract Year (the Baseline Performance Level). The proposed Baseline Performance Level is based on either historical operating data confirmed during the Front-End Transition Period, performance during the Front-End Transition Period, or independent analysis. The initial baseline levels are proposed by LUMA and then reviewed, modified and/or approved by PREB in the manner set forth in the main body of the OMA. The Baseline Performance Level sets the starting point for each metric relative to the target performance level to be achieved in the third Contract Year (the "Target Performance Level"). The annual target performance level for each performance metric over the initial three-year period is determined by the following: first, consideration of data and process information gathered from PREPA about past performance; second, discovered during the first two months of LUMA operations; and third, the consideration of effort and practical resources required (including human capital, processes and IT systems) to achieve improvements in performance and consideration of available budgets. The annual Minimum Performance Level set for each Performance Metric establishes the value that must be exceeded to qualify for Base Points and is established as one level lower performance than the 25% level in the Performance Metric Schedule. In Contract Years where the Minimum Performance Level is exceeded, LUMA has the ability to earn 25%, 50%, 100%, 125%, or 150% (the Base Point Multipliers) of the Base Points depending on the metric result relative to the established baseline for the Contract Year. That is, for a result between the Minimum Performance Level and the 25% tier,

² PREB Regulation for Performance Incentive Mechanisms, Regulation 9137, approved on December 2, 2019 in matter number NEPR – MI – 2019 – 0014.



LUMA would receive points equal to 25% of the Base Points, and for a result between the 25% threshold and the 50% threshold, LUMA would receive points equal to 50% of the Base Points, etc.

Performance ranges for determination of Base Points earned shall be based on achieving performance improvement from the Baseline Performance Level to the Target Performance Level over the initial three-year period. They shall be aligned with principles beneficial to the public interest, including going above and beyond the minimum required compliance level; positively impacting or addressing areas of unsatisfactory performance with a direct impact on the electric service user; and tied to difficult tasks rather than easy to fix areas.

D. Several Performance Metrics will be evaluated differently than the mechanism outlined above because the baseline is independent year to year (the Binary Metric). For the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Fatalities metrics, a value of zero results in full Base Points, and a value other than zero results in no points. For the three approved budget-related metrics, Operating Budget, Capital Budget: Federally Funded and Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded, exceeding 102% of the applicable budget results in no points while spending less than or equal to 100% of the applicable budget results in awarding full Base Points. The Operator can earn full Base Points by spending up to 100% of the Budget, pending Administrator approval. As defined in Section 7.3(b) of the OMA, the Budgets include 2% Excess Expenditures. Budget amendments, as defined in (i) through (iv) in Section 7.4 and 14.5(e) of the OMA, shall be deemed to be included in the initially approved Budgets (denominator) for purposes of this calculation. Further, any funds drawn from the Outage Event Reserve Account and the Contingency Reserve Account, as they have specific requirements, do not contribute to this metric.

2.4 Summary of Performance Metrics

The Performance Metrics that will form the basis for the Incentive Compensation Pool and their descriptions, baseline derivations, base points, and effective weights are summarized in Table 2-2.

Performance Metric	Description	Baseline Performance Level Derivation	Base Points	Effective Weight
A. Customer Service				
1. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Residential Customers)	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction	Baseline has been set off initial survey. Reporting will begin in year 1	7.0	5.83%
2. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Business Customers)	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction	Baseline has been set off initial survey. Reporting will begin in year 1	7.0	5.83%
3. Average Speed of Answer (minutes) ¹	The average wait time from the moment the customer enters the Automated Call Distribution (ACD) queue to the time the call is answered by an agent	Based on past PREPA performance and LUMA experience	7.0	5.83%

Table 2-2. Summary of Performance Metrics



Performance Metric	Description	Baseline Performance Level Derivation	Base Points	Effective Weight
4. Customer Complaint Rate	Total annual complaints registered with PREB (NEPR- QR) per 100,000 customers	Based on the total number of complaints received by the PREB (NEPR-QR) from May 2019 to February 2020, annualized, as the baseline as it is the most normal period of operations for PREPA in the last 4 years	2.0	1.67%
5. Abandonment Rate ¹	The percentage of callers who hang up (abandon) while the call is still in the ACD queue	Based on past PREPA performance and LUMA experience	7.0	5.83%
A. Customer Service ²	2		30.0	25.0%
1. OSHA Recordable Incident Rate	Total number of OSHA recordable incidents as a result of work-related injury	Evaluation of PREPA historical data	5.0	4.17%
2. OSHA Fatalities ¹	All work-related fatalities	Evaluation of PREPA historical data	5.0	4.17%
3. OSHA Severity Rate ^{1,4}	Total number of restricted and lost-time days incurred as a result of a work-related injury	Evaluation of PREPA historical data	5.0	4.17%
4. OSHA DART Rate	Total number of OSHA recordable cases with lost- time days (away, restricted, or transferred)	Evaluation of PREPA historical data	5.0	4.17%
5. System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) ¹	Indicates how often the average customer experiences a sustained interruption over a predefined period. ³	Calculated from PREPA historical data during the Front-End Transition Period	5.0	4.17%
6. System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) ¹	Indicates the total duration of interruption for the average customer during a predefined period. ³	Calculated from PREPA historical data during the Front-End Transition Period	5.0	4.17%
7. Vegetation Maintenance Miles Completed by 230kV, 115kV, 38kV, and Distribution (primary line only)	Indicates the number of overhead line miles fully maintained in the given year by Transmission (230kV, 115kV, 38kV) and Distribution (less than 38kV).	No previous baseline exists.	5.0	4.17%



Performance Metric	Description	Baseline Performance Level Derivation	Base Points	Effective Weight
8. Distribution Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections ¹	The number of distribution line inspections completed, with data recorded in a database for analysis. Inspections of all 13.2 kV, 8.3 kV, and 4.16 kV mainline, 3 phase, overhead circuits to assess the physical integrity of the poles, structures, components, and equipment to be completed. LUMA will identify serious safety issues for either the public or workers, which will result in immediate priorities for the remediation process. Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan to address within 60 days of identification.	Not applicable. PREPA has not been performing routine inspections.	5.0	4.17%
9. Transmission Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections	The number of transmission line inspections completed, with data recorded in a database for analysis. Inspections of all 230 kV, 115 kV, and 38 kV transmission circuits to assess the physical integrity of the poles, structures, components, and equipment to be completed. LUMA will identify serious safety issues for either the public or workers, which will result in immediate priorities for the remediation process. Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan to address within 60 days of identification.	Not applicable. PREPA has not been performing routine inspections.	5.0	4.17%
10. T&D Substation Inspections & Targeted Corrections	The number of distribution and transmission substation inspections completed with data recorded in a database for analysis. Inspections of all distribution and transmission substations to assess the physical integrity of the substation structures, components, and equipment to be completed. LUMA will identify serious safety issues for either the public or workers, which will result in immediate priorities for the remediation process. Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan to address within 60 days of identification.	Not applicable. PREPA has not been performing routine inspections.	5.0	4.17%
11. NEM Project Activation Duration	Measures the average duration (days) for activating NEM projects.	No previous baseline exists.	5.0	4.17%



Performance Metric	Description	Baseline Performance Level Derivation	Base Points	Effective Weight
12. Energy Savings as % of Sales	Measures total energy savings achieved (MWh) as percentage of total energy sales (MWh) during the period.	No previous baseline exists.	2.5	2.08%
13. Peak Demand Savings as % of Peak Demand	Measures peak demand savings achieved (MW) as percentage of total peak demand (MW) during the period.	No previous baseline exists.	2.5	2.08%
B. Technical, Safety &	& Regulatory		60.0	50.0%
C. Financial Performa	ance			
1. Operating Budget ¹	Measures ability to stay within budget	Budget approved by PREB	7.5	5.68%
2. Capital Budget: Federally Funded ¹	Measures ability to stay within budget	Budget approved by PREB	7.5	5.68%
3. Capital Budget: Non- Federally Funded ¹	Measures ability to stay within budget	Budget approved by PREB	7.5	5.68%
4a) Days Sales Outstanding: General Customers	Measures ability to collect bills from general customers	Based on analysis of data over the last 36 months and consideration of the impact of external factors such as Hurricane Maria and the COVID cut-off moratorium, the timeframe of May 2019 – February 2020 represents the most current stable and unimpaired period of collections activity for the general customers	4.0	3.03%
4b) Days Sales Outstanding: Government Customers	Measures ability to collect bills from government customers	PREPA historical data from the timeframe of January – July 2020 is the most appropriate period for establishing a Government DSO baseline	1.5	1.14%
5. Overtime	Measures ability to manage overtime costs	23% of Total Base Compensation for Non- Exempt Employees based on PREPA historical data	5	3.79%
C. Financial Performa	ance ⁵		33.0	25.0%

¹ These Performance Metrics are also Key Performance Metrics (as defined in Section 2.6 LUMA Event of Default and in the OMA Section 14.1 (k)).

² Note that the Base Points for the individual Customer Service Performance Metrics vary from those in OMA Annex IX. The base points for the Customer Complaint Rate were reduced, and the ones for the other Customer Service metrics were increased. This modification recognizes the uncertainty of the data for historical customer complaints registered with PREB. PREPA did not review complaints with PREB; consequently, there is no information on what portion of total complaints are justifiable. The total Customer Service Base Points shown remain the same as in the OMA Annex IX.

³ These descriptions are from the IEEE Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices, IEEE Std. 1366[™]-2012.

⁴ As part of this revision to OMA Annex IX, the use of the term Severe Injuries, which is not an OSHA metric, has been replaced, as appropriate, with the consistent use of the term Severity Rate herein, which is an OSHA metric.



⁵ Note that the Base Points for the individual Financial Performance Metrics vary from those in OMA Annex IX. The Days Sales Outstanding Performance Metric has been bifurcated, and the Reduction in Network Line Losses Performance Metric has been deferred. The total Financial Performance base points shown are 33 instead of the 38 in the OMA Annex IX, and as a result, the effective weightings are slightly higher for each of the individual finance metrics. The total effective weight for the sum of the Financial Performance Metrics remains the same as in the OMA Annex IX.


2.5 **Performance Metrics**

Table 2-3 below summarizes baseline performance levels and annual targets for the Performance Metrics, with related details following the table.

able 2-3. Su	mmary of Perf	ormance Metri	cs Baselines	and Annual Ta	argets		
	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
A. Customei	Service						
1. J.D. Powe	r Customer Sa	atisfaction Sur	vey (Resident	tial Customers	;)		
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				398			
Year 1	427	398	450	439	427	415	405
Year 2	455	427	480	468	455	440	430
Year 3	484	455	500	492	484	470	460
2. J.D. Powe	r Customer Sa	atisfaction Sur	vey (Busines	s Customers)			
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				345			
Year 1	380	345	415	400	380	370	355
Year 2	414	380	450	432	414	400	390
Year 3	449	414	475	462	449	435	425
3. Average S	peed of Answ	er (minutes) ¹					
PREB Order				8.3			
Baseline				10.0			
Year 1	9.0	9.7	4.5	6.8	9.0	9.3	9.6
Year 2	6.4	7.1	3.2	4.8	6.4	6.7	7.0
Year 3	5.8	6.4	2.9	4.4	5.8	6.1	6.3
4. Customer	Complaint Ra	ite					
PREB Order				841			
Baseline				10.5			
Year 1	10.2	11.0	9.7	10.0	10.2	10.5	10.7
Year 2	10.0	10.8	9.5	9.8	10.0	10.3	10.5
Year 3	9.5	10.3	9.0	9.3	9.5	9.8	10.0
5. Abandonr	nent Rate ¹						
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				50.0%			
Year 1	40.0%	45.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%	41.0%	42.0%
Year 2	32.0%	35.0%	16.0%	24.0%	32.0%	33.0%	34.0%
Year 3	29.0%	34.0%	14.5%	22.0%	29.0%	31.0%	33.0%



	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%				
B. Technical,	Safety & Reg	gulatory									
1. OSHA Rec	ordable Incid	lent Rate									
PREB Order				6.9							
Baseline				8.75							
Year 1	6.56	7.88	5.68	6.12	6.56	7.00	7.44				
Year 2	5.25	7.25	3.99	4.59	5.25	5.95	6.69				
Year 3	4.20	6.67	2.79	3.45	4.20	5.06	6.02				
2. OSHA Fata	alities ¹										
PREB Order				0							
Baseline				0							
Year 1	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A				
Year 2	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A				
Year 3	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A				
3. OSHA Sev	erity Rate ¹										
PREB Order		31.00									
Baseline				58.03							
Year 1	49.32	53.38	43.52	46.42	49.32	52.23	53.38				
Year 2	41.92	49.12	32.64	37.14	41.92	44.39	48.05				
Year 3	35.64	45.19	24.48	29.71	35.64	37.74	43.25				
4. OSHA DAF	RT Rate										
PREB Order				4.80							
Baseline				6.85							
Year 1	5.14	6.17	4.45	4.80	5.13	5.48	5.82				
Year 2	4.11	5.67	3.12	3.60	4.11	4.66	5.24				
Year 3	3.29	5.22	2.18	2.7	3.29	3.96	4.72				
5. System Av	erage Interru	ption Frequenc	y Index (SAIF	-I) ^{1,2}							
PREB Order				10.6							
Baseline				10.6							
Year 1	9.8	10.4	8.2	8.9	9.8	10.0	10.2				
Year 2	8.5	10.1	6.8	7.5	8.5	8.9	9.5				
Year 3	7.4	9.8	5.8	6.6	7.4	8.2	9.0				
6. System Av	erage Interru	ption Duration	Index (SAIDI)	1,2							
PREB Order				1,243							
Baseline				1,243							
Year 1	1,119	1,212	870	994	1,119	1,150	1,181				
Year 2	932	1,155	684	808	932	1,007	1,081				



	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
Year 3	746	1,118	497	622	746	870	994
7. Vegetatio	n Maintenance	e Miles Comple	eted (230kV, 1	15kV, 38kV, ar	nd primary Dis	stribution)	
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	1,600	160	2,000	1,800	1,600	800	600
Year 2	1,800	180	2,200	2,000	1,800	900	700
Year 3	2,000	200	2,400	2,200	2,000	1,000	800
8. Distributio	on Line Inspec	tions & Target	ed Correctior	1S ¹			
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	106	16	159	133	106	53	27
Year 2	370	56	555	463	370	185	93
Year 3	687	103	1,031	859	687	344	172
9. Transmiss	ion Line Inspe	ections & Targ	eted Correction	ons			
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	26	4	39	33	26	13	7
Year 2	91	14	137	114	91	46	23
Year 3	169	25	254	211	169	85	43
10. T&D Sub	station Inspec	tions & Target	ed Correction	ıs			
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	39	6	59	49	39	20	10
Year 2	137	21	206	171	137	69	34
Year 3	255	38	383	319	255	128	64
11. Net Energ	gy Metering (N	IEM) Project A	ctivation Dura	ation			
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	28	30	26	27	28	29	30
Year 2	28	30	26	27	28	29	30
Year 3	28	30	26	27	28	29	30
12. Energy S	avings as Per	cent of Total E	nergy Sales				
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	0.10% Savings	N/A	0.15%	0.13%	0.10%	0.05%	0.03%
Year 2	0.25% Savings	N/A	0.38%	0.31%	0.25%	0.13%	0.06%



18

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%		
Year 3	0.40% Savings	N/A	0.60%	0.50%	0.40%	0.20%	0.10%		
13. Peak Demand Savings as a Percent of Total Peak Demand									
PREB Order	N/A								
Baseline				N/A					
Year 1	0.10% Savings	N/A	0.08%	0.06%	0.05%	0.03%	0.01%		
Year 2	0.20% Savings	N/A	0.15%	0.13%	0.10%	0.05%	0.03%		
Year 3	0.30% Savings	N/A	0.30%	0.25%	0.20%	0.10%	0.05%		

C. Financial Performance

1. Operating Budget¹

PREB Order	80.4%									
Baseline			100%	6 of Operating B	udget					
Year 1	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			
Year 2	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			
Year 3	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			

2. Capital Budget: Federally Funded¹

PREB Order				N/A						
Baseline		N/A								
Year 1	100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			
Year 2	100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			
Year 3	100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			



Target ThresholdMinimum Performance150%125%100%	50% 25%	
---	---------	--

3. Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded¹

PREB Order				6.6%					
Baseline		100% of Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded Approved for Fiscal 2022							
Year 1	<100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A		
Year 2	<100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A		
Year 3	<100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A		

4a) Days Sales Outstanding: General Customers

PREB Order	132									
Baseline				131						
Year 1	128	148	119	122	128	135	138			
Year 2	126	145	116	120	126	132	135			
Year 3	123	142	114	117	123	129	132			

4b) Days Sales Outstanding: Government Customers

PREB Order				619			
Baseline				754			
Year 1	739	850	684	702	739	776	794
Year 2	724	833	670	688	724	760	778
Year 3	709	815	656	674	709	745	762

5. Overtime

PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline		23% c	of Total Base Cor	npensation for N	on-Exempt Empl	oyees	
Year 1	20% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	23% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 18%	19%	20%	21%	22%
Year 2	19% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation ³	22% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 17%	18%	19%	20%	21%
Year 3	18% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	21% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 16%	17%	18%	19%	20%



- ¹ These Performance Metrics are also Key Performance Metrics (as defined in the Revised Annex IX Performance Metrics Section 4.6 LUMA Event of Default and in the OMA Section 14.1 (k).
- ² These metrics are based on the IEEE Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices, IEEE Std. 1366-2012 and baselined by annualizing the 2020 performance through August 2020 (the dataset provided covered the period of January 2020 through August 2020) to account for the 2020 degraded performance over 2019.
- ³ A 1% Metric Improvement Target can equate to a 22% Cost Improvement. See Sample Overtime Savings Calculation below.

2.5.1 Customer Satisfaction

1. J.D. POWER CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY (RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS)

Performance Objective: To incentivize sufficient customer service.

Description: Third-party customer survey.

Calculation: The J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction metric examines six factors: power quality and reliability, price, billing and payment, corporate citizenship, communications, and customer service. Customer Satisfaction will be measured by following up with surveys in four phases per year for residential and two phases per year for commercial. The initial survey was completed, and a baseline was set prior to commencement, with reporting beginning in FY 2022.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				398			
Year 1	427	398	450	439	427	415	405
Year 2	455	427	480	468	455	440	430
Year 3	484	455	500	492	484	470	460

Table 2-4. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Residential Customers)

2. J.D. POWER CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY (BUSINESS CUSTOMERS)

Performance Objective: To incentivize sufficient customer service.

Description: Third-party customer survey.

Calculation: The J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction metric examines six factors: power quality and reliability, price, billing and payment, corporate citizenship, communications, and customer service. Customer Satisfaction will be measured by following up with surveys in four phases per year for residential and two phases per year for commercial. The initial survey was completed, and a baseline was set prior to commencement, with reporting beginning in FY2022.



	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				345			
Year 1	380	345	415	400	380	370	355
Year 2	414	380	450	432	414	400	390
Year 3	449	414	475	462	449	435	425

Table 2-5. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Business Customers)

3. AVERAGE SPEED OF ANSWER (MINUTES)

Performance Objective: To incentivize efficient call center service.

Description: The Average Speed of Answer (ASA) metric measures the average wait time from the moment the customer enters the queue to the time the call is answered by an agent.

Calculation: Total Automatic Call Distributor (ACD) wait seconds/total answered calls.

An ACD is a telephony system that automatically distributes incoming phone calls to available agents, based on data entered by the caller into an Interactive Voice Response (IVR) and skills-based routing, using skills associated with agents.

LUMA's baseline data derives from FY2019 – March 2020. When assessing whether to use FY2019 or FY2020 data, we determined that the FY2020 does not support a reliable baseline for the following reasons:

- Current data is only available for a period of 6 months
- Reported ASA varies significantly from month to month due to COVID and onboarding new outsource vendors
- There is a lack of visibility into three separate call routing systems and overflow, which prevents LUMA from accurately calculating baseline ASA

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				8.3			
Baseline				10.0			
Year 1	9.0	9.7	4.5	6.8	9.0	9.3	9.6
Year 2	6.4	7.1	3.2	4.8	6.4	6.7	7.0
Year 3	5.8	6.4	2.9	4.4	5.8	6.1	6.3

Table 2-6. Average Speed of Answer (minutes)

4. CUSTOMER COMPLAINT RATE

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective customer service.

Description: This metric measures the total number of initial customer complaints registered with PREB under an NEPR-QR docket. The Baseline Performance Level was set based on PREPA historical data.



Calculation: The annual value is calculated by taking the total number of initial complaints divided by the total utility customer population and multiplying by 100,000.

LUMA's baseline was calculated from FY2019 – March 2020 data. Upon further investigation, LUMA determined that FY2020 does not support a reliable baseline due to:

- Current data is not available
- The lack of visibility into the response rate prevents us from accurately calculating the baseline service level

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%		
PREB Order				841					
Baseline		10.5							
Year 1	10.2	11.0	9.7	10.0	10.2	10.5	10.7		
Year 2	10.0	10.8	9.5	9.8	10.0	10.3	10.5		
Year 3	9.5	10.3	9.0	9.3	9.5	9.8	10.0		

Table 2-7. Customer Complaint Rate

Note that the Minimum Performance Level in the early years is worse than the baseline to account for the possible scenario of a temporary increase in customer complaints due to the strong possibility of bill consumption actually increasing as metering, meter data, and billing accuracy improves (meters typically under register when not working properly).

5. ABANDONMENT RATE

Performance Objective: To incentivize efficient call center service.

Description: The Abandonment Rate (ABD) metric measures the percentage of callers who hang up (abandon) while the call is still in the Automated Call Distribution (ACD) queue.

Calculation: Total calls were abandoned in queue/total calls offered to the queue.

LUMA's baseline was calculated using FY2019 to March 2020 data. Upon further analysis, LUMA determined that using FY2020 data would not support a reliable baseline due to the following:

- Current data is only available for a period of 6 months
- Reported ABD varies significantly from month to month due to COVID and onboarding new outsource vendors
- There is a lack of visibility into three separate call routing systems, and overflow presents us from accurately calculating baseline ABD



Table 2-8. Abandonment Rate

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				50.0%			
Year 1	40.0%	45.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%	41.0%	42.0%
Year 2	32.0%	35.0%	16.0%	24.0%	32.0%	33.0%	34.0%
Year 3	29.0%	34.0%	14.5%	22.0%	29.0%	31.0%	33.0%

2.5.2 Technical, Safety & Regulatory

The System Reliability Technical Performance Metrics will be measured and calculated in accordance with IEEE 1366-2012, including the terms as defined therein. The calculation of Technical Performance Metrics excludes (i) interruptions associated with Outage Event days using the IEEE 2.5 Beta Method, (ii) planned interruptions, and (iii) interruptions caused by generation events.

Regarding Metrics 1, 3, and 4 below:

LUMA analyzed the benchmarks in the PREB Order and determined that the PREB Order does not adequately represent recent results for the following reasons:

- The PREB order is based on PREPA submissions to quarterly performance metrics filings. The quarterly performance metrics are an aggregation of data related to transmission, distribution, and generation activities and are not representative of LUMA's activities (only transmission and distribution).
- Beginning in January 2020, PREPA began excluding certain incidents from the OSHA recordable incident register and instead included them in an internal report known as 'Casi-Casi.' According to the information provided by PREPA to LUMA, several incidents on the 'Casi-Casi' report resulted in days away from work or medical treatment beyond first aid. LUMA was unable to receive confirmation from PREPA as to why these incidents were excluded from the OSHA recordable incident register.

By excluding the 'Casi-Casi' incidents and including generation operations, all Technical, Safety & Regulatory benchmarks in the PREB Order decreased significantly (from between 19-31%). Excluding incidents from generation operations and including the 'Casi-Casi' results in no changes to significant increases in the benchmarks (from 0 to +15%). As a result, LUMA proposes to maintain FY2021 benchmarks with adjustments to exclude incidents from generation operations and to include relevant 'Casi-Casi' incidents in accordance with industry practice and OSHA guidelines. LUMA proposed benchmarks and targets are included in the tables below.

1. OSHA RECORDABLE INCIDENT RATE (OSHA IR)³

Performance Objective: To incentivize employee safety.

Description: OSHA requires Recordable Incident Rate to be reported to OSHA on a yearly basis. An OSHA recordable incident is a work-related injury or illness that results in one of more of the following:

³ As defined by OSHA.



death, days away from work, restricted work or transfer to another job, medical treatment beyond first aid, loss of consciousness, or a significant injury or illness diagnosed by a physician or other licensed health-care professional. The baseline performance level has been set using PREPA historical data in addition to an internal report named Casi Casi.

Calculation: The metric is calculated as the total number of recordable incident cases over a set time period multiplied by the OSHA scaling factor⁴ and divided by the total number of labor hours the company recorded during that time period.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				6.9			
Baseline				8.75			
Year 1	6.56	7.88	5.68	6.12	6.56	7.00	7.44
Year 2	5.25	7.25	3.99	4.59	5.25	5.95	6.69
Year 3	4.20	6.67	2.79	3.45	4.20	5.06	6.02

Table 2-9. OSHA Recordable Incident Rate

2. OSHA FATALITIES⁵

Performance Objective: To incentivize employee safety.

Description: OSHA requires all work-related fatalities to be reported to OSHA within eight (8) hours. The industry standard target is 0 fatalities, which has determined the Baseline and Target Performance Levels.

Calculation: This metric measures the number of OSHA-reportable fatalities (i.e., employee fatalities that occur on the job within OSHA jurisdictions).

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				0			
Baseline				0			
Year 1	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Year 2	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Year 3	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A

Table 2-10. OSHA Fatalities

3. OSHA SEVERITY RATE⁶

Performance Objective: To incentivize employee safety

⁶ As defined by OSHA.



⁴ The OSHA scaling factor is 200,000 and equates to equates to one hundred (100) employees working forty (40) hours per week, fifty (50) weeks of the year).

⁵ As defined by OSHA.

Description: Used as a metric to measure the severity of workplace injuries, the OSHA Severity Rate is commonly used to measure safety performance across the utility industry. The OSHA Severity Rate considers the total number of restricted and lost-time days incurred as a result of a work-related injury.

Calculation: This metric is calculated by dividing the product of the total number of severity days (both restricted and lost-time days) and the OSHA scaling factor⁷ by the total number of work hours.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				31.00			
Baseline				58.03			
Year 1	49.32	53.38	43.52	46.42	49.32	52.23	53.38
Year 2	41.92	49.12	32.64	37.14	41.92	44.39	48.05
Year 3	35.64	45.19	24.48	29.71	35.64	37.74	43.25

Table 2-11. OSHA Severity Rate

4. OSHA DAYS AWAY, RESTRICTED, AND TRANSFER RATE (DART)⁸

Performance Objective: To incentivize employee safety.

Description: Used as a metric to measure the severity of workplace injuries, the OSHA DART Rate is commonly used to measure safety performance across the utility industry. The OSHA DART Rate considers the total number of injury cases that resulted in either lost time, restricted time, or a transfer from the employee's regular job.

Calculation: This metric is calculated by dividing the product of the total number of DART Cases (OSHA injury cases with either lost time days, restricted days, or results in a job transfer) and the OSHA scaling factor⁹ by the total number of work hours.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				4.80			
Baseline				6.85			
Year 1	5.14	6.17	4.45	4.80	5.13	5.48	5.82
Year 2	4.11	5.67	3.12	3.60	4.11	4.66	5.24
Year 3	3.29	5.22	2.18	2.70	3.29	3.96	4.72

Table 2-12. OSHA DART Rate

⁹ The OSHA scaling factor is 200,000 and equates to equates to one hundred (100) employees working forty (40) hours per week, fifty (50) weeks of the year.



⁷ The OSHA scaling factor is 200,000 and equates to equates to one hundred (100) employees working forty (40) hours per week, fifty (50) weeks of the year.

⁸ As defined by OSHA.

5. SYSTEM AVERAGE INTERRUPTION FREQUENCY INDEX (SAIFI)¹⁰

Performance Objective: To incentivize system reliability.

Description: This metric indicates how often the average customer experiences a sustained interruption¹¹ over a predefined period of time.

Calculation: This metric is calculated by dividing the total number of customers interrupted by the total number of customers served. Each sustained interruption¹² experienced by a specific customer counts towards the total in the numerator.

Minimum Target Performance 150% 125% 100% 50% 25% Threshold Level **PREB Order** 10.6 Baseline 10.6 Year 1 9.8 10.4 8.2 8.9 9.8 10.0 10.2 Year 2 8.5 10.1 6.8 7.5 8.5 8.9 9.5 Year 3 7.4 9.8 5.8 6.6 7.4 8.2 9.0

Table 2-13. System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI)

6. SYSTEM AVERAGE INTERRUPTION DURATION INDEX (SAIDI)¹³

Performance Objective: To incentivize system reliability

Description: This metric indicates the total duration of interruption for the average customer during a predefined period of time.

Calculation: This metric is calculated by summing the product of the length of each interruption and the number of customers affected by that interruption for all sustained interruptions¹⁴ during the measurement period, then dividing by the total number of customers served.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				1,243			
Baseline				1,243			
Year 1	1,119	1,212	870	994	1,119	1,150	1,181
Year 2	932	1,155	684	808	932	1,007	1,081
Year 3	746	1,118	497	622	746	870	994

¹⁴ "Any interruption not classified as a part of a momentary event. That is, any interruption that lasts more than five minutes." Ibid., page 4.



¹⁰ The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., IEEE Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices IEEE Std. 1366[™]-2012, May 2012, page 5.

¹¹ "Any interruption not classified as a part of a momentary event. That is, any interruption that lasts more than five minutes." Ibid., page 4.

¹² İbid.

¹³ The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., IEEE Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices IEEE Std. 1366[™]-2012, May 2012, page 5.

7. VEGETATION MAINTENANCE MILES COMPLETED (230KV, 115KV, 38KV, DISTRIBUTION)

Performance Objective: To incentivize improved system reliability by promoting vegetation maintenance along transmission and distribution lines.

Description: The metric monitors the number of line miles completed for vegetation maintenance each fiscal year along 230kV, 115kV, 38kV, and primary Distribution lines .

Calculation: This metric is the total amount of vegetation maintenance line miles completed during a fiscal year. The metric is calculated by adding together the total number of vegetation maintenance miles completed during the fiscal year along 230kV, 115kV, 38kV, and primary Distribution lines. The metric will be calculated using internal work pages, maps, and files.

For example: Total Vegetation Maintenance miles completed = # of 230kV maintenance miles completed + 115kV miles of maintenances completed + 38kV miles of maintenance completed + primary Distribution () miles of maintenance completed

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	1,600	160	2,000	1,800	1,600	800	600
Year 2	1,800	180	2,200	2,000	1,800	900	700
Year 3	2,000	200	2,400	2,200	2,000	1,000	800

Table 2-155. Vegetation Maintenance Miles Completed (230kV, 115kV, 38kV, primary Distribution)

8. DISTRIBUTION LINE INSPECTIONS & TARGETED CORRECTIONS

Performance Objective: To incentivize system safety and provide data to make decisions on effective reliability improvements, predictive maintenance, circuit hosting capacity, and resiliency upgrades.

Description: The Distribution Line Inspections and Targeted Corrections metric will assess the physical integrity of the poles, structures, components, and equipment, providing data to develop an overall health rating to identify serious safety issues to either the public or worker that will result in high-priority attention by LUMA.

Calculation: Number of distribution lines (circuits) inspected with results recorded in a database and Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan within 60 days of identification to address. That plan shall consider a coordinated approach to remediation based on severity and risk according to the objectives defined in LUMA's Recovery Transformation Framework.



	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	106	16	159	133	106	53	27
Year 2	370	56	555	463	370	185	93
Year 3	687	103	1,031	859	687	344	172

Table 2-166. Distribution Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections¹

¹ The numbers shown are cumulative from year to year. There are currently a total of 1,057 distribution circuits.

9. TRANSMISSION LINE INSPECTIONS & TARGETED CORRECTIONS

Performance Objective: To incentivize system safety and provide data to make decisions on effective reliability improvements, predictive maintenance, circuit hosting capacity, and resiliency upgrades.

Description: The Transmission Line Inspections and Targeted Corrections metric will assess the physical integrity of the poles, structures, components, and equipment, providing data to develop an overall health rating to identify serious safety issues to either the public or workers that will result in high-priority attention by LUMA.

Calculation: Number of transmission lines inspected with results recorded in a database and Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan within 60 days of identification to address. That plan shall consider a coordinated approach to remediation based on severity and risk according to the objectives defined in LUMA's Recovery Transformation Framework.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	26	4	39	33	26	13	7
Year 2	91	14	137	114	91	46	23
Year 3	169	25	254	211	169	85	43

Table 2-177. Transmission Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections¹

¹ The numbers shown are cumulative from year to year. There are currently a total of 260 transmission circuits.

10. T&D SUBSTATION INSPECTIONS & TARGETED CORRECTIONS

Performance Objective: To incentivize system safety and provide data to make decisions on effective reliability improvements, predictive maintenance, circuit hosting capacity, and resiliency upgrades.

Description: The T&D Substation Inspections and Targeted Corrections metric will assess the physical integrity of the structures, components, and equipment, providing data to develop an overall health rating to identify serious safety issues to either the public or workers that will result in high-priority attention by LUMA.

Calculation: Number of T&D substations inspected with results recorded in a database and Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan within 60 days of identification to address. That



plan shall consider a coordinated approach to remediation based on severity and risk according to the objectives defined in LUMA's Recovery Transformation Framework.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	39	6	59	49	39	20	10
Year 2	137	21	206	171	137	69	34
Year 3	255	38	383	319	255	128	64

Table 2-18. T&D Substation Inspections & Targeted Corrections¹

¹ The numbers shown are cumulative from year to year. There are currently a total of 392 substations.

11. NET ENERGY METERING (NEM) PROJECT ACTIVATION DURATION

Performance Objective: To incentivize improvements in net energy metering (NEM) processes that will result in reduced NEM tariff activation time for expedited projects.

Description: This metric tracks the average duration (days) for completing all activities (within the utility's control) required to activate the NEM tariff on the customer's bill. For a project to be activated, LUMA must validate that the application is complete, install a new bi-directional meter, and change the tariff assigned to the customer's account in the billing system. Once NEM tariff activation is complete, the customer will see the benefits of NEM on their next bill.

Calculation: The metric is calculated as the average duration (days) between the submission of a complete application and NEM tariff activation on the customer's account, across all expedited projects activated during the year.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	28	30	26	27	28	29	30
Year 2	28	30	26	27	28	29	30
Year 3	28	30	26	27	28	29	30

Table 2-19. NEM Project Activation Duration

12. ENERGY SAVINGS AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL ENERGY SALES

Performance Objective: To incentivize the utility to achieve energy reduction targets.

Description: This metric tracks the annual energy savings achieved by LUMA's Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs, pilots and initiatives. The Final Regulation for Energy Efficiency established planning targets for annual energy savings to be acquired during each year of the



Transition Period Plan: 0.1 percent in the first year and 0.25 percent in the second.¹⁵ As per industry convention, these energy savings targets are presented as a percent of annual energy sales. The annual targets are designed to facilitate a reasonable ramp up of program performance during the early years of program delivery. It should be noted that these targets cannot be achieved until the programs are fully funded through a cost-recovery mechanism such as the EE Rider.

Calculation: The metric is calculated as the total gross annual energy savings achieved (MWh) during the year, divided by the total forecasted energy sales (MWh) for the year.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	0.10% Savings	N/A	0.15%	0.13%	0.10%	0.05%	0.03%
Year 2	0.25% Savings	N/A	0.38%	0.31%	0.25%	0.13%	0.06%
Year 3	0.40% Savings	N/A	0.60%	0.50%	0.40%	0.20%	0.10%

Table 2-20. Energy Savings as Percent of Total Energy Sales

13. Peak Demand Savings as a Percent of Total Peak Demand

Performance Objective: To incentivize the utility to achieve peak demand reduction targets.

Description: This metric tracks the annual peak demand savings achieved by LUMA's Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs, pilots and initiatives. As per industry convention, these demand savings targets are presented as a percent of annual peak demand. The annual targets are designed to facilitate a reasonable ramp up of program performance during the early years of program delivery. It should be noted that these targets cannot be achieved until the programs are fully funded through a cost-recovery mechanism such as the EE Rider.

Calculation: The metric is calculated as the total gross annual peak demand savings achieved (MW) during the year, divided by the total forecasted peak demand (MW) for the year.

Table 2-21. Peak Demand Savings as a Percent of	Total Peak Demand

Table 2.24 Back Demand Cavings as a Demant of Tatal Back Demand

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	0.05% Savings	N/A	0.08%	0.06%	0.05%	0.03%	0.01%
Year 2	0.10% Savings	N/A	0.15%	0.13%	0.10%	0.05%	0.03%
Year 3	0.20% Savings	N/A	0.30%	0.25%	0.20%	0.10%	0.05%

¹⁵ https://energia.pr.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2022/01/20220105-MI20210005-Resolution-and-Regulation.pdf



2.5.3 Financial Performance

1. OPERATING BUDGET

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective cost management.

Description: Measures ability to stay within budget.

Calculation: This metric will be evaluated as actual operating expenses for a given Fiscal Year divided by the approved T&D operating budget for the same Fiscal Year as incurred. As defined in Section 7.3(b) of the OMA, the Budgets include 2% Excess Expenditures. Budget amendments, as defined in (i) through (iv) in Section 7.4 and 14.5(e) of the OMA, shall be deemed to be included in the initially approved Budgets (denominator) for purposes of this calculation. Further, any funds drawn from the Outage Event Reserve Account and the Contingency Reserve Account, as they have specific requirements, do not contribute to this metric. LUMA proposes that any approved budget amendment for items outside LUMA's control also adjusts the budget metric denominator by the same amount. It is also proposed that any financial adjustments or corrections made to PREPA's pre-fiscal year 2022 historical books and records be excluded from the calculation.

While the FY2020 data PREPA submitted shows an 80.4% baseline, LUMA remains at 100% of the budget. As this is funded by the rate order, it is in the customers' best interest that LUMA use the funds appropriately to build a stronger more resilient utility.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				80.4%			
Baseline			100%	6 of Operating Bu	udget		
Year 1	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A
Year 2	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A
Year 3	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A

Table 2-20. Operating Budget¹

¹ In accordance with OMA Section 7.3(b), each Budget includes Excess Expenditures, defined as expenditures for undefined costs in an amount equal to up to two percent (2%) of the total amount of the Budget. Excess Expenditures must otherwise comply with the applicable Rate Order. Any Excess Expenditures incurred by LUMA are treated as T&D Pass-Through Expenditures and as if initially budgeted. Each reference in the OMA to a Budget or Default Budget includes Excess Expenditures to the extent these are incurred.

2. CAPITAL BUDGET: FEDERALLY FUNDED

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective cost management of federally funded projects.

Description: Measures ability to stay within budget.

Calculation: This metric will be evaluated as actual Federally Funded Capital expenses for a Fiscal Year, as incurred, divided by approved Capital Budget: Federally Funded for the same Fiscal Year. As defined



in Section 7.3(b) of the OMA, the Budgets include 2% Excess Expenditures. Budget amendments, as defined in (i) through (iv) in Section 7.4 and 14.5(e) of the OMA, shall be deemed to be included in the initially approved Budgets (denominator) for purposes of this calculation. Further, any funds drawn from the Outage Event Reserve Account and the Contingency Reserve Account, as they have specific requirements, do not contribute to this metric.



	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				N/A			
Baseline				N/A			
Year 1	100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A
Year 2	100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A
Year 3	100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A

Table 2-21. Capital Budget: Federally Funded¹

¹ In accordance with OMA Section 7.3(b), each Budget includes Excess Expenditures, defined as expenditures for undefined costs in an amount equal to up to two percent (2%) of the total amount of the Budget. Excess Expenditures must otherwise comply with the applicable Rate Order. Any Excess Expenditures incurred by LUMA are treated as T&D Pass-Through Expenditures and as if initially budgeted. Each reference in the OMA to a Budget or Default Budget includes Excess Expenditures to the extent these are incurred.

3. CAPITAL BUDGET: NON-FEDERALLY FUNDED

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective cost management of Non-Federally Funded Capital.

Description: Measures ability to stay within budget.

Calculation: This metric will be evaluated as actual Federally Non-Funded Capital expenses for a Fiscal Year, as incurred, divided by approved Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded for the same Fiscal Year. As defined in Section 7.3(b) of the OMA, the Budgets include 2% Excess Expenditures. Budget amendments, as defined in (i) through (iv) in Section 7.4 and 14.5(e) of the OMA, shall be deemed to be included in the initially approved Budgets (denominator) for purposes of this calculation. Further, any funds drawn from the Outage Event Reserve Account and the Contingency Reserve Account, as they have specific requirements, do not contribute to this metric.

LUMA intends to fully deploy the funds financed by customers for capital expenditures to be used to continue to improve the utility.



	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%			
PREB Order				6.6%						
Baseline		100% of Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded Approved for Fiscal 2022								
Year 1	<100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			
Year 2	<100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			
Year 3	<100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			

Table 2-22. Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded¹

¹ In accordance with OMA Section 7.3(b), each Budget includes Excess Expenditures, defined as expenditures for undefined costs in an amount equal to up to two percent (2%) of the total amount of the Budget. Excess Expenditures must otherwise comply with the applicable Rate Order. Any Excess Expenditures incurred by LUMA are treated as T&D Pass-Through Expenditures and as if initially budgeted. Each reference in the OMA to a Budget or Default Budget includes Excess Expenditures to the extent these are incurred.

4A. DAYS SALES OUTSTANDING: GENERAL CUSTOMERS

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective credit and collections efforts.

Description: This metric is a measure of the ability to collect payment for general clients' customer billings.

Calculation: General Customers' DSO is calculated by dividing the year-end amount of general customers' receivables by the total year-end value of general customers' credit sales and multiplying the result by the number of days in that year. "Un-collectibles reserve," currently included in the DSO calculation in the PREPA Finance monthly report (MOR) of financial statements to the PREPA Governing Board, will not be included in the LUMA DSO calculations. The general customer segment represents all non-government accounts, including residential, commercial, and industrial accounts.

Data from August 2017 – July 2020 was analyzed to determine an appropriate baseline. Based on analysis of data from the last 36 months and consideration of the impact of external factors such as hurricane Maria and the COVID restrictions, the timeframe of May 2019 – February 2020 represents the most current stable and unimpaired period of collections activity for General Customers. The proposed baseline for General Customers is an average of 131 days during this period.

Special Considerations: Situations outside the Luma Customer Experience team's control could negatively impact DSO performance and therefore deserve special consideration. For these or similar circumstances, the proposal is to either give relief from or reevaluate the DSO baseline and performance targets:

• Non-Payment Moratorium: Relief from Moratoriums on cut-off for non-pay. Government orders for collection moratoriums on cut-off for non-pay negatively impact Luma's ability to execute



normal collections processes and manage DSO. LUMA should be relieved of this metric during moratorium periods and for 3-6 months after it has been lifted, as it is a trailing indicator.

- PREPA Data: Relief from changes in PREPA finance calculations. Should PREPA Finance change any of the fundamental data or calculations involved in the M-8 or Page 12 MOR reports, baselines and performance targets may need to be adjusted accordingly (For example, in January 2020, PREPA Finance changed the way Government A/R was calculated for the MOR report. The change resulted in an increase of 572 days of Government DSO. This was an accounting change only and did not reflect an underlying material change in the business.)
- New or Incorrect Data: Relief from data inaccuracies. If material errors or differences are identified in PREPA's unaudited Accounts Receivable, and DSO data or processes upon implementation of new analytics or other discoveries, all DSO calculations, baselines, and performance targets may need to be reevaluated and adjusted accordingly.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				132			
Baseline ¹				131			
Year 1	128	148	119	122	128	135	138
Year 2	126	145	116	120	126	132	135
Year 3	123	142	114	117	123	129	132

Table 2-23 Days Sales Outstanding: General Customers

¹LUMA's Baseline was calculated using PREPA's Financial Report (M-8) using FY 2019.

4B. DAYS SALES OUTSTANDING: GOVERNMENT CUSTOMERS

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective credit and collections efforts.

Description: This metric is a measure of the ability to collect government bills.

Calculation: Government DSO is calculated by dividing the year-end amount of Government accounts receivable by the total year-end value of government credit sales and multiplying the result by the number of days in that year. "Un-collectibles reserve," currently included in the DSO calculation in the PREPA Finance monthly report (MOR) of financial statements to the PREPA Governing Board, will not be included in the LUMA DSO calculations. This metric will reflect the impact of government collections, including critical service installations as defined in the Puerto Rico Energy Transformation and RELIEF Act, Act 57-2014, as amended by the Puerto Rico Energy Public Policy Act, Act 17-2019, and Contribution in Lieu of Taxes (CILT).

Data from August 2017 – July 2020 was analyzed to determine the appropriate baseline. Due to a material accounting change by PREPA Finance in 2020, the timeframe of March through July 2020 is the most appropriate period for establishing a Government DSO Baseline. The proposed Government DSO Baseline is an average of 754 days during this period.

Special Considerations: Situations outside the Luma Customer Experience team's control could negatively impact DSO performance and therefore deserve special consideration. For these or similar circumstances, the proposal is to either give relief from or reevaluate the DSO baseline and performance targets:



- Non-Payment Moratorium: Relief from Moratoriums on cut-off for non-pay. Government orders for collection moratoriums on cut-off for non-pay negatively impact Luma's ability to execute normal collections processes and manage DSO. LUMA should be relieved of this metric during moratorium periods and for 3-6 months after it has been lifted, as it is a trailing indicator.
- PREPA Data: Relief from changes in PREPA finance calculations. Should PREPA Finance change any of the fundamental data or calculations involved in the M-8 or Page 12 MOR reports, baselines and performance targets may need to be adjusted accordingly (For example, in January 2020, PREPA Finance changed the way Government A/R was calculated for the MOR report. The change resulted in an increase of 572 days of Government DSO. This was an accounting change only and did not reflect an underlying material change in the business.)
- New or Incorrect Data: Relief from data inaccuracies. If material errors or differences are identified in PREPA's unaudited Accounts Receivable, and DSO data or processes upon implementation of new analytics or other discoveries, all DSO calculations, baselines, and performance targets may need to be reevaluated and adjusted accordingly.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order				619			
Baseline ¹				754			
Year 1	739	850	684	702	739	776	794
Year 2	724	833	670	688	724	760	778
Year 3	709	815	656	674	709	745	762

Table 2-24. Days Sales Outstanding: Government Customers

¹LUMA's Baseline was calculated using PREPA's Financial Report (M-8) using FY 2019.

5. OVERTIME

Performance Objective: To incentivize efficient payroll expense.

Description: This metric measures the utility's ability to manage labor expenses.

Calculation: The amount of overtime expenses divided by the amount of total non-exempt base compensation expenses, expressed as a percentage.



Table 2-25. Overtime

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%	
PREB Order				N/A				
Baseline		23% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation						
Year 1	20% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	23% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 18%	19%	20%	21%	22%	
Year 2	19% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	22% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 17%	18%	19%	20%	21%	
Year 3	18% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	21% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 16%	17%	18%	19%	20%	

2.6 LUMA Event of Default

Section 14.1(k) (Events of Default by LUMA — Failure to Meet Minimum Performance Threshold) of the OMA provides for an Operator Event of Default if, during three (3) or more consecutive Contract Years, LUMA fails to meet the Minimum Performance Level for any three (3) Key Performance Metrics and no such failure has been excused by a Force Majeure Event, Outage Event or Owner Fault. The Key Performance Metrics are the following, based on the OMA Annex IX as revised in this document as per the OMA:

(i) Average Speed of Answer; (ii) Abandonment Rate; (iii) OSHA Fatalities; (iv) OSHA Severity Rate; (v) System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI);
(vi) System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI); (vii) Distribution Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections; (viii) Operating Budget; (ix) Capital Budget: Federally Funded; and (x) Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded (each a Key Performance Metric and together the Key Performance Metrics).

OMA Section 7.1(c)(vii) (Service Fee — Incentive Fee) provides that if any Force Majeure Event (other than a Force Majeure Event that is a Major Outage Event) prevents LUMA from achieving one or more of the Performance Metrics, LUMA shall be entitled to earn the Incentive Fee for the period that such Force Majeure Event continues as long as, and to the extent that, LUMA achieves the Key Performance Metrics during such period of time.

2.7 Operating Budget Overrun Default

OMA Section 14.5(e) (Additional Termination Rights — Operating Budget Overrun) of the OMA provides the Owner with an additional termination right in the event of an Operating Budget Overrun Default.

2.8 Major Outage Events (MOE) Performance Metrics

The MOE Scorecard assigns metrics and points into three categories: Preparation (Item 1 targeted at 250 points), Operational Response (Items 2 - 11 targeted at 450 points), and Communications (Items 12 - 16 targeted at 300 points). The three categories are intended to capture the key activities associated with a Major Outage Event. The Preparation metrics focus on utility activities in anticipation of a significant



outage event. The second category, Operational Response, evaluates the utility's performance as a significant outage event occurs and during the recovery period after the event until normal service is restored. The third category, Communications, assesses the utility's ability to receive and disseminate information about the outage event and the recovery process. The specific metrics and point assignments under each category are set forth in the MOE Scorecard in Table 2-24.

Major Outage Event is defined as follows:

"Major Outage Event" means an event as a result of which (i) at least two hundred and five thousand (205,000) T&D Customers are interrupted for more than 15 minutes or (ii) at any point in time during the event, there are one thousand five hundred or more (\geq 1,500) active outage events for the T&D System, which are tracked in the Outage Management System (OMS). The major outage event is deemed ongoing so long as the interruptions/outages continue to remain above the stated cumulative amounts, in each case for a period of twenty-four hours or longer (\geq 24) and are caused by an act of God. If such an act of God is a storm, the storm must be designated as a named storm by the U.S. National Weather Service, or a State of Emergency declared by the Government of Puerto Rico. The major outage event shall be deemed to have ended when the cumulative number of T&D customers remaining interrupted falls below ten thousand (10,000) for a continuous period of eight (8) hours.

The Major Outage Event should be categorized on the following:

Event categories: Events are categorized based on forecasted impact and revised post-event based on actual impact, to be measured from the start of the operational response (after the event has passed and when it is physically safe to dispatch crews) to when less than ten thousand (<10,000) T&D Customers remain interrupted for more than 8 hours as follows:

- 3 to 5 days
- 5 to 10 days
- Greater than 10 days

OMA Section 7.1(c)(vi) (Service Fee – Incentive Fee) of the Agreement provides that if any Major Outage Event (including, for the avoidance of doubt, a Major Outage Event that is a Force Majeure Event) prevents the Operator from achieving one or more of the Performance Metrics, Operator shall be entitled to earn the Incentive Fee for the period that such Major Outage Event continues as long as, and to the extent that, Operator achieves the Major Outage Performance Metrics during such period of time.

LUMA proposes the Major Outage Event Performance Metrics, with the descriptions, base points and effective weight set forth in Table 2-24 below.



Description	Metrics	Base Points	Effective Weight	Comments
1. Preparation Phase				
Completion of steps to	Completion of each step counts separately	y:		
provide timely and accurate emergency event preparation following an alert from U.S. National Weather Service or the company's private	1.1 Event-level categorization based on weather forecasts, system resiliency assessment, and available resources.	40	4.0%	
weather service, or the government of Puerto Rico has declared a state of	1.2 Press releases issued/text messages/emails sent.	15	1.5%	
emergency or when an event	1.3 Municipal conference calls held.	20	2.0%	
s known to be imminent or nas occurred, in accordance with the Emergency Response Plan, for an event	1.4 Critical & essential customers alerted — based on an established list with current information. ¹⁶	40	4.0%	
expected to affect the company's service territory.	1.5 Point of contact for critical facilities alerted — based on an established list with current information.	15	1.5%	
	1.6 Company compliance with the training program as specified in the Emergency Response Plan.	40	4.0%	
	1.7 Participation in all pre-event mutual assistance group calls.	40	4.0%	
	1.8 Verify materials/stockpiles level based on the forecast. If materials are not on hand, corrective steps are taken in the shortest reasonable time to correct the situation.	40	4.0%	
Fotal		250	25.0%	
2. Downed Wires				
Response to downed wires reported by municipal public officials.	Once the joint reporting and response process is established, LUMA will respond to all reported downed wires and take appropriate action within a reasonable time (per the event categorization), working in conjunction with local authorities after a Major Outage Event. Reported means that the situation is tracked in the Customer	40	4.0%	A reporting and response process on how these are managed needs t be put in place jointly with municipal public officials.
	Information System (CIS) by the official contacting LUMA call centers or reported through the Municipal Emergency Operations Center (EOC) through LUMA's Municipal Emergency Operations Center (MEOC) Liaison.			Fire and Police training on how to handle downed wires will be provided as requested.
	Reasonable TimeEventResponseCategorizationTime3 to 5 days18 hours5 to 10 days36 hours> 10 days60 hours			

60 hours

> 10 days

Table 2-26, Summary of Major Outage Event Performance Metrics

¹⁶ This includes critical care customers.



Description	Metrics	Base Points	Effective Weight	Comments
3. Damage Assessment				
	After the beginning of the Major Outage Event and when it is safe to do so, LUMA will begin a preliminary damage assessment of the affected area(s) or T&D facilities. The preliminary damage assessment will be completed within a "reasonable time" at the beginning of the Operation Response phase. The preliminary damage assessment will be done primarily with helicopter patrol and very limited specific land patrol to address helicopter assessment questions. Concurrent with the start of the preliminary helicopter assessment, LUMA will begin a more thorough damage assessment. Reasonable Time Event Response Categorization Time 3 to 5 days 36 hours 5 to 10 days 72 hours > 10 days 120 hours	50	5.0%	
4. Crewing				
50% of the forecast crewing [from mutual assistance] committed to the utility.	 50% of the forecast crewing [from mutual assistance] committed to the utility. Three (3) days prior to a forecasted event occurring (when the event allows that much warning time), LUMA will complete a "damage prediction" to determine crew requirements. Based on this damage prediction, the number of mutual assistance crews will be determined. LUMA will stage materials, equipment, and personnel at the required location prior to the weather event striking the area. Within 24 hours of the damage prediction, 50% of indicated internal crews and qualified contract crews will be deployed. Within 48 hours of the damage prediction, 80% of the indicated internal crews and qualified contract crews will be mobilized on the island. 	30	3.0%	



Description	Metrics	Base Points	Effective Weight	Comments
5. Estimated Time of Rest	oration (ETR) for 90% of Service Ou	tages		
Estimated Time of Restoration for 90% of service outages (made available by the utility	Publication of regional ETRs in accordance with guidelines.	20	2.0%	
on the web, IVR, to Customer Service Representatives (CSRs), etc.)	Publication of municipal ETRs in accordance with guidelines.	20	2.0%	
	A preliminary ETR for 90% service restoration will be made available on the Internet 24 hours after the preliminary damage assessment in pdf format.	20	2.0%	
	ETRs on 90% service restoration to be made available on IVR and to CSRs by municipality or region.	20	2.0%	
	All ETRs to be updated every 24 hours.	20	2.0%	
6. ETR Accuracy for 90% S	Service Restoration			
Regional ETR accuracy	Accuracy for 90% of service outage	80	8.0%	
Municipal ETR accuracy	restoration and published in accordance with ETR requirement time.			
	The ETRs used for this metric will be the ETRs posted after the thorough damage assessment is completed and not based on the preliminary damage assessment.			
7. Municipality Coordination	on			
Coordination with municipalities regarding road clearing, down wires, critical customers, etc.	Through the activated regional PREMB EOCs, the LUMA local Regional Interagency Coordinator will attend all scheduled Situation Report (SITREP) meetings. The coordinator will be the conduit for municipality-specific information and requests. LUMA's Regional Interagency Coordinator will attend all scheduled SITREP meetings at activated PREMB	20	2.0%	
	EOCs.			
	MB/Federal EOC Coordination			
Coordination with PREMB and Federal EOCs.	Through the PREMB and Federal EOCs, the LUMA Liaisons will attend all scheduled meetings. The Liaison will be the conduit for ICC information and requests. To track activity, the State and Federal EOCs must be activated and not a request from elected officials.	10	1.0%	
9. Utility Coordination				
Coordination with other utilities (communications, water, etc.)	Establish contact points between utilities.	20	2.0%	



Description	Metrics	Base Points	Effective Weight	Comments
10. Safety				
Measure of any employee or contractor injured doing hazard work during storm/outage and restoration.	Record safety incidents and include them in the safety report per LUMA Health Safety Environment & Quality (HSE&Q) standards.	80	8.0%	
11. Mutual Assistance				
Crew requests made through all sources of mutual assistance or other pre- negotiated contracts with utility service providers.	made through nutualThree (3) days prior to a forecasted event occurring (when the event allows that much warning time), LUMA will complete a damage prediction to		2.0%	
	Total	450	45.0%	

12. Call Answer Rates

Customer calls answered by properly staffed call centers (the use of IVR and other	_	-	TBD depending on the size of a major event.
technology is an acceptable solution).			

13. Web Availability

Company's website,	75	7.5%	
specifically the section			
pertaining to outage impact			
and restoration, must be			
available around the clock			
during a major storm event,			
and information must be			
updated hourly until final			
restoration. In the event that			
no new information is			
available, the website must			
display the last time and date			
that information was updated.			
The website and/or section			
pertaining to outage impact			
and restoration may be taken			
offline for a short period during off-peak hours to			
perform system maintenance.			
perform system maintenance.			



Description	Metrics	Base Points	Effective Weight	Comments
14. PREB and Administrat	or (P3A) Reporting			
Provide storm event information to PREB and Administrator in accordance with LUMA's Electric Outage Management System (OMS) guideline requirements to be established in the ERP for LUMA.	Information is to be updated every 24 hrs.	75	7.5%	
15. Customer Communica	tions			
Availability of press releases, text messaging, email, and social media.		100	10.0%	
16. Outgoing message on	telephone line			
Recorded message providing callers with outage information is updated within two hours of communication of press releases.		50	5.0%	Available at Service Commencement Date. IVR will be managed in-house.
Total		300	30.0%	
Maximum Available Points		1,000	100.0%	

Table 2-27. Major Outage Event Performance Metrics Schedule

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
Baseline	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Target	675	250	1,000	840	675	515	350

The MOE Scorecard has been divided into three categories, summarized in Table 2- below.

Category	Points	Metrics Descriptions
1. Preparation	250	1. Preparation Phase
2. Operational Response	450	 Downed Wires Damage Assessment Crewing Estimated Time of Restoration (ETR) for 90% of Service Outages ETR Accuracy for 90% Service Restoration Municipality Coordination Municipal EOC Coordination Puerto Rico Commonwealth / Federal EOC Coordination Utility Coordination Safety Mutual Assistance



Category	Points	Metrics Descriptions
3. Communication	300	 Call Answer Rates Web Availability PREB and Administrator (P3A) Reporting Customer Communications Outgoing message on telephone line
Maximum Available Points	1,000	

2.9 Monitoring

The set of Performance Metrics and the Target Performance Levels for the fourth Contract Year will be evaluated during the third Contract Year to determine reasonability for subsequent years. Beginning in the fourth Contract Year, Performance Metrics and the Target Performance Levels will be reevaluated on an annual basis. At this time, it will be determined whether additional metrics should be included, base points reallocated, and Target Performance Levels modified. LUMA and PREB may also consider whether adjustments to the Performance Metrics are appropriate prior to the fourth Contract Year based on business, operational, or other considerations. Any adjustments will be dealt with in accordance with OMA Section 7.1(d) (Service Fee — Amendments to Performance Metrics). Any revisions to the Performance Metrics are subject to PREB's review, modification, and approval.



3.0 High-Level Plan to Achieve Performance Metrics Targets

This section presents the actual plans proposed by each team to achieve the proposed performance metric improvements. It must be noted that, in general, the poor availability and quality of data affects the programs' design and estimated impacts.

3.1 Customer Service

1. J.D. POWER CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY (RESIDENTIAL & BUSINESS)

Requirements to achieve performance targets:

- **People**: The new LUMA Voice of the Customer (VOC) team is responsible for coordinating the survey waves with J.D. Power, as well as assessing and presenting the results to leadership.
- Process: The new CSAT survey will be coordinated with J.D. Power in four phases per year for residential customers and two phases per year (twice annual) for business customers by the new VOC team in the Customer Service organization.
- Technology: The technology responsible for contacting customers is provided by J.D. Power based on customer data provided to them, including email addresses. All customer information is provided by the LUMA VOC team to J.D. Power.

2. AVERAGE SPEED OF ANSWER

Requirements to achieve performance targets:

- People: Using more accurate data provided by the new Contact Center platform, a new Workforce Management team will ensure the right staffing levels, scheduling the right people at the right times to answer calls, leading to a reduction in ASA. Customer Service agents in the Contact Center will be needed to answer calls based on call forecasting requirements.
- **Process**: The new Contact Center platform will provide consistent data that can be reported across all queues and calls offered. The Workforce Management team will follow standard industry practices to forecast call volumes and schedule associates accordingly to reduce ASA.
- **Technology**: Implementation of a new Contact Center platform at the Service Commencement Date will better capture call details across all segments, allowing for improved reporting of performance and improved staffing levels to ensure that calls are answered.

3. CUSTOMER COMPLAINT RATE

Requirements to achieve performance targets:

- People: The Billing Services team within the LUMA Customer Service organization will be responsible for managing the process, assessing results, and presenting key findings to leadership. This process will be supported by billing analysts and Customer Service agents within the Customer Service department to investigate, follow up and respond to customers and the PREB.
- **Process**: The Billing Services team will track each complaint received by LUMA from PREB, including receipt and response dates, as well as other associated metrics and data. The Billing Services team will manage the process of investigation and follow up on the customer complaint.



 Technology: The Customer Complaint Rate will initially be tracked and reported manually but will be replaced by a software-based case management system that includes assignments, escalations, management, and reporting capabilities. The Oracle Customer Care & Billing software will be the source record of truth for customer and account investigation. The Contact Center platform will also be leveraged to review call recordings and/or social media and email responses when needed.

4. FIRST CALL RESOLUTION

Requirements to achieve performance targets:

- **People**: All Customer Service associates will be trained to capture data on whether or not customers have contacted LUMA previously about the same issue. Customer Service agents in the Contact Center will be needed to answer calls based on call forecasting requirements.
- **Process**: Each caller will be asked by the answering agent if this is their first attempt to contact LUMA for this issue/need. This yes/no answer will be tracked with the call detail, providing reporting data on First Call Resolution.
- Technology: Implementation of a new Contact Center platform at the Service Commencement Date will allow for capturing and reporting whether this call is the customer's first attempt to contact LUMA for the given issue/need.

5. ABANDONMENT RATE

Requirements to achieve performance targets:

- **People**: A new Workforce Management team within the Contact Center team will use a workforce management system within the Contact Center platform to ensure that staffing levels are at the levels to reduce abandoned calls. Customer Service agents in the Contact Center will be needed to answer calls based on call forecasting requirements.
- **Process**: The new Contact Center platform will provide consistent data that can be reported across all queues and calls offered. The Workforce Management team will follow standard industry practices to forecast call volumes and schedule employees accordingly, scheduling the right people at the right times to reduce abandoned calls.
- **Technology**: Implementation of a new Contact Center platform at Service Commencement Date will better capture abandoned calls across all segments, allowing for improved reporting of performance and improved staffing levels to ensure that calls are answered. The platform will also enable improved call forecasting and workforce management scheduling to meet call volume demands.

3.2 Technical, Safety & Regulatory

SAFETY

At LUMA, safety is a core value, and we believe it is our job to complete every task without incident or injury. We believe that our most valuable assets are our employees, and nothing is more important than our employees coming home safely. LUMA is committed to the safety and health of employees, customers, contractors, and the communities in which we work. It is our mission to provide and maintain a safe work environment. In order to ensure that we establish a best-in-class safety and health organization and meet the safety performance metrics established in the OMA, we will use proven industry practices to create a NO harm culture.



Based on the results of the assessments and baseline gap analysis activities conducted during the Front-End Transition Period, we are prioritizing objectives to ensure that we address those that will increase the level of safety for employees immediately. These objectives will include items such as those described below.

- Establish and implement an incident management process that includes notification procedures, an
 injury management protocol, and incident investigation training and requirements. Establish formalized
 reporting and incident investigation procedures. This will include a mechanism to share investigation
 results and lessons learned across the system, as well as establish an incident tracking and trending
 process.
- In accordance with the results of the initial HSE&Q gap analysis, update and implement a Safety and Health Policies and Procedures manual in accordance with regulatory requirements.
- Implement a formalized process for evaluating and managing high-hazard risks during the job planning process.
- Increase frontline employee engagement through various safety committees, task teams, and other leadership-sponsored safety initiatives.
- Establish safety and health performance metrics and leadership accountability via manager performance plan and activity-based goals for supervisors.
- Create an HSE&Q integrated management system. Implement a DOT driver's compliance program that includes drug and alcohol testing policy, medical requirements, hours of service, etc.
- Establish/refine an industrial hygiene program.
- Implement a contractor safety program that includes the qualification and oversight of all contractors.
- Implement a comprehensive job site observation program (such as a near-miss program). Implement a system-wide safe driving campaign.
- Enhance HSE&Q training programs for employees and roll out no-harm culture training.

These initiatives are supported by our initial budget for establishing a software system for incident management, no-harm culture training, and enhanced HSE&Q training programs (including DOT, lockout/tagout, electrical safety, etc.). The metrics will also be supported by operational federally funded System Remediation Plan (SRP) items.

TECHNICAL

The roadmap to achieve the Technical Performance Metrics targets includes a series of programs focused initially on the worst-performing main components of the system (distribution feeders, transmission lines, substations), which were selected after careful analysis of the current reality of PREPA's infrastructure and study of the root causes behind the frequent system failures. Current plans are based on best-available data and reasonable assumptions. The programs will be adapted and modified as LUMA acquires better data on system health.

The selected projects for implementation in each asset class are listed below. As LUMA engineers determine specific reliability improvement plans, they will incorporate these types of projects (Table 3-1 and Table 3-2) as needed to optimize the improvement. LUMA engineers will also follow the Principles Applicable to the Planning of the Distribution System as laid out in the PREB resolution NEPR-MI-2019-0011. The cost of programs for improvement affecting the technical performance metrics was included in the Initial Budgets.



Pole Replacement	Vegetation Management	Recloser & FCl's	Animal Guards	Tree Wiring	Underground
Replacement	Management	FCI's			

Table 3-1. Selected Reliability Improvement Projects for Distribution

Table 3-2. Selected Projects for Improvement in Each Asset Class

Breaker Replacement	Transmission	Pole Replacement 38	Line Material Replacements	Pole Replacement	Transmission Line Material Replacements 115 kV
------------------------	--------------	------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------	---

The selected programs are briefly described as follows (note that the percentage shown in the items below are calculated based on 2019/2020 data and do not necessarily represent what they may be currently. This data provides the rationale behind the decision-making and the direction LUMA has taken at the time to improve reliability).

1. POLE REPLACEMENT

The objective of this program is to replace poles and structures (cross-arms, insulation, hardware, etc.) identified as being at risk during inspection and testing. This program is intended to reduce failure rates by addressing multiple root causes besides defective poles. Other causes include wire down (which is the main contributor [about 16%] to total CMI), broken insulators, and others. This program has also targeted the worst-performing feeders.

2. VEGETATION MANAGEMENT

Vegetation is the second-largest contributor to total CMI on the distribution system; it represents about 14% of total distribution CMI. The objective of this program is to implement tree trimming and other vegetation management strategies (e.g., pruning, application of herbicide, etc.) on overhead lines of poor performing lines to reduce associated fault rates in order to achieve the forecasted vegetation maintenance miles completed on the T&D system (inclusive of the 230kV, 115kV, 38kV & primary Distribution systems).

3. DISTRIBUTION CIRCUIT RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS

Reliability improvement of distribution circuits will be the major effort to achieve the targets since they contribute the vast majority of the current SAIDI and SAIFI index. This program is intended to address a variety of root causes, such as wire down, vegetation, weather, etc., improve the outage management and restoration process and reduce CMI, Customer Interruptions [CI], SAIDI, and SAIFI. This overall program consists of the following initiatives:

Mid Circuit Smart Reclosers: installation of one or two mid-circuit smart reclosers (with

- Mid Circuit Smart Reciosers: Installation of one or two mid-circuit smart reciosers (with microprocessor-based controllers and remote monitoring and control capabilities) on selected worst-performing feeders, limiting the number of customers affected by faults, as well as allowing temporary faults to self-extinguish via reclosing operations.
- Fault Current Indicators: installation of FCI will improve the outage management and restoration process, specifically by decreasing the time required to detect and locate faults. The overall effect of FCI deployment is reducing CMI and SAIDI by improving response time. FCIs do not impact CI. Therefore, they do not improve SAIFI.
- Fuse installation: potential locations for field interrupting devices, including fuses, will be identified. This needs to consider the location of prior faults, customer allocations, and expected circuit layout. The Key Circuit Sections, with appropriate lateral fusing, allow the additional focus to



dramatically improve performance by reducing the number of customer interruptions per outage and help to locate the faulted section, which reduces the overall restoration time.

4. 38 KV TRANSMISSION LINE PROGRAMS

38 kV transmission lines are the second-largest contributors to system CMI and SAIDI on the transmission system. This program's intent is to improve their performance by rebuilding 38 kV lines, reconductoring, replacing poles, and conducting other material replacements. Expected progress three years into the 10-year plan is 40%.

5. 115 KV TRANSMISSION LINE PROGRAMS

115 kV transmission lines are responsible for 1.9% of SAIDI, and 4.8% of SAIFI affect 115 kV transmission lines. The objective of this program is to replace poles and reconductor the worst-performing 115 kV transmission lines. The program intends to complete 24% over the first three years.

6. DISTRIBUTION & TRANSMISSION BREAKER REPLACEMENT

This program is intended to replace circuit breakers in distribution feeders as well as oil circuit breakers in transmission substations. This is done to ensure the reliable operation of these devices since breakers are responsible for 1.6% of SAIDI and 1.3% of SAIFI of the system (based on the available performance metrics).

7. ANIMAL GUARDS

Results from the historical reliability analysis show that the animal root cause contributes to about 4.3% of the total distribution CMI. Therefore, the objective of this program is to help reduce respective fault rates by installing animal guards to prevent potential faults due to wildlife. This is the least expensive and one of the most cost-effective programs of the plan and is also targeted at the worst-performing feeders.

8. UNDERGROUND CABLE REPLACEMENT

This program is intended to replace selected underground cable sections in voltages of 4.16 kV up to 8.32 kV for the worst-performing feeders. This program is expected to help reduce respective fault rates by addressing root causes affecting underground assets, specifically broken cables and splices and terminals.

9. TARGETED UNDERGROUNDING & TREE-WIRING

The objective of this program is to underground or install tree-wire on selected overhead sections of the worst-performing feeders, especially those that serve critical customers. The worst-performing feeders have been identified and prioritized based on total contribution to Customer Minutes Interrupted (CMI). These results show that, for instance, the worst 10% performing feeders (106 feeders) contribute to approximately 40% of total CMI. Therefore, targeting investments to these feeders is expected to yield the greatest benefit-cost ratio — i.e., be most cost-effective. Undergrounding and tree-wiring have been targeted to selected worst-performing feeders. Since undergrounding is a more expensive solution, it has been reserved for feeders within this group with the highest CMI contribution and the most critical customers (e.g., hospitals). In contrast, tree wiring has been targeted to the remaining feeders of this group.



10. NET ENERGY METERING (NEM)

In FY22, LUMA developed and implemented an Action Plan for Resolving the Backlog of NEM¹⁷ cases. This Action Plan included the following key strategies for improving the NEM program processes and systems, to reduce the duration to activate the NEM tariff for customers:

- Centralized key NEM organizational functions (e.g. application processing, billing, metering).
- Established central team accountable for managing the program, coordinating across departments and providing technical support to developers.
- Developed a new streamlined process to activate the NEM tariff for expedited projects.
- Devoted extra resources to activating NEM service using the new expedited process.
- Made minor updates to improve the legacy DG Web Portal and began developing a new customer application web portal to automate processes.
- Developed data tracking systems to monitor the rate of incoming applications and identify coursecorrections if the rate of activation falls behind; to identify and prevent another backlog.

LUMA's execution of this Action Plan resulted in a dramatic reduction in Average Duration for NEM Tariff Activation in FY22. LUMA will continue executing these strategies in FY23 to further improve and maintain this progress. In addition, LUMA will begin implementation of the following additional strategies, to further improve program performance in FY23.

- Conduct outreach and training with DG developers to reduce the frequency of submitting incomplete and/or flawed applications. When developers submit flawed or incomplete applications, this creates administrative burden for LUMA that reduces application processing speed. LUMA will work with developers to better communicate the application submission requirements.
- Finishing new web portal and conduct developer training/orientation sessions before launch.

12. ENERGY SAVINGS AS % OF SALES

This objective is to track the annual energy savings achieved by LUMA's Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs, pilots, and initiatives. Section 2.02 of the Regulation for Energy Efficiency, Regulation No. 9367, establishes planning targets for annual energy savings to be acquired during each year of the Transition Period Plan of at least 0.1 percent in the first year and at least 0.25 percent in the second. As per industry convention, these energy savings targets are presented as a percent of annual energy sales. The annual targets are designed to facilitate a reasonable ramp-up of program performance during the early years of program delivery.

It should be noted that LUMA's ability to achieve these performance targets requires a stable, predictable, and dedicated source of funding through a rate rider or surcharge. LUMA has designed its Transition Period Plan for EE/DR to achieve the level of energy savings specified in the proposed targets (0.1-0.25%). However, these programs are not fully funded to the level required to meet these targets, as the EE Rider has yet to be initiated. We are confident that LUMA has developed an achievable plan for meeting the targets specified for this metric once a stable, consistent EE Rider fully funds the programs.

¹⁷ https://energia.pr.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2021/09/Motion-in-Compliance-with-Order-DG-Interconnections-NEPR-MI-2019-0016.pdf


13. PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS AS % OF PEAK DEMAND

This objective is to track the annual peak demand savings achieved by LUMA's Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs, pilots, and initiatives. As per industry convention, these demand savings targets are presented as a percent of annual peak demand.

LUMA has designed its Transition Period Plan for EE/DR to achieve the level of energy savings specified in the targets proposed here. However, these programs are not currently funded to the level required to meet these targets, as the EE Rider has yet to be initiated. We are confident that LUMA has developed an achievable plan for meeting the targets specified for this metric, once a stable, consistent EE Rider fully funds the programs.

3.3 Financial Performance

Annex IX Performance Metrics detail performance incentive mechanisms that will align LUMA with PREPA's strategic imperatives to improve utility performance in specific areas where historical performance has been unsatisfactory.

LUMA's Finance Organization is an enabling department to support initiatives to help LUMA achieve its strategic objectives and meet or exceed performance targets. The Finance team's programs will help support accountability while creating a utility culture that prioritizes good stewardship of public assets and innovative approaches to best practices.

OPERATING BUDGET, CAPITAL BUDGET: FEDERALLY FUNDED, CAPITAL BUDGET: NON-FEDERALLY FUNDED, OVERTIME

Based on the results of the assessments and baseline gap analysis activities conducted during the Front-End Transition Period, LUMA is prioritizing objectives to ensure that we have a standardized process to enable each of the departments with the right tools to plan and implement remediation initiatives in a fiscally responsible manner. These objectives will include items such as:

- Establishing a firm and unbiased capital and operational program process that prioritizes initiatives based on the strategic priorities set out by the Government of Puerto Rico, PREB, and LUMA
- Providing teams with tools to forecast and profile operating and capital expenditures for FY22–24
- Managing and reducing unnecessary overtime hours by recognizing their root causes and improving labor planning, setting performance expectations, and implementing a new timekeeping technology for real-time visibility of work progress.

	FY2022 Budget	Baseline	FY222	FY23	FY24
Overtime %		23%	20%	19%	18%
Estimated Wages \$	81,007,861				
Estimated Overtime \$		18,631,808	16,201,572	15,391,494	14,581,415
Estimated Overtime Savings			2,430,236	3,240,314	4,050,393

Table 3-3. Sample Overtime Savings

Notes:

¹ \$81M is equal to FY22 Budgeted Wages (non-exempt employees only)

² 23% Baseline was calculated using PREPA's FY2021 Certified Budget



³ FY2022 Budget was used as a basis for this analysis in order to accurately compare the dollar savings for various overtime percentages.

Most of these initiatives are supported by our FY22 operating initial budget and included in our labor and wage expectations for various departments. Additionally, a timekeeping system and its implementation are included in the Initial Budgets beginning in FY2022. This project will enable LUMA to improve overtime management and reporting. Implementation of this timekeeping system will also facilitate capturing more timely and accurate labor data by project, which will greatly facilitate project tracking and accounting.

GENERAL CUSTOMER & GOVERNMENT DAYS SALES OUTSTANDING (DSO)

Requirements to achieve performance targets

Achieving Days Sales Outstanding performance targets for both government and general customers will require a comprehensive approach to lower accounts receivables across all customer segments leveraging updated credit policies, enhanced customer data, expanding dunning processes, and other key program elements.

- **People**: A new Revenue Protection team will enable the execution of a fulsome dunning process. Business analysts will analyze and generate the DSO report.
- **Process**: The following processes will be implemented to improve payment collections:
 - Fulsome dunning process from outbound contacts to customer disconnections and customer risk calculations
 - Customer data profiling
 - Analysis of accounts receivables
- **Technology**: Oracle Customer Care & Billing will be leveraged to execute the dunning process and data extractions required to report on the DSO metric. A data analytics platform will be required to assist in producing accurate analysis and reporting of the A/R and the DSO metric. The cloud-based Contact Center platform will enable outbound collection calls.



Appendix A: NEPR-MI-2019-0007 LUMA's Comments on Performance Baselines & Metrics filed February 5, 2021 and February 8, 2021

Please refer to: <u>https://energia.pr.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2021/03/Request-for-Leave-to-File-Amended-Exhibit-2-NEPR-MI-2019-0007.pdf</u> and <u>https://energia.pr.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2021/02/LUMA-Motion-Resubmitting-Comments-and-Exhibits-1-3-NEPR-MI-2019-0007.pdf</u>



Appendix B: Written Testimony

Please refer to attachment.

Written Testimony Inventory:

Appendix Item	Primary Witness	Metrics	Associated Exhibits	Date Filed
1	Don Cortez	SAIDI, SAIFI, Distribution Line Inspections, Transmission Line Inspections, T&D Substation Inspections	2	August 18, 2021
2	Juan Fonseca	DSO – Government, DSO – General	1	August 18, 2021
3	Jorge Melendez	OSHA Recordable Incident Rate, OSHA Fatalities, OSHA Severity Rate, OSHA DART Rate	1	September 9, 2021
4	Abner Gomez	Major Outage Events: Preparation Phase	1	August 18, 2021
5	Mario Hurtado	Major Outage Events Strategy	0	August 18, 2021
6	Melanie Jeppesen	Customer Complaint Rate	3	September 24, 2021
7	Kalen Kostyk	Operating Budget, Capital Budget - Federal, Capital Budget – Non-Federal, Overtime	5	August 18, 2021
8	Jessica Laird	JD Power Customer Satisfaction, Average Speed of Answer, Abandonment Rate, Major Outage Event: Communication	4	August 18, 2021
9	Terry Tonsi	Major Outage Events: Operational Phase	0	August 18, 2021
10	Lee Wood	NEM Project Activation Duration, Energy Savings as % of Sales (deferred), Peak Demand Savings as % of Peak Demand (deferred)	1	October 28, 2022
11	Brent Bolzenius	Vegetation Maintenance Miles Completed by 230kV, 115kV, 38kV, and Distribution (primary line only)	0	October 28, 2022



<u>Exhibit 2</u> Redline Version of Exhibit 1





Contents

1.0	Introduction & Overview	<u>43</u>
1.1	Executive Summary	
1.2	Introduction	
1.3	Performance Metrics Overview	<u>6</u> 5
2.0	Revised Annex IX — Performance Metrics	<u><u>10</u>9</u>
2.1	General	
2.2	Performance Categories	<u>11</u> 9
2.3	In Compliance with Energy Bureau Regulation 9137, Docket NEPR-MI-2019-0014	
2.4	Summary of Performance Metrics	<u>12</u> 11
2.5	Performance Metrics	
2.6	LUMA Event of Default	<u>38</u>
2.7	Operating Budget Overrun Default	<u>38</u>
2.8	Major Outage Events (MOE) Performance Metrics	
2.9	Monitoring	<u>45</u>
3.0	High-Level Plan to Achieve Performance Metrics Targets	46
3.1	Customer Service	
3.2	Technical, Safety & Regulatory	
3.3	Financial Performance	
0.0		
	ics filed February 5, 2021 endix B: Written Testimony	
1.0	Introduction & Overview	43
	Executive Summary	
	Introduction	
	Performance Metrics Overview	
20	Revised Annex IX — Performance Metrics	109
21		
2.1	Performance Categories	
2.3		
2.4	-Summary of Performance Metrics	
2.5		
2.6-	LUMA Event of Default	3832
2.7	Operating Budget Overrun Default	
2.8—		
2.9	Monitoring	4500
3.0		<u><u>45</u>39</u>
	High-Level Plan to Achieve Performance Metrics Targets	
3.1		<u><u>46</u>40</u>
3.1 <u>3.2</u>	0	<u><u>46</u>40</u>



3.3—Financial Performance
Appendix A: NEPR-MI-2019-0007 LUMA's Comments on Performance Baselines &
Metrics filed February 5, 2021
Appendix B: Written Testimony

Tables & Figures

Table 1-1. Performance Metrics Summary	<u>7</u> 6
Table 2-1. Summary of Performance Categories	<u> 119</u>
Table 2-2. Summary of Performance Metrics	<u>12</u> 11
Table 2-3. Summary of Performance Metrics Baselines and Annual Targets	<u>16</u>
Table 2-4. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Residential Customers)	<u>22</u> 21
Table 2-5. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Business Customers)	<u>2322</u>
Table 2-6. Average Speed of Answer (minutes)	<u>2422</u>
Table 2-7. Customer Complaint Rate	<u>24</u> 23
Table 2-8. Abandonment Rate	<u>2524</u>
Table 2-9. OSHA Recordable Incident Rate	<u>2625</u>
Table 2-10. OSHA Fatalities	<u>2625</u>
Table 2-11. OSHA Severity Rate	<u>2726</u>
Table 2-12. OSHA DART Rate	<u>2726</u>
Table 2-13. System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI)	<u>2827</u>
Table 2-14. System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI)	<u>2827</u>
Table 2-15. Vegetation Maintenance Miles Completed (230kV, 115kV, 38kV, primary Distribution)	<u>2928</u>
Table 2-16. Distribution Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections ¹	<u>3029</u>
Table 2-17. Transmission Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections ¹	<u>30</u> 29
Table 2-18. T&D Substation Inspections & Targeted Corrections ¹	<u>31</u> 30
Table 2-19. NEM Project Activation Duration	<u>31</u> 30
Table 2-20. Energy Savings as Percent of Total Energy Sales	
Table 2-21. Peak Demand Savings as a Percent of Total Peak Demand	<u>32</u> 31
Table 2-20. Operating Budget ¹	
Table 2-21. Capital Budget: Federally Funded ¹	<u>34</u>
Table 2-22. Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded ¹	<u>35</u>
Table 2-23 Days Sales Outstanding: General Customers	<u>36</u>
Table 2-24. Days Sales Outstanding: Government Customers	<u>37</u>
Table 2-25. Overtime	
Table 2-26. Summary of Major Outage Event Performance Metrics	<u>40</u>
Table 2-27. Major Outage Event Performance Metrics Schedule	
Table 2-28. Major Outage Event Performance Metrics Scorecard	
Table 3-1. Selected Reliability Improvement Projects for Distribution	<u>49</u>
Table 3-2. Selected Projects for Improvement in Each Asset Class	
Table 3-3. Sample Overtime Savings	<u>52</u>
Table 1 1. Performance Metrics Summary	<u><u>7</u>6</u>
Table 2-1. Summary of Performance Categories	<u><u>11</u>9</u>
Table 2-2. Summary of Performance Metrics	



Table 2-3. Summary of Performance Metrics Baselines and Annual Targets	<u><u>16</u>14</u>
Table 2-4. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Residential Customers)	<u><u>22</u>18</u>
Table 2-5. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Business Customers)	<u><u>23</u>19</u>
Table 2-6. Average Speed of Answer (minutes)	<u><u>24</u>20</u>
Table 2-7. Customer Complaint Rate	<u><u>24</u>20</u>
Table 2-8. Abandonment Rate	<u><u>25</u>21</u>
Table 2-9. OSHA Recordable Incident Rate	<u><u>26</u>22</u>
Table 2 10. OSHA Fatalities	<u>26</u> 22
Table 2-11. OSHA Severity Rate	<u><u>27</u>23</u>
Table 2-12. OSHA DART Rate	<u><u>27</u>23</u>
Table 2-13. System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI)	<u><u>28</u>24</u>
Table 2-14. System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI)	<u><u>28</u>24</u>
Table 2-15. Distribution Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections ¹	<u><u>30</u>25</u>
Table 2-16. Transmission Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections ⁴	<u><u>30</u>26</u>
Table 2-17. T&D Substation Inspections & Targeted Corrections ⁴	
Table 2-18. Operating Budget ¹	<u><u>33</u>27</u>
Table 2-19. Capital Budget: Federally Funded ¹	<u><u>34</u>28</u>
Table 2 20. Capital Budget: Non Federally Funded ⁴	<u><u>35</u>29</u>
Table 2-21. Days Sales Outstanding: General Customers	<u><u>36</u>30</u>
Table 2-22. Days Sales Outstanding: Government Customers	<u><u>37</u>31</u>
Table 2-23. Overtime	<u><u>38</u>32</u>
Table 2-24. Summary of Major Outage Event Performance Metrics	<u><u>40</u>34</u>
Table 2-25. Major Outage Event Performance Metrics Schedule	<u><u>44</u>38</u>
Table 2-26. Major Outage Event Performance Metrics Scorecard	<u><u>44</u>38</u>
Table 3-1. Selected Reliability Improvement Projects for Distribution	
Table 3 2. Selected Projects for Improvement in Each Asset Class	<u></u>
Table 3-3. Sample Overtime Savings	



1.0 Introduction & Overview

1.1 Executive Summary

Today, <u>October 28, 2022</u>August 18, 2021, LUMA respectfully presents for consideration by thisrequests the Energy Bureau review, approve, deny or propose modifications to the revised Annex IX included in this filing; specifically, the proposed baseline, target, and minimum performance metrics for the three additional metrics detailed in a Resolution and Order issued by the Energy Bureau on August 1, 2022.

On June 1, 2021, LUMA assumed management of the T&D System and commenced operations. After eight months of the Front-End Transition period_ on February 25, 2021, LUMA submitted an initial filing proposing Performance Targets for LUMA Energy Servco, LLC.¹ The Energy Bureau determined in a Resolution and Order issued on December 23, 2020_ in Case No.NEPR-MI-2019-0007, that it would there consider performance baselines and benchmarks for the Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority ("PREPA") that would subsequently be used to develop the corresponding targets to be applied to certified electric service companies such as LUMA. The Energy Bureau opened a separate proceeding to consider LUMA's Performance Targets and directed that it would consider targets for LUMA after setting baselines and benchmarks for PREPA in Case NEPR-MI-2019-0007. See Resolution and Order of December 23, 2020, Case No. NEPR-AP-2020-0025.

In accordance with the OMA, LUMA assumed operation and maintenance of the T&D System on June 1, 2021 and now has the opportunity to submit a revised filing, approximately 11 weeks after beginning operations. Post-commencement, LUMA has had the opportunity to analyze data, systems, and processes first-hand, and consequently, LUMA revisedie revising the Performance Metrics filing for the Energy Bureau'syour consideration. On September 24, 2021 LUMA also considered the Resolutions and Orders issued by the Energy Bureau on April 8, 2021, May 21, 2021, and July 2, 2021, in Case No. NEPR-MI-2019-0007 on the performance of PREPA. Below, you will find details of our data analysis and where LUMA has <u>concerns regardinga concern on</u> the validity or accuracy of the data previously provided by PREPA.

As per the Resolution and Order issued by the Energy Bureau on August 1, 2022, LUMA has updated its Revised Annex IX to include targets and metrics as applicable, and supporting witness testimony for three additional metrics: Interconnection, Energy Efficiency/Demand Response, and Vegetation Management. While LUMA has added these metrics to the revised Annex IX here, LUMA's proposal is for the Energy Bureau to approve Annex IX as presented in a revised filing dated September 24, 2021.

LUMA believes that the performance metrics detailed in <u>its September 24, 2021</u>, this filing are strong indicators of performance for a utility and the collection and reporting methodologies LUMA is utilizing are in line with industry standards. In determining these targets, LUMA has considered its <u>continuing</u> efforts to remediate the utility's performance, as well as the prioritization of specific programs and the expected pace of progress in making improvements. <u>Notwithstanding, LUMA is presenting additional metrics in compliance with the order issued by this Energy Bureau on August 1, 2022, to include additional performance metrics.</u>

Most of this filing remains unchanged from the original filing submitted on February 25, 2021, in particular with regards to the selection of metrics and the associated targets. However, the last two months of operations have highlighted key issues that LUMA previously raised as concerns as to the validity of data

¹ See LUMA's Submittal and Request for Approval of Revised Annex IX to the OMA in Docket NEPR-AP-2020-0025



LUMAPR.COM

provided by PREPA and, as a result, as to the validity of the resulting baseline values. To that end, a number of metrics below still show variances in the Energy Bureau's published baselines (based on PREPA's submitted data) in Case No. NEPR-MI-2019-0007 and those proposed by LUMA in this revised filing. In these cases, details around data collection, calculation, and reporting have been provided in Section 2 – Calculation for each Metric.

LUMA respectfully asks for special consideration in these cases, primarily those for Safety and Customer Service. <u>The</u> Fiscal Year 2020 proved to be <u>an-unprecedented year</u> in terms of data collection and reporting by PREPA. LUMA considers that these factors, as later detailed in this exhibit and in-the testimony of the relevant subject matter experts, should be taken into account by the Energy Bureau.

1.2 Introduction

On June 22, 2020, LUMA Energy, LLC as ManagementCo, LUMA Energy ServCo, LLC as ServCo (collectively, LUMA), the Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority (PREPA),) and the Puerto Rico Public-Private Partnerships Authority (P3A)), entered into an Operation and Maintenance Agreement (OMA) under which LUMA will operate and manage PREPA's transmission and distribution system (T&D System).

Before assuming management of the T&D System, LUMA undertook transition and planning activities as part of the Front-End Transition Services. As part of these Front-End Transition Services, and in compliance with LUMA's obligations under Section 4.2(f) of the OMA, LUMA reviewed PREPA's processes, data_⊥ and baseline performance with respect to certain Performance Metrics. LUMA filed this analysis and recommended additional Performance Metrics for consideration as part of NEPR-MI-2019-0007 on January 29, 2021₇ (LUMA's Comments on Performance Metrics Baselines, resubmitted February 5, 2021) to establish metrics and performance baselines. As stated in that filing:

The current performance of PREPA is well below industry standards. Establishing a robust set of Performance Metrics will begin to enable transparency, reverse negative performance trends, and will further align LUMA with public policy – critical upon LUMA's commencement of T&D Services. This will advance LUMA's key goals: Prioritize Safety; Improve Customer Satisfaction; System Rebuild and Resiliency; Operational Excellence; and Sustainable Energy Transformation. The Puerto Rico Energy Bureau ("PREB") has also promulgated regulation concerning Performance Metrics, including NEPR-MI-2019-0014 and NEPR-MI-2019-0007. In the latter docket, PREB, through its order issued December 23, 2020, ordered that LUMA take part in the proceedings.

The Energy Bureau determined that it would consider LUMA's performance metrics subsequent to setting performance baselines and benchmarks for PREPA in Case No. NEPR-MI-2019-0007. This submission presents the LUMA's Revised Performance Metrics' baselines, minimum performance levels, and targets and complies with LUMA's obligations under Section 4.2(f) of the OMA. A revised Annex IX of the OMA (hereafter referred to as Annex IX) is also presented. This work was primarily performed as part of the Front-End Transition Services delivered by LUMA under the OMA. It has now been supplemented with additional work since LUMA began operation of the T&D System on June 1, 2021, and to comply with the order issued by this Energy Bureau on August 1, 2022, to include additional performance metrics.

In accordance with the Front-End Transition Plan (Annex II of the OMA), LUMA's major work in developing Performance Metrics took place before December 2020. It and included dedicated teams focused on this specific effort and the active participation of experts from each functional department in



LUMAPR.COM

the organization. The process also included discussions with key stakeholders, who provided feedback on the process, regulations, and other contextscontext that informed this proposal. Please refer to Case No. NEPR-MI-2019-0007, LUMA's Comments on Performance Baselines and Metrics, dated February 5, 2021, and in particular Exhibit 2, LUMA's Comments on Performance Metrics Baselines, for additional details. LUMA's February 5, 2021, filing in NEPR-MI-2019-0007 is provided for reference as Appendix A. In compliance with the Resolution and Ordered issued by the Energy Bureau on August 1, 2022, LUMA's Performance Metrics team, in conjunction with experts from relevant functional departments performed analysis, and prepared proposals on the additional metrics requested by the Energy Bureau. These proposed metrics and relevant written testimony have been included in LUMA's Revised Annex IX.

As discussed in Exhibit 2 of LUMA's February 5, 2021, filing in NEPR-MI-2019-0007, LUMA found significant gaps in both PREPA's processes and data. This makes determining baseline performance to enable the setting of realistic performance targets for the proposed Performance Metrics a challenge. Consequently, LUMA proposes that reporting of certain metrics and their use in Annex IX be deferred until such time as LUMA is able to provide reliable data for those metrics. In order to provide a full set of metrics, LUMA also proposes the addition of some Performance Metrics in Annex IX that were not present in the OMA at the time of execution.

The proposed Performance Metrics are presented in this submission with details related to each, including objectives, descriptions, calculations, performance baselines, and targets. A timeframe is also presented for each Performance Metric.

LUMA respectfully requests that the Puerto Rico Energy Bureau approve the revised Annex IX as presented in <u>Sections 2 and 3 of this document</u>, and consider for evaluation the additional metrics on <u>Interconnection</u>, <u>Energy Efficiency/Demand Response</u>, and Vegetation Management<u>Section 2 of this document</u>.

Lastly, plans for achieving the proposed targeted performance are presented withinwith specified time frames. It must be noted that the design of LUMA's plans is affected in several cases by the lack of quality data. Implementation plans were developed based on the expertise of various subject matter experts, professional judgmentjudgement, and knowledge of industry standards. LUMA expects in the future to revise and update these plans to reflect additional information and improvements in data collection and the calculation of relevant metrics in the future.⁻ LUMA's plans for improvement in the proposed Performance Metrics areis reflected in our prioritization of programs, and, ultimately, in our Initial Budgets. Unforeseen events outside of LUMA's control may affect LUMA's ability to meet the proposed Performance Metrics.

1.3 Performance Metrics Overview

1.3.1 Purpose & Requirements of the OMA

Pursuant to Section 4.2(f) of the OMA, LUMA proposes a set of metrics, defined in this document, for measuring and reporting LUMA's performance as the Operator of the T&D System and for determining the incentive fee that LUMA is eligible to receive each applicable Contract Year as specified in Section 7.1(c) of the OMA. LUMA will be entitled to earn the incentive fee (set forth in Annex VIII of the OMA and calculated as set forth in Annex X of the OMA) for any given Contract Year in accordance with results for these Performance Metrics.

According to Section 4.2(f) of the OMA, the Performance Metrics must include (i) the proposed baseline, target, and minimum performance levels for certain Performance Metrics; (ii) Key Performance Metrics;



(iii) Major Outage Event Performance Metrics; and (iv) an explanation of the basis for each of the foregoing, all as defined in Annex IX.

As described in Section 3 of LUMA's Reply to Comments on PREPA's performance baselines, performance metrics and compliance benchmarks in Case No. NEPR-MI-2019-0007, dated February 19, 2021, "the process for the establishment of Performance Metrics allows for an annual review of the Performance Metrics and revisions to the metrics if required." Due to the significant gaps identified in data collection, data quality, record-keeping, and processes as currently applied, LUMA proposes that this set of Performance Metrics apply for an initial period of three years of operation. On an annual basis, LUMA and the PREB will evaluate the effectiveness and appropriateness of each metric for measuring the desired performance (including the remote possibility of outperforming a benchmark). They and will propose resetting targets, minimum performance levels, and metric timelines to be applied to subsequent Contract Years. LUMA may also propose replacing one or more metrics.

1.3.2 Summary of Performance Metrics

As stated in Section 2.1 of LUMA's Reply to Comments on PREPA's performance baselines, performance metrics, as well as compliance benchmarks in Case No. NEPR-MI-2019-0007, dated February 19, 2021:

As part of our planning work and based on Puerto Rico energy public policy, LUMA established a mission and goals to help guide improvement programs and prioritize activities. LUMA used the mission and goals as part of its strategic planning framework to ensure alignment with Puerto Rico's broader public policy objectives and customer needs. As part of this alignment, LUMA recognizes that Performance Metrics associated with the mission and goals will further earlier compliance with public policy and drive benefits for the people of Puerto Rico.

The proposed performance metrics are listed in Table 1-1. These are grouped into three major performance categories in accordance with Annex IX: Customer Service; Technical, Safety & Regulatory; and Financial Performance. The second column, "OMA Description," has the text used in Annex IX of the OMA at its Effective Date. The third column indicates, in summary form, LUMA's description, including any clarification, addition, or deferral to Annex IX.

Table 1-1.	Performance	Metrics	Summary
------------	-------------	---------	---------

Performance Metric	OMA Description	LUMA Description		
Customer Service				
J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Residential Customers)	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction		
J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Business Customers)	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction		
Average Speed of Answer (minutes) ¹	Time it takes on <u>the phone to</u> reach an agent	The average wait time from the moment the customer enters the Automated Call Distribution (ACD) queue to the time the call is answered by an agent		
Customer Complaint Rate	Total monthly complaints registered with PREB	Total annual complaints registered with PREB divided by the total number of customers and then multiplied by 100,000		



I

Performance Metric	OMA Description	LUMA Description
First Call Resolution (FCR) ¹ (deferred)	% of calls with issues that are escalated	The percentage of calls where the customer was able to resolve their issue/need on the first attempt
		PREPA's systems do not have the ability to track and report FCR. LUMA proposes deferring the calculation and reporting of this metric until a new cloud-based Contact Center platform is implemented and FCR performance tracking can be established. This is currently targeted for Year 2.
Abandonment Rate ¹	# of abandoned calls per calls received	The percentage of callers who hang up (abandon) while the call is still in the Automated Call Distribution (ACD) queue.

Technical, Safety & Regulatory

I

Occupational Safety and Health (OSHA) Recordable Incident Rate	# of work-related OSHA recordable injury cases	Total number of OSHA recordable incidents as a result of work-related injury
OSHA Fatalities ¹	# of work-related fatalities	All work-related fatalities
OSHA Severity Rate ¹	OSHA Severe Injuries # of total work-related injury cases with severity days	Total number of restricted and lost-time days incurred as a result of a work-related injury
OSHA Days Away Restricted or Transferred (DART) Rate	# of work-related injury	Total number of OSHA recordable cases with lost-time days (away, restricted, or transferred)
System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) ¹	Measures avg. outage frequency	Indicates how often the average customer experiences a sustained interruption over a predefined period of time ²
System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) ¹	Measures avg. restoration time	Indicates the total duration of interruption for the average customer during a predefined period of time ²
Vegetation Maintenance Miles Completed by 230kV, 115kV, 38kV, Distribution (primary line only)	<u>N/A</u>	Indicates the number of overhead line miles fully maintained in a given year by Transmission (230kV, 115kV, 38kV) and Distribution (less than 38kV).
Customer Average Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI) ¹ (eliminated)	Measures avg. outage duration	Represents the average time required to restore service ² Based on growing industry concerns that CAIDI is very limited as a performance metric, LUMA proposes eliminating CAIDI. Since CAIDI is the ratio between SAIDI and SAIFI, CAIDI can be misleading because it can remain the same even when the SAIDI and SAIFI values decrease.
Customers Experiencing Multiple Interruptions (CEMI _N) (deferred)	Measures multiple outages in a given period	Indicates the ratio of individual customers experiencing N or more sustained interruptions to the total number of customers served. ² Due to data quality issues, including lack of accurate customer information and lack of customer connectivity in the Outage Management System, LUMA proposes deferring CEMI _N until after the information can be corrected and a baseline determined, currently expected to be Year 4.



LUMAPR.COM

Performance Metric	OMA Description	LUMA Description
Momentary Average Interruption Frequency Index (MAIFI) (deferred)	Measures avg. # of momentary interruptions	Indicates the average frequency of momentary interruptions.
		Due to data availability and quality issues, LUMA recommends deferring the MAIFI metric until it can be accurately measured. This requires replacing the Energy Manage System which is currently targeted for <u>yearsyear</u> 4 or 5.
Distribution Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections ¹	N/A	The number of distribution line inspections completed, with data recorded in a database for analysis. Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan to be addressed within 60 days of identification.
Transmission Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections	N/A	The number of transmission line inspections completed, with data recorded in a database for analysis. Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan to be addressed within 60 days of identification.
T&D Substation Inspections & Targeted Corrections	N/A	The number of distribution and transmission substation inspections completed with data recorded in a database for analysis. Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan to be addressed within 60 days of identification.
NEM Project Activation Duration	<u>N/A</u>	Measures the average duration (days) for activating NEM projects.
Energy Savings as % of Sales (deferred)	<u>N/A</u>	Measures total energy savings achieved (MWh) as a percentage of total energy sales (MWh) during the period. This is currently targeted for Year 2.
Peak Demand Savings as % of Peak Demand (deferred)	<u>N/A</u>	Measures peak demand savings achieved (MW) as a percentage of total peak demand (MW) during the period. This is currently targeted for Year 2.
Financial Performance		
Operating Budget ¹	Measures ability to stay within budget	Measures ability to stay within budget
Capital Budget: Federally Funded ¹	Measures ability to stay within budget	Measures ability to stay within budget
Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded ¹	Measures ability to stay within budget	Measures ability to stay within budget
Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) (bifurcated – see below)	Measures ability to collect bills	Measures ability to collect customer bills
Reduction in Network Line Losses	Measures ability to reduce	Measures ability to reduce electric losses
(deferred)	electric losses	PREPA does not currently allocate losses to the components of the system. Such allocation requires the development of an appropriate model, as well as additional metering and other measures. This is currently targeted for Year 2.
Overtime	Measures ability to manage salary expense	Measures ability to manage overtime costs under normal operations (excluding emergency events)
Days Sales Outstanding – General Customers	N/A	Measures ability to collect bills from general customers
Days Sales Outstanding – Government Customers	N/A	Measures ability to collect bills from government customers



LUMAPR.COM

¹ These Performance Metrics are also Key Performance Metrics as defined in Annex IX of the OMA.

² These descriptions are from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices IEEE Std. 1366™-2012.

1.3.3 Summary of Major Outage Event Performance Metrics

The OMA outlines technical metrics to establish targets for acceptable performance in providing reliable electric service during normal conditions. These metrics expressly characterize Major Outage Events (MOE) as abnormal and exclude utility performance during these major outage events. As such, they are not intended to, cannot_a and do not provide any quantitative measurement of utility performance during a major outage event. Finally, technical metrics measure the utility's overall reliability on an annual basis. In contrast, the Major Outage Event Scorecard (MOE Scorecard) will be used as a tool to specifically measure utility performance (including preparation and communication activities) during each MOE.

1.3.4 Application of Performance Metrics

The Performance Metrics outlined in <u>SectionsSection</u> 2.4 and 2.5 of this submission apply during normal operations of the T&D System (i.e., when Major Outage Event Performance Metrics do not apply). For the purposes of this proposal, including Section 2, Revised Annex IX — Performance Metrics, Major Outage Event Performance Metrics apply during Major Outage Events defined as:

an event as a result of which (i) at least two hundred and five thousand (205,000) T&D Customers are interrupted for more than 15 minutes or (ii) at any point in time during the event, there are one thousand five hundred or more (\geq 1,500) active outage events for the T&D System, which are tracked in the Outage Management System (OMS). The major outage event is deemed ongoing so long as the interruptions/outages continue to remain above the stated cumulative amounts, in each case for a period of twenty-four hours or longer (\geq 24) and are caused by an act of God. If such an act of God is a storm, the storm must be designated as a named storm by the U.S. National Weather Service or a State of Emergency declared by the Government of Puerto Rico. The major outage event shall be deemed to have ended when the cumulative number of T&D customers remaining interrupted falls below ten thousand (10,000) for a continuous period of eight (8) hours.

This definition was altered from that in the OMA to further define expectations and measurable targets. The MOE Scorecard is a tool to specifically track utility performance (including preparation and communication activities) after each Major Outage Event. The use of the MOE Scorecard is consistent with the OMA's intent to provide transparency on the utility's performance during emergencies and to assist in learning from emergency events and improving emergency response.

2.0 Revised Annex IX — Performance Metrics

This section provides a revised Annex IX of the OMA for PREB's consideration and approval.

2.1 General

For each Contract Year, LUMA shall be eligible to receive financial incentive compensation (Incentive Fee) based on the LUMA's performance during the Contract Year. LUMA's performance will be measured against the performance goals set forth by the Performance Metrics as described in this revised Annex IX



LUMAPR.COM

(Performance Metrics). Section 3 of this document provides an updated view of the illustrative table provided in the OMA.

2.2 Performance Categories

The proposed Performance Metrics are listed in Table 2-1. These are grouped <u>intoin</u> three major Performance Categories in accordance with Annex IX of the OMA: Customer Service; Technical, Safety & Regulatory; and Financial Performance. Likewise, the Incentive Compensation Pool will be allocated across the Performance Categories to align LUMA's incentive compensation with the performance goals.

Table 2-1. Summary of Performance Categories

I

Performance Category	Performance Goal	Allocation of Incentive Compensation Period
1. Customer Satisfaction	Achieve a high-level of customer satisfaction across all customer classes.	25%
2. Technical, Safety & Regulatory	Operate a safe and reliable electric grid while remaining compliant with applicable safety regulations.	50%
3. Financial Performance	Meet the approved Operating Budget, Capital Budget: Federally Funded, and Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded.	25%

2.3 In Compliance with Energy Bureau Regulation 9137, Docket NEPR-MI-2019-0014²

- A. For each Contract Year, the level of performance in each Performance Category shall be measured based on actual results achieved for the Contract Year. Levels of performance and achievement of results will be adjusted proportionately during the initial Contract Year. beginning on the Service Commencement Date and ending on the following June 30. For this purpose, one or more Performance Metrics shall be associated with each Performance Category.
- B. For all Performance Categories LUMA's performance shall be determined by the level of achievement of the Performance Objective for each Performance Metric under a Performance Category as described in Section 2.5 of this document. Such level of achievement will determine the portion of the allocated Incentive Compensation Pool earned by LUMA as described in Annex X (Calculation of Incentive Fee).
- C. Each Performance Metric has an assigned point weighting (Base Points). For all Performance Metrics except for the Binary Metrics as described in Section D below, a baseline performance level has been established prior to the beginning of the first Contract Year (the Baseline Performance Level). The proposed Baseline Performance Level is based on either historical operating data confirmed during the Front-End Transition Period, performance during the Front-End Transition Period, performance during the Front-End Transition Period, performance safe proposed by LUMA and then reviewed, modified and/or approved by PREB in the manner set forth in the main body of the OMA. The Baseline Performance Level sets the starting point for each metric relative to the target performance level to be achieved in the third Contract Year (the "Target Performance Level"). The annual target performance

² PREB Regulation for Performance Incentive Mechanisms, Regulation 9137, approved on December 2, 2019 in matter number NEPR – MI – 2019 – 0014.



level for each performance metric over the initial three-year period is determined by the following: first, consideration of data and process information gathered from PREPA about past performance $\frac{1}{27}$ second, discovered during the first two months of LUMA operations $\frac{1}{27}$ and third, the consideration of effort and practical resources required (including human capital, processes and IT systems) to achieve improvements in performance and consideration of available budgets. The annual Minimum Performance Level set for each Performance Metric establishes the value that must be exceeded to qualify for Base Points and is established as one level lower performance than the 25% level in the Performance Metric Schedule. In Contract Years where the Minimum Performance Level is exceeded, LUMA has the ability to earnof earning 25%, 50%, 100%, 125%, % or 150% (the Base Point Multipliers) of the Base Points depending on the metric result relative to the established baseline for the Contract Year. That is, for a result between the Minimum Performance Level and the 25% tier, LUMA would receive points equal to 25% of the Base Points, and; for a result between the 25% threshold and the 50% threshold, LUMA would receive points equal to 50% of the Base Points, etc.

Performance ranges for determination of Base Points earned shall be based on achieving performance improvement from the Baseline Performance Level to the Target Performance Level over the initial three-year period. They shall be aligned with principles beneficial to the public interest. including going above and beyond the minimum required compliance level; positively impacting or addressing areas of unsatisfactory performance with a direct impact <u>onto</u> the electric service user; and tied to difficult tasks rather than easy to fix areas.

D. Several Performance Metrics will be evaluated differently than the mechanism outlined above because the baseline is independent year to year (the Binary Metric). For the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Fatalities metrics, a value of zero results in full Base Points, and a value other than zero results in no points. For the three approved budget-related metrics, Operating Budget, Capital Budget: Federally Funded and Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded, exceeding 102% of the applicable budget results in no points while spending less than or equal to 100% of the applicable budget results in awarding full Base Points. The Operator can earn full Base Points by spending up to 100% of the Budget, pending Administrator approval. As defined in Section 7.3(b) of the OMA, the Budgets include 2% Excess Expenditures. Budget amendments, as defined in (i) through (iv) in Section 7.4 and 14.5(e) of the OMA, shall be deemed to be included in the initially approved Budgets (denominator) for purposes of this calculation. Further, any funds drawn from the Outage Event Reserve Account and the Contingency Reserve Account, as they have specific requirements, do not contribute to this metric.

2.4 Summary of Performance Metrics

The Performance Metrics that will form the basis for the Incentive Compensation Pool and their descriptions, baseline derivations, base points, and effective weights are summarized in Table 2-2.

Table 2-2. Summary of Performance Metrics

Performance Metric	Description	Baseline Performance Level Derivation	Base Points	Effective Weight
A. Customer S	ervice			



LUMAPR.COM

Performance Metric	Description	Baseline Performance Level Derivation	Base Points	Effective Weight
1. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Residential Customers)	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction	Baseline has been set off initial survey. Reporting will begin in year 1	7.0	5.83%
2. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Business Customers)	3rd party measure of customer satisfaction	Baseline has been set off initial survey. Reporting will begin in year 1	7.0	5.83%
3. Average Speed of Answer (minutes) ¹	The average wait time from the moment the customer enters the Automated Call Distribution (ACD) queue to the time the call is answered by an agent	Based on past PREPA performance and LUMA experience	7.0	5.83%
4. Customer Complaint Rate	Total annual complaints registered with PREB (NEPR- QR) per 100,000 customers	Based on the total number of complaints received by the PREB (NEPR-QR) from May 2019 to February 2020, annualized, as the baseline as it is the most normal period of operations for PREPA in the last 4 years	2.0	1.67%
5. Abandonment Rate ¹	The percentage of callers who hang up (abandon) while the call is still in the ACD queue	Based on past PREPA performance and LUMA experience	7.0	5.83%
A. Customer S	ervice ²		<u>30.0</u> 30.0	25.0%

Inserted Cells

13

B. Technical, Safety & Regulatory

1

L

|

I

1. OSHA Recordable Incident Rate	Total number of OSHA recordable incidents as a result of work-related injury	Evaluation of PREPA historical data	5.0	<u>4.17</u> 5.56%
2. OSHA Fatalities ¹	All work-related fatalities	Evaluation of PREPA historical data	5.0	<u>4.17</u> 5.56%
3. OSHA Severity Rate ^{1,4}	Total number of restricted and lost-time days incurred as a result of a work-related injury	Evaluation of PREPA historical data	5.0	<u>4.17</u> 5.56%
4. OSHA DART Rate	Total number of OSHA recordable cases with lost- time days (away, restricted_ or transferred)	Evaluation of PREPA historical data	5.0	<u>4.17</u> 5.56%
5. System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) ¹	Indicates how often the average customer experiences a sustained interruption over a predefined period-of time. ³	Calculated from PREPA historical data during the Front- End Transition Period	5.0	<u>4.17</u> 5.56%



Performance Metric	Description	Baseline Performance Level Derivation	Base Points	Effective Weight
6. System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) ¹	Indicates the total duration of interruption for the average customer during a predefined period. ³ of time ³	Calculated from PREPA historical data during the Front- End Transition Period	5.0	<u>4.17</u> 5.56%
Duration Index (SAIDI) ¹ period_3-of time ³ 7. Vegetation Maintenance Miles Completed by 230kV. 115kV. 38kV. and Distribution (primary line only) Indicates the number of overhead line miles fully maintained in the given year by Transmission (230kV, 115kV, 38kV) and Distribution (less than 38kV). No previous exists. 87. Distribution Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections ¹ The number of distribution line inspections completed, with at a recorded in a database for analysis. Inspections of all 13.2 kV, 8.3 kV, and 4.16 kV mainline, 3 phase, overhead circuits to assess the physical integrity of the poles, structures, components_ and equipment to be completed. LUMA will identify serious safety issues forte either the public or workers, which will Not ap PREP/	<u>to previous baseline</u> 5 <u>0</u> exists.		<u>4.17%</u>	
Line Inspections & Targeted	inspections completed, with data recorded in a database for analysis. Inspections of all 13.2 kV, 8.3 kV _a and 4.16 kV mainline, 3 phase, overhead circuits to assess the physical integrity of the poles, structures, components, and equipment to be completed. LUMA will identify serious safety issues <u>for</u> te either the	Not applicable. PREPA has not been performing routine inspections.	5.0	<u>4.17</u> 5.56%
28. Transmission Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections	The number of transmission line inspections completed, with data recorded in a database for analysis. Inspections of all 230 kV, 115 kV_ and 38 kV transmission circuits to assess the physical integrity of the poles, structures, components_ and equipment to be completed. LUMA will identify serious safety issues forto either the public or workers, which will result in immediate priorities for the remediation process. Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan to address within 60 days of identification.	Not applicable. PREPA has not been performing routine inspections.	5.0	<u>4.17</u> 5.56%



I

L

T

1

I

I

1

T

I

Performance Metric	Description	Baseline Perform Level Derivation	ance	Base Points	Effective Weight
109. T&D Substation Inspections & Targeted Corrections	The number of distribution an transmission substation inspections completed with data recorded in a database for analysis. Inspections of all distribution and transmission substations to assess the physical integrity of the substation structures, components, and equipment to be completed. LUMA will identify serious safety issues forte either the public or workers, which will result in immediate priorities for the remediation process. Categor 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plar to address within 60 days of identification.	PREPA has not beer performing routine in		5.0	<u>4.17</u> 5.56%
<u>11. NEM Project</u> Activation Duratio	Measures the average duration (days) for activating NEM projects.	No previous baseline exists.	<u>5.0</u>		<u>4.17%</u>
<u>12. Energy Saving</u> as % of Sales	Measures total energy savings achieved (MWh) as percentage of total energy sales (MWh) during the period.	<u>No previous baseline</u> <u>exists.</u>	<u>2.5</u>		<u>2.08%</u>
<u>13. Peak Demand</u> <u>Savings as % of</u> <u>Peak Demand</u>	Measures peak demand savings achieved (MW) as percentage of total peak demand (MW) during the period.	<u>No previous baseline</u> <u>exists.</u>	<u>2.5</u>		<u>2.08%</u>
	afety & Regulatory			<u>60.0</u> 45.0	50.0%
C. Financial Pe	Measures ability to stay withir	Budget approved by	PREB	7.5	5.68%
Budget ¹	budget	. Dauger approved by		1.0	0.0070
2. Capital Budget: Federally Funded ¹	Measures ability to stay withir budget	Budget approved by	PREB	7.5	5.68%
3. Capital Budget: Non- Federally Funded ¹	Measures ability to stay withir budget	Budget approved by	PREB	7.5	5.68%



I

I

Performance Metric	Description	Baseline Performance Level Derivation	Base Points	Effective Weight
4a) Days Sales Outstanding: General Customers	Measures ability to collect bills from general customers	Based on analysis of data over the last 36 months and consideration of the impact of external factors such as Hurricane Maria and the COVID cut-off moratorium, the timeframe of May 2019 – February 2020 represents the most current stable and unimpaired period of collections activity for the general customers	4.0	3.03%
4b) Days Sales Outstanding: Government Customers	Measures ability to collect bills from government customers	PREPA historical data from the timeframe of January – July 2020 is the most appropriate period for establishing a Government DSO baseline	1.5	1.14%
5. Overtime	Measures ability to manage overtime costs	23% of Total Base Compensation for Non-Exempt Employees based on PREPA historical data	5	3.79%
C. Financial Pe	erformance ⁵	-	33.033.0	25.0%

¹ These Performance Metrics are also Key Performance Metrics (as defined in Section 2.6 LUMA Event of Default and in the OMA Section 14.1 (k)).

² Note that the Base Points for the individual Customer Service Performance Metrics vary from those in OMA Annex IX. The base points for the Customer Complaint Rate were reduced, and the ones for the other Customer Service metrics were increased. This modification recognizes the uncertainty of the data for historical customer complaints registered with PREB. PREPA did not review complaints with PREB_and consequently, there is no information on what portion of total complaints are justifiable. The total Customer Service Base Points shown <u>remain</u> the same as in the OMA Annex IX.

³ These descriptions are from the IEEE Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices, IEEE Std. 1366™-2012.

⁴ As part of this revision to OMA Annex IX, the use of the term Severe Injuries, which is not an OSHA metric, has been replaced, as appropriate, with the consistent use of the term Severity Rate herein, which is an OSHA metric.

⁵ Note that the Base Points for the individual Financial Performance Metrics vary from those in OMA Annex IX. The Days Sales Outstanding Performance Metric has been bifurcated_a and the Reduction in Network Line Losses Performance Metric has been deferred. The total Financial Performance base points shown <u>arrele</u> 33 instead of the 38 in the OMA Annex IX_a and as a result_a the effective weightings are slightly higher for each of the individual finance metrics. The total effective weight for the sum of the Financial Performance Metrics remains the same as in the OMA Annex IX.

2.5 **Performance Metrics**

1

I

I

Table 2-3 below summarizes baseline performance levels and annual targets for the Performance Metrics, with related details following the table.

Table 2-3. Summary of Performance Metrics Baselines and Annual Targets

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
A. Custon	ner Service						
1. J.D. Po	wer Customer Sa	atisfaction Sur	vey (Re	sidentia	I Custo	mers)	
PREB Order					N/A		



LUMAPR.COM

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%				
Baseline					398						
Year 1	427	398	450	439	427	415	405				
Year 2	455	427	480	468	455	440	430				
Year 3	484	455	500	492	484	470	460				
2. J.D. Po	wer Customer Sa	tisfaction Surv	vey (Bu	siness (Custom	ers)					
PREB Order					N/A						
Baseline		345									
Year 1	380	345	415	400	380	370	355				
Year 2	414	380	450	432	414	400	390				
Year 3	449	414	475	462	449	435	425				
3. Average	e Speed of Answ	er (minutes) ¹									
PREB Order					8.3						
Baseline					10.0						
Year 1	9.0	9.7	4.5	6.8	9.0	9.3	9.6				
Year 2	6.4	7.1	3.2	4.8	6.4	6.7	7.0				
Year 3	5.8	6.4	2.9	4.4	5.8	6.1	6.3				
4. Custon	ner Complaint Ra	te									
PREB Order					841						
Baseline					10.5						
Year 1	10.2	11.0	9.7	10.0	10.2	10.5	10.7				
Year 2	10.0	10.8	9.5	9.8	10.0	10.3	10.5				
Year 3	9.5	10.3	9.0	9.3	9.5	9.8	10.0				
5. Abando	onment Rate ¹										

PREB Order					N/A		
Baseline					50.0%		
Year 1	40.0%	45.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%	41.0%	42.0%
Year 2	32.0%	35.0%	16.0%	24.0%	32.0%	33.0%	34.0%
Year 3	29.0%	34.0%	14.5%	22.0%	29.0%	31.0%	33.0%
B. Techni	cal, Safety & Reg	ulatory					

1. OSHA Recordable Incident Rate

PREB Order	6.9
Baseline	8.75



	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
Year 1	6.56	7.88	5.68	6.12	6.56	7.00	7.44
Year 2	5.25	7.25	3.99	4.59	5.25	5.95	6.69
Year 3	4.20	6.67	2.79	3.45	4.20	5.06	6.02
2. OSHA F	atalities ¹						
PREB Order					0		
Baseline					0		
Year 1	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Year 2	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Year 3	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
3. OSHA S	everity Rate ¹						
PREB Order					31.00		
Baseline					58.03		
Year 1	49.32	53.38	43.52	46.42	49.32	52.23	53.38
Year 2	41.92	49.12	32.64	37.14	41.92	44.39	48.05
Year 3	35.64	45.19	24.48	29.71	35.64	37.74	43.25
4. OSHA D	ART Rate						
PREB Order					4.80		
Baseline					6.85		
Year 1	5.14	6.17	4.45	4.80	5.13	5.48	5.82
Year 2	4.11	5.67	3.12	3.60	4.11	4.66	5.24
Year 3	3.29	5.22	2.18	2.7	3.29	3.96	4.72
5. System	Average Interru	ption Frequence	y Index	(SAIFI)	1,2		
PREB Order					10.6		
Baseline					10.6		
Year 1	9.8	10.4	8.2	8.9	9.8	10.0	10.2
Year 2	8.5	10.1	6.8	7.5	8.5	8.9	9.5
Year 3	7.4	9.8	5.8	6.6	7.4	8.2	9.0
6. System	Average Interru	ption Duration	Index (S	SAIDI) ^{1,2}	2		
PREB Order					1,243		
Baseline					1,243		
Year 1	1,119	1,212	870	994	1,119	1,150	1,181
Year 2	932	1,155	684	808	932	1,007	1,081



LUMAPR.COM

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%			25%			
Year 3	746	1,118	497	622	746	870			994			
7. Vegetat	ion Maintenanco	e Miles Comple	eted (23	0kV, 11	5kV, 38k	V, and p	orimary	Distrib	ution)			
PREB Order					<u>N/A</u>							
<u>Baseline</u>					<u>N/A</u>							
Year 1	1,600	<u>160</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>1,800</u>	<u>1,600</u>	800			<u>600</u>			
Year 2	<u>1,800</u>	<u>180</u>	<u>2,200</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>1,800</u>	<u>900</u>			<u>700</u>			
Year 3	2,000	<u>200</u>	<u>2,400</u>	<u>2,200</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>1,000</u>			<u>800</u>			
<u>3</u> 7. Distribu	ution Line Inspe	ctions & Targe	eted Cor	rrection	S ¹							
PREB Order												
Baseline					N/A							
Year 1	106	16	159	133	106	53			27			
Year 2	370	56	555	463	370	185			93			
Year 3	687	103	1,031	859	687	344			172			
8. Transm	ission Line Ins	pections & Tar	geted C	orrectio	ons							
PREB Order					N/A							
Baseline					N/A							
Year 1	26	4	39	33	26	13			7			
Year 2	91	14	137	114	91	46			23			
Year 3	169	25	254	211	169	85			43			
10 9 . T&D S	Substation Inspe	ections & Targe	eted Co	rrection	s							
PREB Order		Ū			N/A							
Baseline					N/A							
Year 1	39	6	59	49	39	20			10			
Year 2	137	21	206	171	137	69			34			
Year 3	255	38	383	319	255	128			64			
	ergy Metering (N											
PREB Order	_					N/A						
Baseline							N	<u>I/A</u>				
Year 1				2	<u>8</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	
Year 2				2	<u>8</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	
Year 3				2	<u>8</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	
	Servinge on Der	cent of Total E	nerav S	Sales								



I

I

Inserted Cells

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%			25%				
Baseline	Baseline				<u>N/A</u>								
Year 1				0.10%	Savings	<u>N/A</u>	<u>0.15%</u>	<u>0.13%</u>	<u>0.10%</u>	<u>0.05%</u>	<u>0.03%</u>		
Year 2	Year 2				Savings	<u>N/A</u>	0.38%	<u>0.31%</u>	0.25%	<u>0.13%</u>	<u>0.06%</u>		
Year 3				0.40%	<u>Savings</u>	<u>N/A</u>	0.60%	<u>0.50%</u>	<u>0.40%</u>	<u>0.20%</u>	<u>0.10%</u>		
<u>13. Peak E</u>	Demand Savings	as a Percent o	of Total	Peak De	mand								
PREB Orde	er						<u>N/</u>	A					
Baseline							N	<u>/A</u>					
Year 1				0.10%	Savings	<u>N/A</u>	<u>0.08%</u>	0.06%	<u>0.05%</u>	<u>0.03%</u>	<u>0.01%</u>		
Year 2				0.20%	Savings	<u>N/A</u>	<u>0.15%</u>	<u>0.13%</u>	<u>0.10%</u>	<u>0.05%</u>	<u>0.03%</u>		
Year 3				0.30%	Savings	<u>N/A</u>	0.30%	<u>0.25%</u>	<u>0.20%</u>	<u>0.10%</u>	<u>0.05%</u>		
C. Financi	ial Performance												

o. i mano										
1. Operati	ng Budget ¹									
PREB Order					80.4%					
Baseline			1(00% of 0	Operating	g Budge	ət			
Year 1	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			
Year 2	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			
Year 3	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			

2. Capital Budget: Federally Funded¹

PREB Order						N/A				
Baseline					N/A					
Year 1	100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A			



	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
Year 2	100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A
Year 3	100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A

3. Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded¹

PREB Order					6.6%							
Baseline		100% of Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded Approved for Fiscal 2022										
Year 1	<100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	pproved Capital Approved N/A N/A Or N/A N/A										
Year 2	<100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A					
Year 3	<100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A					

4a) Days Sales Outstanding: General Customers

PREB Order					132		
Baseline					131		
Year 1	128	148	119	122	128	135	138
Year 2	126	145	116	120	126	132	135
Year 3	123	142	114	117	123	129	132
4b) Days	Sales Outstandin	g: Governmer	nt Custo	mers			
PREB Order					619		
Baseline					754		
Year 1	739	850	684	702	739	776	794
Year 2	724	833	670	688	724	760	778
Year 3	709	815	656	674	709	745	762

5. Overtime



LUMAPR.COM

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order					N/A		
Baseline		23% of T	otal Base	e Comper	nsation fo	r Non-Ex	empt Employees
Year 1	20% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	23% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 18%	19%	20%	21%	22%
Year 2	19% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation ³	22% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 17%	18%	19%	20%	21%
Year 3	18% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	21% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 16%	17%	18%	19%	20%

These Performance Metrics are also Key Performance Metrics (as defined in the Revised Annex IX Performance Metrics Section 4.6 LUMA Event of Default and in the OMA Section 14.1 (k).

² These metrics are based on the IEEE Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices, IEEE Std. 1366-2012 and baselined by annualizing the 2020 performance through August 2020 (<u>the.</u>dataset provided covered the period of January 2020 through August 2020) to account for <u>the</u> 2020 degraded performance over 2019.

³ A 1% Metric Improvement Target can equate to a 22% Cost Improvement. See Sample Overtime Savings Calculation below.

2.5.1 Customer Satisfaction

1. J.D. POWER CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY (RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS)

Performance Objective: To incentivize sufficient customer service.

Description: Third-party customer survey.

Calculation: The J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction metric examines six factors: power quality and reliability, price, billing and payment, corporate citizenship, communications, and customer service. Customer Satisfaction will be measured by following up with surveys in four phases per year for residential, and in-two phases per year for commercial. <u>The</u> Initial survey was completed, and a baseline was set prior to commencement, with reporting beginning in FY 2022.

Table 2-4. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Residential Customers)

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order			N/A				
Baseline			398				
Year 1	427	398	450	439	427	415	405
Year 2	455	427	480	468	455	440	430



LUMAPR.COM

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
Year 3	484	455	500	492	484	470	460

2. J.D. POWER CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY (BUSINESS CUSTOMERS)

Performance Objective: To incentivize sufficient customer service.

Description: Third _party customer survey.

Calculation: The J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction metric examines six factors: power quality and reliability, price, billing and payment, corporate citizenship, communications, and customer service. Customer Satisfaction will be measured by following up with surveys in four phases per year for residential, and in-two phases per year for commercial. The Initial survey was completed, and a baseline was set prior to commencement, with reporting beginning in FY2022.

Table 2-5. J.D. Power Customer Satisfaction Survey (Business Customers)

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order			N/A				
Baseline			345				
Year 1	380	345	415	400	380	370	355
Year 2	414	380	450	432	414	400	390
Year 3	449	414	475	462	449	435	425

3. AVERAGE SPEED OF ANSWER (MINUTES)

Performance Objective: To incentivize efficient call center service.

Description: The Average Speed of Answer (ASA) metric measures the average wait time from the moment the customer enters the queue to the time the call is answered by an agent.

Calculation: Total Automatic Call Distributor (ACD) wait seconds/+total answered calls.

An ACD is a telephony system that automatically distributes incoming phone calls to available agents, based on data entered by the caller into an Interactive Voice Response (IVR) and skills-based routing, using skills associated with agents.

LUMA's baseline data derives from FY2019 – March 2020. When assessing whether to use FY2019 or FY2020 data, we determined that the FY2020 does not support a reliable baseline for the following reasons:

- Current data is only available for a period of 6 months
- Reported ASA varies significantly from month to month due to COVID and onboarding new outsource vendors
- There is a lack of visibility into three separate call routing systems and overflow, which prevents LUMA from accurately calculating baseline ASA



Table 2-6. Average Speed of Answer (minutes) Minimum Target Threshold 150% 125% 100% 50% 25% Performance Level PREB Order 8.3 Baseline 10.0 Year 1 9.0 9.7 4.5 6.8 9.0 9.3 9.6 Year 2 7.1 6.4 6.7 7.0 6.4 3.2 4.8 Year 3 5.8 6.4 2.9 4.4 5.8 6.1 6.3

4. CUSTOMER COMPLAINT RATE

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective customer service.

Description: This metric measures the total number of initial customer complaints registered with PREB under an NEPR-QR docket. The Baseline Performance Level was set based on PREPA historical data.

Calculation: The annual value is calculated by taking the total number of initial complaints divided by the total utility customer population and then-multiplying by 100,000.

LUMA's baseline was calculated from FY2019 – March 2020 data. Upon further investigation, LUMA determined that FY2020 does not support a reliable baseline due to:

- Current data is not available
- The lack of visibility into <u>the</u> response rate prevents us from accurately calculating <u>the</u> baseline service level

Table 2-7. Customer Complaint Rate

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order			841	ļ			
Baseline			10.5	5			
Year 1	10.2	11.0	9.7	10.0	10.2	10.5	10.7
Year 2	10.0	10.8	9.5	9.8	10.0	10.3	10.5
Year 3	9.5	10.3	9.0	9.3	9.5	9.8	10.0

Note that the Minimum Performance Level in the early years is are worse than the baseline to account for the possible scenario of a temporary increase in customer complaints due to the strong possibility of bill consumption actually increasing as metering, meter data, and billing accuracy improves (meters typically under register when not working properly).

5. ABANDONMENT RATE

Performance Objective: To incentivize efficient call center service.

Description: The Abandonment Rate (ABD) metric measures the percentage of callers who hang up (abandon) while the call is still in the Automated Call Distribution (ACD) queue.

Calculation: Total calls werethat abandoned in queue/+total calls offered to the queue.

LUMA's baseline was calculated using FY2019 to March 2020 data. Upon further analysis, LUMA determined that using FY2020 data would not support a reliable baseline due to the following:



- Current data is only available for a period of 6 months
- Reported ABD varies significantly from month to month due to COVID and onboarding new outsource vendors
- There is a lack of visibility into three separate call routing systems, and overflow presents us from accurately calculating baseline ABD

Table 2-8. Abandonment Rate

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order			N/A	4			
Baseline			50.0	%			
Year 1	40.0%	45.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%	41.0%	42.0%
Year 2	32.0%	35.0%	16.0%	24.0%	32.0%	33.0%	34.0%
Year 3	29.0%	34.0%	14.5%	22.0%	29.0%	31.0%	33.0%

2.5.2 Technical, Safety & Regulatory

The System Reliability Technical Performance Metrics will be measured and calculated in accordance with IEEE 1366-2012, including the terms as defined therein. The calculation of Technical Performance Metrics excludes (i) interruptions associated with Outage Event days using the IEEE 2.5 Beta Method, (ii) planned interruptions_a and (iii) interruptions caused by generation events.

Regarding Metrics 1, 3, and 4 below:

LUMA analyzed the benchmarks in the PREB Order and determined that the PREB Order does not adequately represent recent results for the following reasons:

- The PREB order is based on PREPA submissions to quarterly performance metrics filings. The quarterly performance metrics are an aggregation of data related to transmission, distribution, and generation activities and are not representative of LUMA's activities (only transmission and distribution).
- Beginning in January 2020, PREPA began excluding certain incidents from the OSHA recordable incident register and instead included them in an internal report known as 'Casi-Casi.' According to the information provided by PREPA to LUMA, several of the incidents on the 'Casi-Casi' report resulted in days away from work or medical treatment beyond first aid. LUMA was unable to receive confirmation from PREPA as to why these incidents were excluded from the OSHA recordable incident register.

By excluding the 'Casi-Casi' incidents and including generation operations, all Technical, Safety & Regulatory benchmarks in the PREB Order decreased significantly (from between 19-31%). Excluding incidents from generation operations and including the 'Casi-Casi' results in no changes to significant increases in the benchmarks (from 0 to +15%). As a result, <u>LUMALUMA's</u> proposes to maintain FY2021 benchmarks with adjustments to exclude incidents from generation operations and to include relevant 'Casi-Casi' incidents in accordance with industry practice and OSHA guidelines. LUMA proposed benchmarks and targets are included in the tables below.



1. OSHA RECORDABLE INCIDENT RATE (OSHA IR)³

Performance Objective: To incentivize employee safety.

Description: OSHA requires Recordable Incident Rate to be reported to OSHA on a yearly basis. An OSHA recordable incident is a work-related injury or illness that results in one of more of the following: death, days away from work, restricted work or transfer to another job, medical treatment beyond first aid, loss of consciousness, or a significant injury or illness diagnosed by a physician or other licensed health <u>-</u> care professional. The baseline performance level has been set using PREPA historical data in addition to an internal report named Casi Casi.

Calculation: The metric is calculated as the total number of recordable incident cases over a set time period multiplied by the OSHA scaling factor⁴ and divided by the total number of labor hours the company recorded during that time period.

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%	
PREB Order	6.9							
Baseline	8.75							
Year 1	6.56	7.88	5.68	6.12	6.56	7.00	7.44	
Year 2	5.25	7.25	3.99	4.59	5.25	5.95	6.69	
Year 3	4.20	6.67	2.79	3.45	4.20	5.06	6.02	
Year 3	4.20	6.67	2.79	3.45	4.20	5.06	6.02	

Table 2-9. OSHA Recordable Incident Rate

2. OSHA FATALITIES⁵

Performance Objective: To incentivize employee safety.

Description: OSHA requires all work-related fatalities to be reported to OSHA within eight (8) hours. The industry standard target is 0 fatalities, which has determined the Baseline and Target Performance Levels.

Calculation: This metric measures the number of OSHA-reportable fatalities (i.e., employee fatalities that occur on the job within OSHA jurisdictions).

Table 2-10. OSHA Fatalities

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order			0				
Baseline			0				
Year 1	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Year 2	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Year 3	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A

³ As defined by OSHA.

⁴ The OSHA scaling factor is 200,000 and equates to equates to one hundred (100) employees working forty (40) hours per week, fifty (50) weeks of the year).
⁵ As defined by OSHA.



3. OSHA SEVERITY RATE⁶

Performance Objective: To incentivize employee safety

Description: Used as a metric to measure the severity of workplace injuries, the OSHA Severity Rate is commonly used to measure safety performance across the utility industry. The OSHA Severity Rate considers the total number of restricted and lost-time days incurred as a result of a work-related injury.

Calculation: This metric is calculated by dividing the product of the total number of severity days (both restricted and lost-time days) and the OSHA scaling factor⁷ by the total number of work hours.

Table 2-11. OSHA Severity Rate

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%		
PREB Order	31.00								
Baseline	58.03								
Year 1	49.32	53.38	43.52	46.42	49.32	52.23	53.38		
Year 2	41.92	49.12	32.64	37.14	41.92	44.39	48.05		
Year 3	35.64	45.19	24.48	29.71	35.64	37.74	43.25		

4. OSHA DAYS AWAY, RESTRICTED, AND TRANSFER RATE (DART)⁸

Performance Objective: To incentivize employee safety.

Description: Used as a metric to measure the severity of workplace injuries, the OSHA DART Rate is commonly used to measure safety performance across the utility industry. The OSHA DART Rate considers the total number of injury cases that resulted in either lost time, restricted time, or a transfer from the employee's regular job.

Calculation: This metric is calculated by dividing the product of the total number of DART Cases (OSHA injury cases with either lost time days, restricted days_a or results in a job transfer) and the OSHA scaling factor⁹ by the total number of work hours.

Table 2-12. OSHA DART Rate

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%	
PREB Order	4.80							
Baseline	6.85							
Year 1	5.14	6.17	4.45	4.80	5.13	5.48	5.82	
Year 2	4.11	5.67	3.12	3.60	4.11	4.66	5.24	
Year 3	3.29	5.22	2.18	2.70	3.29	3.96	4.72	

⁶ As defined by OSHA.

⁷ The OSHA scaling factor is 200,000 and equates to equates to one hundred (100) employees working forty (40) hours per week, fifty (50) weeks of the year.

⁹ The OSHA scaling factor is 200,000 and equates to equates to one hundred (100) employees working forty (40) hours per week, fifty (50) weeks of the year.



⁸ As defined by OSHA.

5. SYSTEM AVERAGE INTERRUPTION FREQUENCY INDEX (SAIFI)¹⁰

Performance Objective: To incentivize system reliability.

Description: This metric indicates how often the average customer experiences a sustained interruption¹¹ over a predefined period of time.

Calculation: This metric is calculated by dividing the total number of customers interrupted by the total number of customers served. Each sustained interruption¹² experienced by a specific customer counts towards the total in the numerator.

Table 2-13. System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI)

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%		
PREB Order	10.6								
Baseline			10.	6					
Year 1	9.8	10.4	8.2	8.9	9.8	10.0	10.2		
Year 2	8.5	10.1	6.8	7.5	8.5	8.9	9.5		
Year 3	7.4	9.8	5.8	6.6	7.4	8.2	9.0		

6. SYSTEM AVERAGE INTERRUPTION DURATION INDEX (SAIDI)¹³

Performance Objective: To incentivize system reliability

Description: This metric indicates the total duration of interruption for the average customer during a predefined period of time.

Calculation: This metric is calculated by summing the product of the length of each interruption and the number of customers affected by that interruption for all sustained interruptions¹⁴ during the measurement period, then dividing by the total number of customers served.

Table 2-14. System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI)

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%	
PREB Order	1,243							
Baseline	1,243							
Year 1	1,119	1,212	870	994	1,119	1,150	1,181	
Year 2	932	1,155	684	808	932	1,007	1,081	
Year 3	746	1,118	497	622	746	870	994	

¹⁰ The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., IEEE Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices IEEE Std.

¹³ The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., IEEE Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices IEEE Std. 1366[™]-2012, May 2012, page 5. 4 "Any interruption not classified as a part of a momentary event. That is, any interruption that lasts more than five minutes." Ibid.,

page 4.



 $^{1366^{+0.2012}}$, May 2012, page 5. ¹¹ "Any interruption not classified as a part of a momentary event. That is, any interruption that lasts more than five minutes." Ibid., page 4.

¹² Ibid.

7. <u>VEGETATION MAINTENANCE MILES COMPLETED (230KV, 115KV, 38KV, DISTRIBUTION)</u>

Performance Objective: To incentivize improved system reliability by promoting vegetation maintenance along transmission and distribution lines.

Description: The metric monitors the number of line miles completed for vegetation maintenance each fiscal year along 230kV, 115kV, 38kV, and primary Distribution lines .

Calculation: This metric is the total amount of vegetation maintenance line miles completed during a fiscal year. The metric is calculated by adding together the total number of vegetation maintenance miles completed during the fiscal year along 230kV, 115kV, 38kV, and primary Distribution lines. The metric will be calculated using internal work pages, maps, and files.

For example: Total Vegetation Maintenance miles completed = # of 230kV maintenance miles completed + 115kV miles of maintenances completed + 38kV miles of maintenance completed + primary Distribution () miles of maintenance completed

Table 2-155. Vegetation Maintenance Miles Completed (230kV, 115kV, 38kV, primary Distribution)

	<u>Target</u> <u>Threshold</u>	<u>Minimum</u> <u>Performance</u> <u>Level</u>	<u>150%</u>	<u>125%</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>25%</u>
PREB Order				<u>N/A</u>			
Baseline				<u>N/A</u>			
Year 1	<u>1,600</u>	<u>160</u>	2,000	<u>1,800</u>	<u>1,600</u>	<u>800</u>	<u>600</u>
Year 2	<u>1,800</u>	<u>180</u>	<u>2,200</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>1,800</u>	<u>900</u>	<u>700</u>
Year 3	2,000	<u>200</u>	<u>2,400</u>	2,200	<u>2,000</u>	<u>1,000</u>	<u>800</u>

8. DISTRIBUTION LINE INSPECTIONS & TARGETED CORRECTIONS

Performance Objective: To incentivize system safety and provide data to make decisions on effective reliability improvements, predictive maintenance, circuit hosting capacity, and resiliency upgrades.

Description: The Distribution Line Inspections and Targeted Corrections metric will assess the physical integrity of the poles, structures, components, and equipment, providing data to develop an overall health rating to identify serious safety issues to either the public or worker that will result in high-priority attention by LUMA.

Calculation: Number of distribution lines (circuits) inspected with results recorded in a database and Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan within 60 days of identification to address. That plan shall consider a coordinated approach to remediation based on severity and risk according to the objectives defined in LUMA's Recovery Transformation Framework.



LUMAPR.COM
Table 2-<u>1646456.</u> Distribution Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections¹

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%	
PREB Order			N/A	ł				
Baseline		N/A						
Year 1	106	16	159	133	106	53	27	
Year 2	370	56	555	463	370	185	93	
Year 3	687	103	1,031	859	687	344	172	

¹ The numbers shown are cumulative from year to year. There are currently a total of 1,057 distribution circuits.

98. TRANSMISSION LINE INSPECTIONS & TARGETED CORRECTIONS

Performance Objective: To incentivize system safety and provide data to make decisions on effective reliability improvements, predictive maintenance, circuit hosting capacity_± and resiliency upgrades.

Description: The Transmission Line Inspections and Targeted Corrections metric will assess the physical integrity of the poles, structures, components, and equipment, providing data to develop an overall health rating to identify serious safety issues to either the public or <u>workersworker</u> that will result in high-priority attention by LUMA.

Calculation: Number of transmission lines inspected with results recorded in a database and Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan within 60 days of identification to address. That plan shall consider a coordinated approach to remediation based on severity and risk according to the objectives defined in LUMA's Recovery Transformation Framework.

Table 2-1747467.- Transmission Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections¹

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%		
PREB Order			N//	4					
Baseline		N/A							
Year 1	26	4	39	33	26	13	7		
Year 2	91	14	137	114	91	46	23		
Year 3	169	25	254	211	169	85	43		

¹ The numbers shown are cumulative from year to year. There are currently a total of 260 transmission circuits.

109. T&D SUBSTATION INSPECTIONS & TARGETED CORRECTIONS

Performance Objective: To incentivize system safety and provide data to make decisions on effective reliability improvements, predictive maintenance, circuit hosting capacity and resiliency upgrades.

Description: The T&D Substation Inspections and Targeted Corrections metric will assess the physical integrity of the structures, components, and equipment, providing data to develop an overall health rating to identify serious safety issues to either the public or <u>workersworker</u> that will result in high-priority attention by LUMA.

Calculation: Number of T&D substations inspected with results recorded in a database and Category 0 and Category 1 findings shall be incorporated in a plan within 60 days of identification to address. That



plan shall consider a coordinated approach to remediation based on severity and risk according to the objectives defined in LUMA's Recovery Transformation Framework.

Table 2-18.-47. T&D Substation Inspections & Targeted Corrections¹

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%		
PREB Order			N//	4					
Baseline		N/A							
Year 1	39	6	59	49	39	20	10		
Year 2	137	21	206	171	137	69	34		
Year 3	255	38	383	319	255	128	64		

¹ The numbers shown are cumulative from year to year. There are currently a total of 392 substations.

11. NET ENERGY METERING (NEM) PROJECT ACTIVATION DURATION

Performance Objective: To incentivize improvements in net energy metering (NEM) processes that will result in reduced NEM tariff activation time for expedited projects.

Description: This metric tracks the average duration (days) for completing all activities (within the utility's control) required to activate the NEM tariff on the customer's bill. For a project to be activated, LUMA must validate that the application is complete, install a new bi-directional meter, and change the tariff assigned to the customer's account in the billing system. Once NEM tariff activation is complete, the customer will see the benefits of NEM on their next bill.

Calculation: The metric is calculated as the average duration (days) between the submission of a complete application and NEM tariff activation on the customer's account, across all expedited projects activated during the year.

Table 2-19. NEM Project Activation Duration

1	<u>Target</u> Threshold	<u>Minimum</u> <u>Performance</u> <u>Level</u>	<u>150%</u>	<u>125%</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>25%</u>
PREB Order				<u>N/A</u>			
Baseline				<u>N/A</u>			
Year 1	<u>28</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>
Year 2	<u>28</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>
Year 3	<u>28</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>

12. ENERGY SAVINGS AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL ENERGY SALES

Performance Objective: To incentivize the utility to achieve energy reduction targets.

Description: This metric tracks the annual energy savings achieved by LUMA's Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs, pilots and initiatives. The Final Regulation for Energy Efficiency established planning targets for annual energy savings to be acquired during each year of the



Transition Period Plan: 0.1 percent in the first year and 0.25 percent in the second.¹⁵ As per industry convention, these energy savings targets are presented as a percent of annual energy sales. The annual targets are designed to facilitate a reasonable ramp up of program performance during the early years of program delivery. It should be noted that these targets cannot be achieved until the programs are fully funded through a cost-recovery mechanism such as the EE <u>Rider.</u>

Calculation: The metric is calculated as the total gross annual energy savings achieved (MWh) during the year, divided by the total forecasted energy sales (MWh) for the year.

Table 2-20. Energy Savings as Percent of Total Energy Sales

	<u>Target</u> <u>Threshold</u>	<u>Minimum</u> <u>Performance</u> <u>Level</u>	<u>150%</u>	<u>125%</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>25%</u>
Baseline				<u>N/A</u>			
Year 1	0.10% Savings	N/A	<u>0.15%</u>	<u>0.13%</u>	<u>0.10%</u>	0.05%	<u>0.03%</u>
Year 2	0.25% Savings	N/A	<u>0.38%</u>	<u>0.31%</u>	0.25%	<u>0.13%</u>	0.06%
Year 3	0.40% Savings	<u>N/A</u>	<u>0.60%</u>	<u>0.50%</u>	<u>0.40%</u>	<u>0.20%</u>	<u>0.10%</u>

13. Peak Demand Savings as a Percent of Total Peak Demand

Performance Objective: To incentivize the utility to achieve peak demand reduction targets.

Description: This metric tracks the annual peak demand savings achieved by LUMA's Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs, pilots and initiatives. As per industry convention, these demand savings targets are presented as a percent of annual peak demand. The annual targets are designed to facilitate a reasonable ramp up of program performance during the early years of program delivery. It should be noted that these targets cannot be achieved until the programs are fully funded through a cost-recovery mechanism such as the EE Rider.

Calculation: The metric is calculated as the total gross annual peak demand savings achieved (MW) during the year, divided by the total forecasted peak demand (MW) for the year.

Table 2-21. Peak Demand Savings as a Percent of Total Peak Demand

	<u>Target</u> <u>Threshold</u>	<u>Minimum</u> <u>Performance</u> <u>Level</u>	<u>150%</u>	<u>125%</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>25%</u>
Baseline				<u>N/A</u>			
Year 1	0.05% Savings	<u>N/A</u>	<u>0.08%</u>	<u>0.06%</u>	<u>0.05%</u>	<u>0.03%</u>	<u>0.01%</u>
Year 2	0.10% Savings	<u>N/A</u>	<u>0.15%</u>	<u>0.13%</u>	<u>0.10%</u>	<u>0.05%</u>	<u>0.03%</u>
Year 3	0.20% Savings	N/A	0.30%	0.25%	0.20%	<u>0.10%</u>	0.05%

¹⁵ https://energia.pr.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2022/01/20220105-MI20210005-Resolution-and-Regulation.pdf



LUMAPR.COM

2.5.3 Financial Performance

1. OPERATING BUDGET

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective cost management.

Description: Measures ability to stay within budget.

Calculation: This metric will be evaluated as actual operating expenses for a given Fiscal Year divided by the approved T&D operating budget for the same Fiscal Year as incurred. As defined in Section 7.3(b) of the OMA, the Budgets include 2% Excess Expenditures. Budget amendments, as defined in (i) through (iv) in Section 7.4 and 14.5(e) of the OMA, shall be deemed to be included in the initially approved Budgets (denominator) for purposes of this calculation. Further, any funds drawn from the Outage Event Reserve Account and the Contingency Reserve Account, as they have specific requirements, do not contribute to this metric. LUMA proposes that any approved budget amendment for items outside LUMA's control also adjusts the budget metric denominator by the same amount. It is also proposed that any financial adjustments or corrections made to PREPA's pre-fiscal year 2022 historical books and records be excluded from the calculation.

While the FY2020 data PREPA submitted shows an 80.4% baseline, LUMA remains at 100% of the budget. As this is funded by the rate order, it is in the customers' best interest that LUMA use the funds appropriately to build a stronger more resilient utility.

Table 2-20.-18. Operating Budget1

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order			80.4	%			
Baseline			100% of Operation	ating Budget			
Year 1	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A
Year 2	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A
Year 3	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	100% of T&D Approved Operating Budget	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A

¹ In accordance with OMA Section 7.3(b), each Budget includes Excess Expenditures, defined as expenditures for undefined costs in an amount equal to up to two percent (2%) of the total amount of the Budget. Excess Expenditures must otherwise comply with the applicable Rate Order. Any Excess Expenditures incurred by LUMA are treated as T&D Pass-Through Expenditures and as if initially budgeted. Each reference in the OMA to a Budget or Default Budget includes Excess Expenditures to the extent these are incurred.

2. CAPITAL BUDGET: FEDERALLY FUNDED

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective cost management of federally funded projects.

Description: Measures ability to stay within budget.

Calculation: This metric will be evaluated as actual Federally Funded Capital expenses for a Fiscal Year, as incurred, divided by approved Capital Budget: Federally Funded for the same Fiscal Year. As defined in Section 7.3(b) of the OMA, the Budgets include 2% Excess Expenditures. Budget amendments, as defined in (i) through (iv) in Section 7.4 and 14.5(e) of the OMA, shall be deemed to be included in the



initially approved Budgets (denominator) for purposes of this calculation. Further, any funds drawn from the Outage Event Reserve Account and the Contingency Reserve Account, as they have specific requirements, do not contribute to this metric.

Table 2-21.-19. Capital Budget: Federally Funded¹ Minimum Target Threshold 150% 125% 100% 50% 25% Performance Level PREB Order N/A Baseline N/A 100% of FY22 100% of FY22 Less than or Equal to Year 1 Approved Capital Approved Capital N/A N/A N/A N/A 100% Spend Spend 100% of FY23 Year 2 100% of FY23 Less than Approved Capital Approved Capital N/A N/A or Equal to N/A N/A Spend Spend 100% Year 3 100% of FY24 100% of FY24 Less than Approved Capital Approved Capital N/A N/A N/A N/A or Equal to Spend Spend 100%

¹ In accordance with OMA Section 7.3(b), each Budget includes Excess Expenditures, defined as expenditures for undefined costs in an amount equal to up to two percent (2%) of the total amount of the Budget. Excess Expenditures must otherwise comply with the applicable Rate Order. Any Excess Expenditures incurred by LUMA are treated as T&D Pass-Through Expenditures and as if initially budgeted. Each reference in the OMA to a Budget or Default Budget includes Excess Expenditures to the extent these are incurred.

3. CAPITAL BUDGET: NON-FEDERALLY FUNDED

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective cost management of Non-Federally Funded Capital.

Description: Measures ability to stay within budget.

Calculation: This metric will be evaluated as actual Federally Non-Funded Capital expenses for a Fiscal Year, as incurred, divided by approved Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded for the same Fiscal Year. As defined in Section 7.3(b) of the OMA, the Budgets include 2% Excess Expenditures. Budget amendments, as defined in (i) through (iv) in Section 7.4 and 14.5(e) of the OMA, shall be deemed to be included in the initially approved Budgets (denominator) for purposes of this calculation. Further, any funds drawn from the Outage Event Reserve Account and the Contingency Reserve Account, as they have specific requirements, do not contribute to this metric.

PREPA has underspent its non-federally funded capital expenditures recently which has exacerbated the deterioration of the resiliency of the T&D system. It is LUMA's intent to spend all of its budgeted amount to assist in stabilizing the T&D system and certain other capital items which support that effort., LUMA intends to fully deploy the funds financed by customers for capital expenditures <u>to</u> be used to continue to improve the utility.



Table 2-22.-20. Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded¹

		-					
	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order			6.6	%			
Baseline	100% (100% of Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded Approved for Fiscal 2022					
Year 1	<100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY22 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A
Year 2	<100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY23 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A
Year 3	<100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	100% of FY24 Approved Capital Spend	N/A	N/A	Less than or Equal to 100%	N/A	N/A

¹ In accordance with OMA Section 7.3(b), each Budget includes Excess Expenditures, defined as expenditures for undefined costs in an amount equal to up to two percent (2%) of the total amount of the Budget. Excess Expenditures must otherwise comply with the applicable Rate Order. Any Excess Expenditures incurred by LUMA are treated as T&D Pass-Through Expenditures and as if initially budgeted. Each reference in the OMA to a Budget or Default Budget includes Excess Expenditures to the extent these are incurred.

4A. DAYS SALES OUTSTANDING: GENERAL CUSTOMERS

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective credit and collections efforts.

Description: This metric is a measure of the ability to collect payment for general clients' customer billings.

Calculation: General Customers' DSO is calculated by dividing the year-end amount of general customers' receivables by the total year-end value of general customers' credit sales and multiplying the result by the number of days in that year. "Un-collectibles reserve," which is currently included in the DSO calculation in the PREPA Finance monthly report (MOR) of financial statements to the PREPA Governing Board, will not be included in the LUMA DSO calculations. <u>The general customerGeneral customers</u> segment represents all non-government accounts, including residential, commercial, and industrial accounts.

Data from August 2017 – July 2020 was analyzed to determine an appropriate baseline. Based on analysis of data from the last 36 months and consideration of <u>the</u> impact of external factors such as hurricane Maria and the COVID restrictions, the timeframe of May 2019 – February 2020 represents the most current stable and unimpaired period of collections activity for General Customers. The proposed baseline for General Customers is <u>an</u>the average of 131 days during this period.

Special Considerations: There are situations outside the Luma Customer Experience team's control that could negatively impact DSO performance and therefore deserve special consideration. For these or similar circumstances, the proposal is to either give relief from or reevaluate <u>the DSO baseline and</u> performance targets:

Non-Payment Moratorium: Relief from Moratoriums on cut _off for non-pay. Government orders
for collection moratoriums on cut _off for non-pay negatively impact Luma's ability to execute
normal collections processes and manage DSO. LUMA should be relieved of this metric during
moratorium periods and for 3-6 months after <u>it hasthe moratorium</u> been lifted, as it is a trailing
indicator.



LUMAPR.COM

- PREPA Data: Relief from changes in PREPA finance calculations. Should PREPA Finance change any of the fundamental data or calculations involved in the M-8 or Page 12 MOR reports, baselines and performance targets may need to be adjusted accordingly (For example, in January 2020, PREPA Finance changed the way Government A/R was calculated for the MOR report. The change resulted in an increase of 572 days of Government DSO. This was an accounting change only and did not reflect anreflected a material underlying material change in the business.)
- New or Incorrect Data: Relief from data inaccuracies. If material errors or differences are identified in PREPA's unaudited Accounts Receivable, and DSO data or processes upon implementation of new analytics or other discoveries, all DSO calculations, baselines, and performance targets may need to be reevaluated and adjusted accordingly.

Table 2-23-24. Days Sales Outstanding: General Customers

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%	
PREB Order			132	2				
Baseline ¹		131						
Year 1	128	148	119	122	128	135	138	
Year 2	126	145	116	120	126	132	135	
Year 3	123	142	114	117	123	129	132	

¹LUMA's Baseline was calculated using PREPA's Financial Report (M-8) using FY 2019.

4B. DAYS SALES OUTSTANDING: GOVERNMENT CUSTOMERS

Performance Objective: To incentivize effective credit and collections efforts.

Description: This metric is a measure of the ability to collect government bills.

Calculation: Government DSO is calculated by dividing the year-end amount of Government accounts receivable by the total year-end value of government credit sales and multiplying the result by the number of days in that year. "Un-collectibles reserve," which is currently included in the DSO calculation in the PREPA Finance monthly report (MOR) of financial statements to the PREPA Governing Board, will not be included in the LUMA DSO calculations. This metric will reflect the impact of government collections, including critical service installations as defined in the Puerto Rico Energy Transformation and RELIEF Act, Act 57-2014, as amended by the Puerto Rico Energy Public Policy Act, Act 17-2019, and Contribution in Lieu of Taxes (CILT).

Data from August 2017 – July 2020 was analyzed to determine the appropriate baseline. Due to a material accounting change by PREPA Finance in 2020, the timeframe of March through July 2020 is the most appropriate period for establishing a Government DSO Baseline. The proposed Government DSO Baseline is an the average of 754 days during this period.

Special Considerations: There are situations outside the Luma Customer Experience team's control that could negatively impact DSO performance and therefore deserve special consideration. For these or similar circumstances, the proposal is to either give relief from or reevaluate the DSO baseline and performance targets:



LUMAPR.COM

- Non-Payment Moratorium: Relief from Moratoriums on cut _off for non-pay. Government orders
 for collection moratoriums on cut _off for non-pay negatively impact Luma's ability to execute
 normal collections processes and manage DSO. LUMA should be relieved of this metric during
 moratorium periods and for 3-6 months after itthe moratorium has been lifted, as it is a trailing
 indicator.
- PREPA Data: Relief from changes in PREPA finance calculations. Should PREPA Finance change any of the fundamental data or calculations involved in the M-8 or Page 12 MOR reports, baselines and performance targets may need to be adjusted accordingly (For example, in January 2020, PREPA Finance changed the way Government A/R was calculated for the MOR report. The change resulted in an increase of 572 days of Government DSO. This was an accounting change only and did not reflect <u>ana material</u> underlying <u>material</u> change in the business.)
- New or Incorrect Data: Relief from data inaccuracies. If material errors or differences are identified in PREPA's unaudited Accounts Receivable, and DSO data or processes upon implementation of new analytics or other discoveries, all DSO calculations, baselines, and performance targets may need to be reevaluated and adjusted accordingly.

Table 2-24.-22. Days Sales Outstanding: Government Customers

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%	
PREB Order			61	9				
Baseline ¹		754						
Year 1	739	850	684	702	739	776	794	
Year 2	724	833	670	688	724	760	778	
Year 3	709	815	656	674	709	745	762	

¹LUMA's Baseline was calculated using PREPA's Financial Report (M-8) using FY 2019.

5. OVERTIME

Performance Objective: To incentivize efficient payroll expense.

Description: This metric measures the utility's ability to manage labor expenses.

Calculation: The amount of overtime expenses divided by the amount of total non-exempt base compensation expenses, expressed as a percentage.



Table 2<u>-25.-23.</u> Overtime

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
PREB Order			N/A	4			
Baseline		23% of To	tal Non-Exemp	ot Base Comp	ensation		
Year 1	20% of Total Non- Exempt Base Compensation	23% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 18%	19%	20%	21%	22%
Year 2	19% of Total Non- Exempt Base Compensation	22% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 17%	18%	19%	20%	21%
Year 3	18% of Total Non- Exempt Base Compensation	21% of Total Non-Exempt Base Compensation	Less than or Equal to 16%	17%	18%	19%	20%

2.6 LUMA Event of Default

Section 14.1(k) (Events of Default by LUMA — Failure to Meet Minimum Performance Threshold) of the OMA provides for an Operator Event of Default if, during three (3) or more consecutive Contract Years, LUMA fails to meet the Minimum Performance Level for any three (3) Key Performance Metrics and no such failure has been excused by a Force Majeure Event, Outage Event or Owner Fault. The Key Performance Metrics are the following, based on the OMA Annex IX as revised in this document as per the OMA:

(i) Average Speed of Answer; (ii) Abandonment Rate; (iii) OSHA Fatalities; (iv) OSHA Severity Rate; (v) System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI);
(vi) System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI); (vii) Distribution Line Inspections & Targeted Corrections; (viii) Operating Budget; (ix) Capital Budget: Federally Funded; and (x) Capital Budget: Non-Federally Funded (each a Key Performance Metric and together the Key Performance Metrics).

OMA Section 7.1(c)(vii) (Service Fee — Incentive Fee) provides that if any Force Majeure Event (other than a Force Majeure Event that is a Major Outage Event) prevents LUMA from achieving one or more of the Performance Metrics, LUMA shall be entitled to earn the Incentive Fee for the period that such Force Majeure Event continues as long as, and to the extent that, LUMA achieves the Key Performance Metrics during such period of time.

2.7 Operating Budget Overrun Default

OMA Section 14.5(e) (Additional Termination Rights — Operating Budget Overrun) of the OMA provides the Owner with an additional termination right in the event of an Operating Budget Overrun Default.

2.8 Major Outage Events (MOE) Performance Metrics

The MOE Scorecard assigns metrics and points into three categories: Preparation (Item 1 targeted at 250 points), Operational Response (Items 2 - 11 targeted at 450 points).) and Communications (Items 12 - 16 targeted at 300 points). The three categories are intended to capture the key activities associated with a



Major Outage Event. The Preparation metrics focus on utility activities in anticipation of a significant outage event. The second category, Operational Response, evaluates the utility's performance as a significant outage event <u>occursis occurring</u> and during the recovery period after the event until normal service is restored. The third category, Communications, assesses the utility's ability to receive and to disseminate information about the outage event and <u>about</u> the recovery process. The specific metrics and point assignments under each category are set forth in the MOE Scorecard in Table 2-24.

Major Outage Event is defined as follows:

"Major Outage Event" means an event as a result of which (i) at least two hundred and five thousand (205,000) T&D Customers are interrupted for more than 15 minutes or (ii) at any point in time during the event, there are one thousand five hundred or more (≥1,500) active outage events for the T&D System, which are tracked in the Outage Management System (OMS). The major outage event is deemed ongoing so long as the interruptions/outages continue to remain above the stated cumulative amounts, in each case for a period of twenty-four hours or longer (≥24) and are caused by an act of God. If such an act of God is a storm, the storm must be designated as a named storm by the U.S. National Weather Service, or a State of Emergency declared by the Government of Puerto Rico. The major outage event shall be deemed to have ended when the cumulative number of T&D customers remaining interrupted falls below ten thousand (10,000) for a continuous period of eight (8) hours.

The Major Outage Event should be categorized on the following:

Event categories: Events are categorized based on forecasted impact and revised post-event based on actual impact, to be measured from the start of the operational response (after the event has passed and when it is physically safe to dispatch crews) to when less than ten thousand (<10,000) T&D Customers remain interrupted for more than 8 hours as follows:

- 3 to 5 days
- 5 to 10 days
- Greater than 10 days

OMA Section 7.1(c)(vi) (Service Fee – Incentive Fee) of the Agreement provides that if any Major Outage Event (including, for the avoidance of doubt, a Major Outage Event that is a Force Majeure Event) prevents the Operator from achieving one or more of the Performance Metrics, Operator shall be entitled to earn the Incentive Fee for the period that such Major Outage Event continues as long as, and to the extent that, Operator achieves the Major Outage Performance Metrics during such period of time.

LUMA proposes the Major Outage Event Performance Metrics, with the descriptions, base points and effective weight set forth in Table 2-24 below.



Table 2-26.-24. Summary of Major Outage Event Performance Metrics

1

I

I

1

1

I

Description	Metrics	Base Points	Effective Weight	Comments
1. Preparation Phase				
Completion of steps to	Completion of each step counts separatel	y:		
provide timely and accurate emergency event preparation following an alert from U.S. National Weather Service or the company's private	1.1 Event-level categorization based on weather forecasts, system resiliency assessment, and available resources.	40	4.0%	
weather service, or the government of Puerto Rico has declared a state of	1.2 Press releases issued/text messages/emails sent.	15	1.5%	
emergency or when an event	1.3 Municipal conference calls held.	20	2.0%	
is known to be imminent or has occurred, in accordance with the Emergency Response Plan, for an event expected to affect the company's service territory.	1.4 Critical & essential customers alerted — based on an established list with current information. ¹⁶	40	4.0%	
expected to affect the company's service territory.	1.5 Point of contact for critical facilities alerted — based on <u>an</u> established list with current information.	15	1.5%	
	1.6 Company compliance with the training program as specified in the Emergency Response Plan.	40	4.0%	
	1.7 Participation in all pre-event mutual assistance group calls.	40	4.0%	
	1.8 Verify materials/stockpiles level based on the forecast. If materials are not on hand, corrective steps are taken in the shortest reasonable time to correct the situation.	40	4.0%	
Total		250	25.0%	
2. Downed Wires				
Response to downed wires reported by municipal public officials.	Once the joint reporting and response process is established, LUMA will respond to all reported downed wires and take appropriate action within a reasonable time (per the event categorization). Working in conjunction with local authorities after a Major Outage Event. Reported means that the situation is tracked in the Customer Information System (CIS) by the official contacting LUMA call centers or reported through the Municipal Emergency Operations Center (EOC) through LUMA's Municipal Emergency Operations Center (MEOC) Liaison.	40	4.0%	A reporting and response proces on how these are managed needs be put in place jointly with municipal public officials. Fire and Police training on how t handle downed wires will be provided as requested.
	Reasonable Time Event Response Categorization Time 3 to 5 days 18 hours 5 to 10 days 36 hours > 10 days 60 hours			

¹⁶ This includes critical care customers.



40

LUMAPR.COM

Description	Metrics	Base Points	Effective Weight	Comments
3. Damage Assessment				
	After the beginning of the Major Outage Event and when it is safe to do so. LUMA will begin a preliminary damage assessment of the affected area(s) or T&D facilities. The preliminary damage assessment will be completed within a "reasonable time" at the beginning of the Operation Response phase. The preliminary damage assessment will be done primarily with helicopter patrol and very limited specific land patrol to address helicopter assessment questions. Concurrent with the start of the preliminary helicopter assessment, LUMA will begin a more thorough damage assessment. Resonable Time Event Response Categorization Time 3 to 5 days 36 hours 5 to 10 days 72 hours	50	5.0%	
4. Crewing				
50% of the forecast crewing [from mutual assistance] committed to the utility.	 50% of the forecast crewing [from mutual assistance] committed to the utility. Three (3) days prior to a forecasted event occurring (when the event allows that much warning time), LUMA will complete a "damage prediction" to determine crew requirements. Based on this damage prediction, the number of mutual assistance crews will be determined. LUMA will stage materials, equipment, and personnel at the required location prior to the weather event striking the area. Within 24 hours of the damage prediction, 50% of indicated internal crews and qualified contract crews will be determal crews and qualified contract crews will be determal crews and qualified contract crews will be mobilized on the island. 	30	3.0%	



I

I

I

Description	Metrics	Base Points	Effective Weight	Comments
5. Estimated Time of Rest	oration (ETR) for 90% of Service Ou	tages		
Estimated Time of Restoration for 90% of service outages (made	Publication of regional ETRs in accordance with guidelines.	20	2.0%	
available by <u>the</u> utility on <u>the</u> web, IVR, to Customer Service Representatives (CSRs), etc.)	Publication of municipal ETRs in accordance with guidelines.	20	2.0%	
	A preliminary ETR for 90% service restoration will be made available on the Internet 24 hours after the preliminary damage assessment in pdf format.	20	2.0%	
	ETRs on 90% service restoration to be made available on IVR and to CSRs by municipality or region.	20	2.0%	
	All ETRs to be updated every 24 hours.	20	2.0%	
6. ETR Accuracy for 90%	Service Restoration			
Regional ETR accuracy	Accuracy for 90% of service outage restoration and published in accordance	80	8.0%	
Municipal ETR accuracy	with ETR requirement time.			
	The ETRs used for this metric will be the ETRs posted after the thorough damage assessment is completed and not based on the preliminary damage assessment.			
7. Municipality Coordinati	on			
Coordination with municipalities regarding road clearing, down wires, critical customers, etc.	Through the activated regional PREMB EOCs, Municipal-EOC the LUMA local Regional Interagency CoordinatorIncident Command Center (ICC) Municipal-Liaison will attend all scheduled Situation Report (SITREP) meetings. The coordinatorI-iaison will be the conduit for <u>municipality-</u> <u>specificICC</u> information and requests. To track, the Municipal EOC must be activated so that all requests flow through it.	20	2.0%	
	LUMA's <u>Regional Interagency</u> <u>Coordinator ICC Municipal Liaison will</u> attend all scheduled SITREP meetings at activated PREMB EOCs.			
8. Municipal EOC Coordi	nation PREMBPuerto Rico Common	wealth/Federa	I EOC Coordina	tion
Coordination with <u>PREMB</u> municipal Puerto Rice Commonwealth and Federal EOCs.	Through the <u>PREMBCommonwealth</u> and Federal EOCs. the LUMA Liaisons will attend all scheduled meetings. The Liaison will be the conduit for ICC information and requests.	10	1.0%	
	To track activity, the State and Federal EOCs must be activated and not a request from elected officials.			



I

Description	Metrics	Base Points	Effective Weight	Comments
9. Utility Coordination				
Coordination with other utilities (communications, water, etc.)	Establish contact points between utilities.	20	2.0%	
10. Safety				
Measure of any employee or contractor injured doing hazard work during storm/outage and restoration.	Record safety incidents and include them in the safety report per LUMA Health Safety Environment & Quality (HSE&Q) standardsstandard.	80	8.0%	
11. Mutual Assistance				
Crew requests made through all sources of mutual assistance or other pre <u></u> _ negotiated contracts with utility service providers.	Three (3) days prior to a forecasted event occurring (when the event allows that much warning time), LUMA will complete a damage prediction to determine the requirements for on and off_island mutual aid/pre-negotiated contracts with other utility service providers. LUMA will activate the required resources and place them on standby until the damage assessment is completed. After the initial damage assessment is completed, the requests for mutual assistance or other utility service provider crews will be made as follows: • Within 70 hours, 40% of crews • After 120 hours, 80% of committed mutual aid and other utility service	20	2.0%	
	provider crews will be requested.			
	Total	450	45.0%	
12. Call Answer Rates				
Customer calls answered by properly staffed call centers (<u>the</u> use of IVR and other technology is an acceptable solution).		-	-	TBD depending on the size of a major event.
13. Web Availability				
Company's website, specifically the section pertaining to outage impact and restoration, must be available around the clock during a major storm event, and information must be updated hourly until final restoration. In the event that no new information is available, the website must display the last time and date that information was updated. The website and/or section pertaining to outage impact and restoration may be taken offline for a short period during off-peak hours to perform system maintenance.		75	7.5%	



1

I

I

I

Description	Metrics	Base Points	Effective Weight	Comments
14. PREB and Administrat	tor (P3A) Reporting			
Provide storm event information to PREB and Administrator in accordance with LUMA's Electric Outage Management System (OMS) guideline requirements to be established in the ERP for LUMA.	Information <u>is t</u> o be updated every 24 hrs.	75	7.5%	
15. Customer Communica	itions			
Availability of press releases, text messaging, email, and social media.		100	10.0%	
16. Outgoing message on	telephone line			
Recorded message providing callers with outage information is updated within two hours of communication of press releases.		50	5.0%	Available at Service Commencement Date. IVR will be managed in <u>-</u> house.
Total		300	30.0%	
Maximum Available Points		1,000	100.0%	

Table 2-27.-25. Major Outage Event Performance Metrics Schedule

	Target Threshold	Minimum Performance Level	150%	125%	100%	50%	25%
Baseline	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Target	675	250	<u>1,000</u> 1000	840	675	515	350

The MOE Scorecard has been divided into three categories, summarized in <u>Table 2-28. Table 2-26</u> below.

Table 2-28.-26. Major Outage Event Performance Metrics Scorecard

Category	Points	Metrics Descriptions
1. Preparation	250	1. Preparation Phase
2. Operational Response	450	 Downed Wires Damage Assessment Crewing Estimated Time of Restoration (ETR) for 90% of Service Outages ETR Accuracy for 90% Service Restoration Municipality Coordination Municipal EOC Coordination Puerto Rico Commonwealth / Federal EOC Coordination Utility Coordination Safety Mutual Assistance



I

I

Ì

Category	Points	Metrics Descriptions
3. Communication	300	 Call Answer Rates Web Availability PREB and Administrator (P3A) Reporting Customer Communications Outgoing message on telephone line
Maximum Available Points	1,000	

2.9 Monitoring

The set of Performance Metrics and the Target Performance Levels for the fourth Contract Year will be evaluated during the third Contract Year to determine reasonability for subsequent years. Beginning in the fourth Contract Year, Performance Metrics and the Target Performance Levels will be reevaluated on an annual basis. At this time, it will be determined whether additional metrics should be included, base points reallocated, and Target Performance Levels modified. LUMA and PREB may also consider whether adjustments to the Performance Metrics are appropriate prior to the fourth Contract Year based on business, operational, or other considerations. Any adjustments will be dealt with in accordance with OMA Section 7.1(d) (Service Fee — Amendments to Performance Metrics). Any revisions to the Performance Metrics are subject to PREB's review, modification, and approval.



3.0 High-Level Plan to Achieve Performance Metrics Targets

This section presents the actual plans proposed by each team to achieve the proposed performance metric improvements. It must be noted that, in general, the poor availability and quality of data affects the programs' design and estimated impacts.

3.1 Customer Service

1. J.D. POWER CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY (RESIDENTIAL & BUSINESS)

Requirements to achieve performance targets:

- People: The new LUMA Voice of the Customer (VOC) team is be-responsible for coordinating the survey waves with J.D. Power, as well as assessing and presenting the results to leadership.
- Process: The new CSAT survey will be coordinated with J.D. Power in four phases per year for residential customers and in-two phases per year (twice annual) for business customers by the new VOC team in the Customer Service organization.
- Technology: The technology responsible for contacting customers is provided by J.D. Power based on customer data provided to them, including email addresses. All customer information is provided by the LUMA VOC team to J.D. Power.

2. AVERAGE SPEED OF ANSWER

Requirements to achieve performance targets:

- People: Using more accurate data provided by the new Contact Center platform, a new Workforce Management team will ensure the right staffing levels, scheduling the right people at the right times to answer calls, leading to a reduction in ASA. Customer Service agents in the Contact Center will be needed to answer calls based on call forecasting requirements.
- Process: The new Contact Center platform will provide consistent data that can be reported on across all queues and calls offered. The Workforce Management team will follow standard industry practices to forecast call volumes and schedule associates accordingly to reduce ASA.
- Technology: Implementation of a new Contact Center platform at <u>the</u> Service Commencement Date will better capture call details across all segments, allowing for improved reporting of performance and improved staffing levels to ensure that calls are answered.

3. CUSTOMER COMPLAINT RATE

Requirements to achieve performance targets:

- People: The Billing Services team within the LUMA Customer Service organization will be responsible for managing the process, assessing results, and presenting key findings to leadership. This process will be supported by billing analysts and Customer Service agents within the Customer Service department to investigate, follow up and respond to customers and the PREB.
- Process: The Billing Services team will track each complaint received by LUMA from PREB, including
 receipt and response dates, as well as other associated metrics and data. The Billing Services team
 will manage the process of investigation and follow up on the customer complaint.



LUMAPR.COM

• **Technology**: The Customer Complaint Rate will initially be tracked and reported manually but will be replaced by a software-based case management system that includes assignments, escalations, management, and reporting capabilities. The Oracle Customer Care & Billing software will be the source record of truth for customer and account investigation. The Contact Center platform will also be leveraged to review call recordings and/or social media and email responses when needed.

4. FIRST CALL RESOLUTION

Requirements to achieve performance targets:

- People: All Customer Service associates will be trained to capture data on whether or not customers have contacted LUMA previously about the same issue. Customer Service agents in the Contact Center will be needed to answer calls based on call forecasting requirements.
- Process: Each caller will be asked by the answering agent if this is their first attempt to contact LUMA for this issue/need. This yes/no answer will be tracked with the call detail, providing reporting data on First Call Resolution.
- **Technology**: Implementation of a new Contact Center platform at <u>the</u> Service Commencement Date will allow for <u>capturingthe capture</u> and reporting of whether this call is the customer's first attempt to contact LUMA for the given issue/need.

5. ABANDONMENT RATE

Requirements to achieve performance targets:

- People: A new Workforce Management team within the Contact Center team will use a workforce
 management system within the Contact Center platform to ensure that staffing levels are at the levels
 to reduce abandoned calls. Customer Service agents in the Contact Center will be needed to answer
 calls based on call forecasting requirements.
- Process: The new Contact Center platform will provide consistent data that can be reported on across all queues and calls offered. The Workforce Management team will follow standard industry practices to forecast call volumes and schedule employees accordingly, scheduling the right people at the right times to reduce abandoned calls.
- Technology: Implementation of a new Contact Center platform at Service Commencement Date will better capture abandoned calls across all segments, allowing for improved reporting of performance and improved staffing levels to ensure that calls are answered. The platform will also enable improved call forecasting and workforce management scheduling to meet call volume demands.

3.2 Technical, Safety & Regulatory

SAFETY

At LUMA, safety is a core value, and we believe it is our job to complete every task without incident or injury. We believe that our most valuable assets are our employees, and there is nothing is more important than our employees coming home safely. LUMA is committed to the safety and health of employees, customers, contractors, and the communities in which we work, and it is our mission to provide and maintain a safe work environment. In order to ensure that we establish a best-in-class safety and health organization and meet the safety performance metrics established in the OMA, we will use proven industry practices to create a NO harm culture.



LUMAPR.COM

Based on the results of the assessments and baseline gap analysis activities conducted during the Front-End Transition Period, we are prioritizing objectives to ensure that we address those that will increase the level of safety for employees immediately. These objectives will include items such as those described below.

- Establish and implement an incident management process that includes notification procedures, an injury management protocol, and incident investigation training and requirements. Establish formalized reporting and incident investigation procedures. This will include a mechanism to share investigation results and lessons learned across the system, as well as <u>establishestablishing</u> an incident tracking and trending process.
- In accordance with the results of the initial HSE&Q gap analysis, update and implement a Safety and Health Policies and Procedures manual in accordance with regulatory requirements.
- Implement a formalized process for evaluating and managing high-hazard risks during the job planning process.
- Increase frontline employee engagement through various safety committees, task teams, and other leadership-sponsored safety initiatives.
- Establish safety and health performance metrics and leadership accountability via manager performance plan and activity-based goals for supervisors.
- Create an HSE&Q integrated management system. Implement a DOT driver's compliance program that includes items such as a drug and alcohol testing policy, medical requirements, hours of service, etc.
- Establish/refine an industrial hygiene program.
- Implement a contractor safety program that includes the qualification and oversight of all contractors.
- Implement a comprehensive job sitejobsite observation program (such as a near-miss program).
- Implement a system-wide safe driving campaign.
- Enhance HSE&Q training programs for employees and roll out no-harm culture training.

These initiatives are supported by our initial budget for establishing a software system for incident management, no-harm culture training, and enhanced HSE&Q training programs (including DOT, lockout/tagout, electrical safety, etc.). The metrics will also be supported by operational federally funded System Remediation Plan (SRP) items.

TECHNICAL

The roadmap to achieve the Technical Performance Metrics targets includes a series of programs focused initially on the worst-performing main components of the system (distribution feeders, transmission lines, substations), which were selected after careful analysis of the current reality of PREPA's infrastructure and study of the root causes behind the frequent system failures. Current plans are based on best-available data and reasonable assumptions. The programs will be adapted and modified as LUMA acquires better data on system health.

The selected projects for implementation in each asset class are listed below. As LUMA engineers determine specific reliability improvement plans, they will incorporate these types of projects (Table 3-1 and Table 3-2) as needed to optimize the improvement. LUMA engineers will also follow the Principles Applicable to the Planning of the Distribution System as laid out in the PREB resolution NEPR-MI-2019-0011. The cost of programs for improvement affecting the technical performance metrics <u>waswere</u> included in the Initial Budgets.



Table 3-1. Selected	d Reliability Improv	vement Projects fo	r Distribution		
Pole Replacement	Vegetation Management	Recloser & FCI's	Animal Guards	Tree Wiring	Underground
Table 3-2. Selected	l Projects for Impr	ovement in Each A	sset Class		
Breaker Replacement	Transmission Lines Rebuild 38 kV	Transmission Pole Replacement 38 kV	Transmission Line Material Replacements 38 kV	Transmission Pole Replacement 115 kV	Transmission Line Material Replacements 115 kV

The selected programs are briefly described as follows (note that the percentage shown in the items below are calculated based on 2019/2020 data and do not necessarily represent what they may be <u>currentlycurrent day</u>. This data provides the rationale behind the decision <u>_</u>making and the direction LUMA has taken at the time to improve reliability).

1. POLE REPLACEMENT

The objective of this program is to replacereplacing poles and structures (cross-armscrossarms, insulation, hardware, etc.) identified as being at risk during inspection and testing. This program is intended to reduce failure rates by addressing multiple root-causes besides defective poles. Other causes include wire down (which is the main contributor [about 16%] to total CMI), broken insulators, and others. This program has also been targeted to the worst-performing feeders.

2.VEGETATION MANAGEMENT

Vegetation is the second-largest contributor to total CMI on the distribution system; it represents about 14% of total distribution CMI. The objective of this program is to implement tree trimming and other vegetation management strategies (e.g., pruning, application of herbicide, etc.) on overhead lines of poor the worst-performing linesfeeders to reduce associated fault rates in order to achieve the forecasted vegetation maintenance miles completed on the T&D system (inclusive of the 230kV, 115kV, 38kV & primary Distribution systems).²

3. DISTRIBUTION CIRCUIT RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS

Reliability improvement of distribution circuits will be the major effort to achieve the targets since they contribute the vast majority of the current SAIDI and SAIFI index. This program is intended to address a variety of root causes, such as wire down, vegetation, weather, etc., improve the outage management and restoration process and reduce CMI, Customer Interruptions [CI], SAIDI, and SAIFI.

This overall program consists of the following initiatives:

- Mid Circuit Smart Reclosers: installation of one or two mid-circuit smart reclosers (with microprocessor-based controllers and remote monitoring and control capabilities) on selected worst _performing feeders, limiting the number of customers affected by faults, as well as allowing temporary faults to self-extinguish via reclosing operations.
- Fault Current Indicators: installation of FCI will improve the outage management and restoration
 process, specifically by decreasing the time required to detect and locate faults. The overall effect
 of FCI deployment is reducing CMI and SAIDI by improving response time. FCIs do not impact CI.
 Therefore, they do not improve SAIFI.
- Fuse installation: potential locations will be identified for field interrupting devices, including fuses, will be identified. This needs to consider the location of prior faults, customer allocations, and expected circuit layout. The Key Circuit Sections, with appropriate lateral fusing, allow the allows additional focus to dramatically improve performance by reducing the number of customer



LUMAPR.COM

interruptions per outage and $\underline{helphelps}$ to locate the faulted section, which reduces the overall restoration time.

4. 38 KV TRANSMISSION LINE PROGRAMS

38 kV transmission lines are the second-largest contributors to system CMI and SAIDI on the transmission system. This program's intent is to improve their performance by rebuilding 38 kV lines, reconductoring, replacing poles_ and conducting other material replacements. Expected progress-at three years into the 10-year plan is 40%.

5. 115 KV TRANSMISSION LINE PROGRAMS

115 kV transmission lines are responsible for 1.9% of SAIDI, and 4.8% of SAIFI affect 115 kV transmission lines. The objective of this program is to replace poles and reconductor the worst-performing 115 kV transmission lines. The program intends to complete 24% over the first three years.

6. DISTRIBUTION & TRANSMISSION BREAKER REPLACEMENT

This program is intended to replace circuit breakers in distribution feeders as well as oil circuit breakers in transmission substations. This is done to ensure the reliable operation of these devices, since breakers are responsible for 1.6% of SAIDI and 1.3% of SAIFI of the system (based on the available performance metrics).

7. ANIMAL GUARDS

I

Results from the historical reliability analysis show that the animal root cause contributes to about 4.3% of <u>the</u> total distribution CMI. Therefore, the objective of this program is to help reduce respective fault rates by installing animal guards to prevent potential faults due to wildlife. This is the least expensive and one of the most cost-effective programs of the plan and is also targeted <u>attent</u> the worst-performing feeders.

8. UNDERGROUND CABLE REPLACEMENT

This program is intended to replace selected underground cable sections in voltages of 4.16 kV up to 8.32 kV for the worst-performing feeders. This program is expected to help reduce respective fault rates by addressing root causes affecting underground assets, specifically broken <u>cablescable</u> and <u>broken</u> splices and terminals.

9. TARGETED UNDERGROUNDING & TREE-WIRING

The objective of this program is to underground or install tree-wire on selected overhead sections of the worst-performing feeders, especially those that serve critical customers. The worst-performing feeders have been identified and prioritized based on total contribution to Customer Minutes Interrupted (CMI). These results show that, for instance, the worst 10% performing feeders (106 feeders) contribute to approximately 40% of total CMI. Therefore, targeting investments to these feeders is expected to yield the greatest benefit-cost ratio — i.e., be most cost-effective. Undergrounding and tree-wiring have been targeted to selected worst-performing feeders. Since undergrounding is a more expensive solution, it has been reserved for feeders within this group withthat have the highest CMI contribution and the most critical customers (e.g., hospitals). In contrast,), while tree-wiring has been targeted to the remaining feeders of this group.



10. NET ENERGY METERING (NEM)

In FY22, LUMA developed and implemented an Action Plan for Resolving the Backlog of NEM¹⁷ cases. This Action Plan included the following key strategies for improving the NEM program processes and systems, to reduce the duration to activate the NEM tariff for customers:

- Centralized key NEM organizational functions (e.g. application processing, billing, metering).
- Established central team accountable for managing the program, coordinating across departments and providing technical support to developers.
- Developed a new streamlined process to activate the NEM tariff for expedited projects.
- Devoted extra resources to activating NEM service using the new expedited process.
- Made minor updates to improve the legacy DG Web Portal and began developing a new customer application web portal to automate processes.
- Developed data tracking systems to monitor the rate of incoming applications and identify coursecorrections if the rate of activation falls behind; to identify and prevent another backlog.

LUMA's execution of this Action Plan resulted in a dramatic reduction in Average Duration for NEM Tariff Activation in FY22. LUMA will continue executing these strategies in FY23 to further improve and maintain this progress. In addition, LUMA will begin implementation of the following additional strategies, to further improve program performance in FY23.

- Conduct outreach and training with DG developers to reduce the frequency of submitting incomplete and/or flawed applications. When developers submit flawed or incomplete applications, this creates administrative burden for LUMA that reduces application processing speed. LUMA will work with developers to better communicate the application submission requirements.
- Finishing new web portal and conduct developer training/orientation sessions before launch.

12. ENERGY SAVINGS AS % OF SALES

This objective is to track the annual energy savings achieved by LUMA's Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs, pilots, and initiatives. Section 2.02 of the Regulation for Energy Efficiency, Regulation No. 9367, establishes planning targets for annual energy savings to be acquired during each year of the Transition Period Plan of at least 0.1 percent in the first year and at least 0.25 percent in the second. As per industry convention, these energy savings targets are presented as a percent of annual energy sales. The annual targets are designed to facilitate a reasonable ramp-up of program performance during the early years of program delivery.

It should be noted that LUMA's ability to achieve these performance targets requires a stable, predictable, and dedicated source of funding through a rate rider or surcharge. LUMA has designed its Transition Period Plan for EE/DR to achieve the level of energy savings specified in the proposed targets (0.1-0.25%). However, these programs are not fully funded to the level required to meet these targets, as the EE Rider has yet to be initiated. We are confident that LUMA has developed an achievable plan for meeting the targets specified for this metric once a stable, consistent EE Rider fully funds the programs.

17 https://energia.pr.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2021/09/Motion-in-Compliance-with-Order-DG-Interconnections-NEPR-MI-2019-0016.pdf



LUMAPR.COM

13. PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS AS % OF PEAK DEMAND

This objective is to track the annual peak demand savings achieved by LUMA's Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs, pilots, and initiatives. As per industry convention, these demand savings targets are presented as a percent of annual peak demand.

LUMA has designed its Transition Period Plan for EE/DR to achieve the level of energy savings specified in the targets proposed here. However, these programs are not currently funded to the level required to meet these targets, as the EE Rider has yet to be initiated. We are confident that LUMA has developed an achievable plan for meeting the targets specified for this metric, once a stable, consistent EE Rider fully funds the programs.

3.3 Financial Performance

Annex IX Performance Metrics detail performance incentive mechanisms that will align LUMA with PREPA's strategic imperatives to improve utility performance in specific areas where historical performance has been unsatisfactory.

LUMA's Finance Organization is an enabling department to support initiatives to that will help LUMA to achieve its strategic objectives and meet or exceed performance targets. The Finance team's programs will help support accountability while creating a utility culture that prioritizes good stewardship of public assets and innovative approaches to best practices.

OPERATING BUDGET, CAPITAL BUDGET: FEDERALLY FUNDED, CAPITAL BUDGET: NON-FEDERALLY FUNDED, OVERTIME

Based on the results of the assessments and baseline gap analysis activities conducted during the Front-End Transition Period, LUMA is prioritizing objectives to ensure that we have a standardized process to enable each of the departments with the right tools to plan and implement remediation initiatives in a fiscally responsible manner. These objectives will include items such as:

- Establishing a firm and unbiased capital and operational program process that prioritizes initiatives based on the strategic priorities set out by the Government of Puerto Rico, PREB₁ and LUMA
- Providing teams with tools to forecast and profile operating and capital expenditures for FY22-24
- Managing and reducing unnecessary overtime hours by recognizing their root causes and improving labor planning, setting performance expectations, and implementing a new timekeeping technology for real-time visibility <u>offer</u> work progress.

Table 3-3. Sample Overtime Savings

	FY2022 Budget	Baseline	FY222	FY23	FY24
Overtime %		23%	20%	19%	18%
Estimated Wages \$	81,007,861				
Estimated Overtime \$		18,631,808	16,201,572	15,391,494	14,581,415
Estimated Overtime Savings			2,430,236	3,240,314	4,050,393

Notes:

¹ \$81M is equal to FY22 Budgeted Wages (non-exempt employees only)

² 23% Baseline was calculated using PREPA's FY2021 Certified Budget



³ FY2022 Budget <u>was</u> used as a basis for this analysis in order to accurately compare the dollar savings for various overtime percentages.

Most of these initiatives are supported by our FY22 operating initial budget and included in our labor and wage expectations for various departments. Additionally, a timekeeping system and its implementation <u>are</u>is included in the Initial Budgets beginning in FY2022. This project will enable LUMA to improve overtime management and reporting. Implementation of this timekeeping system will also facilitate <u>capturingthe capture of</u> more timely and accurate labor data by project, which will greatly facilitate project tracking and accounting.

GENERAL CUSTOMER & GOVERNMENT DAYS SALES OUTSTANDING (DSO)

Requirements to achieve performance targets

Achieving Days Sales Outstanding performance targets for both government and general customers will require a comprehensive approach to lower accounts receivables across all customer segments leveraging updated credit policies, enhanced customer data, expanding dunning processes and other key program elements.

- **People**: A new Revenue Protection team will enable the execution of a fulsome dunning process. Business analysts will analyze and generate the DSO report.
- Process: The following processes will be implemented to improve payment collections:
- Fulsome dunning process from outbound contacts to customer disconnections and customer risk calculations
- Customer data profiling

I

- Analysis of accounts receivables
- Technology: Oracle Customer Care & Billing will be leveraged to execute the dunning process and data extractions required to report on the DSO metric. A data analytics platform will be required to assist in producing accurate analysis and reporting of the A/R and the DSO metric. The cloud-based Contact Center platform will enable outbound <u>collection</u> calls.



Appendix A: NEPR-MI-2019-0007 LUMA's Comments on Performance Baselines & Metrics filed February 5, 2021 and February 8, 2021

Please refer to: https://energia.pr.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2021/03/Request-for-Leave-to-File-Amended-Exhibit-2-NEPR-MI-2019-0007.pdf and https://energia.pr.gov/wpcontent/uploads/sites/7/2021/02/LUMA-Motion-Resubmitting-Comments-and-Exhibits-1-3-NEPR-MI-2019-0007.pdfPlease refer to attachment.



LUMAPR.COM

Appendix B: Written Testimony

Please refer to attachment.

Written Testimony Inventory:

I

Appendix Item	Primary Witness	Metrics	Associated Exhibits	Date Filed
1	Don Cortez	SAIDI, SAIFI, Distribution Line Inspections, Transmission Line Inspections, T&D Substation Inspections	2	<u>August 18,</u> <u>2021</u>
2	Juan Fonseca	DSO – Government, DSO – General	1	<u>August 18,</u> 2021
3	<u>Jorge</u> <u>Melendez</u> Esther Gonzales	OSHA Recordable Incident Rate, OSHA Fatalities, OSHA Severity Rate, OSHA DART Rate	1	September 9, 2021
4	Abner Gomez	Major Outage Events: Preparation Phase	1	<u>August 18,</u> <u>2021</u>
5	Mario Hurtado	Major Outage Events Strategy	0	<u>August 18,</u> 2021
6	Melanie Jeppesen	Customer Complaint Rate	3	September 24, 2021
7	Kalen Kostyk	Operating Budget, Capital Budget - Federal, Capital Budget – Non-Federal, Overtime	5	<u>August 18,</u> 2021
8	Jessica Laird	JD Power Customer Satisfaction, Average Speed of Answer, Abandonment Rate, Major Outage Event: Communication	4	<u>August 18,</u> <u>2021</u>
9	Terry Tonsi	Major Outage Events: Operational Phase	0	<u>August 18,</u> 2021
<u>10</u>	Lee Wood	NEM Project Activation Duration, Energy Savings as % of Sales (deferred), Peak Demand Savings as % of Peak Demand (deferred)	<u>1</u>	<u>October 28.</u> <u>2022</u>
<u>11</u>	<u>Brent</u> Bolzenius	Vegetation Maintenance Miles Completed by 230kV, 115kV, 38kV, and Distribution (primary line only)	<u>0</u>	<u>October 28,</u> <u>2022</u>

